Masks and Modernities
by Charles Gore

Masquerade and masking traditions in Africa have been an iconic subject of African art studies throughout the twentieth century and often have served to underline historical continuities with a precolonial past. At the beginning of the twentieth century such artifacts were a key aspect of African, and especially West African, traditions of visual (and performance) practice that became entwined with a new modernist avant garde developing in Paris. These European artists were seeking new modes of representation that challenged the naturalistic conventions of mainstream art. They looked at African art that could be found in museums, such as the Musée d’Ethnographie du Trocadéro founded in 1878 (now the Musée de l’Homme) as well as in curio shops, and found parallels, if not inspiration, in the rendering of form with their own projects.

Such was their interest that it is perhaps unsurprising that one of the seminal paintings of this new rendering of modernity, Les Demoiselles d’Avignon, completed by Picasso in 1907, featured mask-like visual conventions in one of the women’s heads. Compositionally it drew on an exoticized image from the French photographer Fortier’s body of work taken in West Africa. Within its shallow picture space, the women’s angular forms echo both Etruscan and some West African visual conventions and herald the emergence of Cubism as a full-fledged art movement.

This engagement with African masking traditions by modernist artists from all continents continued in diverse ways throughout the twentieth century and to the present day, developed to a range of modernist intentions and within various art movements. In the slippage within Western ideas of personhood between resemblance and masking, these modernist conventions became one element in the iconographic tactics of modernist portraiture and its modes of representing the individual. (In the European history of ideas it is well established that notions of the self are derived from Greek and Roman theater.)

However, different positionings were taken up by artists within these art movements, and this had a particular relevance for artists of...
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African descent, no more so than in the reclamation of African art by African American artists during the Harlem Renaissance of the 1920s. This also featured in the later collage work of Romare Bearden during the 1960s–70s and in the work of disaporic artists such as Wilfredo Lam within the surrealist movement of the 1930s–40s. Such issues also appear subsequently in the 1980s New York art scene in the work of Jean-Michel Basquiat and the work of artists such as Ras Ishi Butcher in Barbados during the 1990s.

Within Africa, masquerade and its cultural repertoires were appropriated in the development of various regional modernist art movements. Most notably, Senghor’s project of École de Dakar from 1960 drew on the ideas of Negritude, where a generalized iconography of mask was prominent in presenting a modernism based on the assertion of a common Pan-African heritage. This was rejected by a following generation of Senegalese artists such as Issa Samb, who opposed state patronage and used materials at hand to engage with local audiences through installations and performances, which featured masks among other ready-made objects.

In contrast, in Nigeria the specificities of local visual traditions were investigated by modernist artists such as Ben Enwonwu, who in some works evoked his personal experiences of Igbo masquerade through a naturalistic style. This was developed by the Natural Synthesis movement, the Nigerian artists who emerged in the late 1950s and who looked to appropriates a range of local visual traditions to replace the prior iconography of colonial and colonized modernist art. Artists such as Uche Okeke, Bruce Onobrakpeya, and others drew on a variety of visual forms, including diverse local practices of masquerade.
expectations, and agendas. Masks captured the European modernist sensibility. However, Picasso, Braque, Nolde, Kirchner, and other avant garde artists who worked during the heyday of the colonial scramble for Africa did not contest the ideologies that underpinned that expansion, ideologies that offered Darwinian-derived evolutionist hierarchies applied to societies and cultures within supposedly scientific (or rather pseudo-scientific) schemata of racialized difference. These schemata placed European societies at the pinnacle and noncentralized African (and Oceanic) communities at the bottom end of the scale and so in need of civilizing. Avant garde artists drew on the assumptions of raw energy and physicality embodied in the concept of “primitivism”, used to represent such African communities in order to critique the alienated and superficial world of the European bourgeoisie, along with the clichéd visual conventions that defined their arts of (supposed) “civilization”. On the other hand, it was these same artists who radically recognized the creative dimensions in African artifacts and pioneered an attention to their aesthetic and visual capabilities, even if still construed within Western art categories, however modernist. It also needs to be noted that African American artists of the Harlem Renaissance offered sites of resistance within these early modernist discourses.

However, it was African art studies that pioneered the “ethnographic” turn of going to the originating circumstances of production, distribution, and consumption of African art traditions to study both the formal properties of artifacts as well as the local social and cultural contexts that shaped these ideas and practices, and no more so than in relation to masquerade. Prior to this, masquerade and its performance had exercised the imagination of both missionaries and colonial administrators. For the former, it provided a prior, rival metaphysical world shaping male and female ways of being that proved difficult to undermine, while for the latter, its organization as a secret association and its trans-community networks offered modes of resistance difficult to monitor and respond to. Interestingly, many parallels were made by such individuals between masquerade and European institutions, whether modes of schooling, military organization, the judiciary, or even, especially, as “native plays,” in order to emphasize the creative and aesthetic components of masquerades’ display, performance, and drama.

Although field collections were carried out in Africa by metropole museums as standard practice throughout the twentieth century, it was William Bascom in the US and William Fagg in the UK who helped enable the ethnographic turn to in-depth investigation of local contexts, with a key emphasis on learning and understanding local categories of visual practice, irrespective of their compatibility or lack to those developed out of Western art histories. This also provided a springboard for interdisciplinary approaches and cross-cultural comparisons both within Africa and between continents in ways that have enriched the wider discipline of art history per se. Publication of *The Artist in Tribal Society* (1961), edited by Marian Smith based on a symposium held by the Royal Anthropological institute in the United Kingdom, and *The Traditional Artist in African Societies* (1973) edited by Warren L. D’Azevedo based on a 1965 conference at Lake Tahoe in the US, blazed the pathway for a new focus on art and the individuals who created it in Africa.

Such was the success of this ethnographic turn, especially in African art studies in America, that it is beyond my scope to enumerate all the pioneers who developed these new approaches and paradigms. One only has to look at back issues of *African Arts* itself to discern many of these trailblazers. I single out one example out of many other excellent studies, simply because of the particular kinds of cross-cultural pleasure it has given me over the years: Leon Siroto’s article “Gon: A Mask Used in Competition for Leadership among the Bakwele” (from a seminal collection of essays, *African Art and Leadership*, edited by Douglas Fraser and Herbert Cole, published in 1972) which offers a historical trajectory of the developments and transformations of one masking tradition found in the Gabon.  

Notwithstanding this observation, the study of Gon masquerade reflects many of the research concerns developed at that time. The performative characteristics of masquerade lent itself to a research focus on its roles within the community and its contributions to the making of that wider, gendered community. This ethnographic turn constructed, in the main, paradigms that productively explained masquerade in terms of functionalist analysis of its social organization and shared cultural systems of meaning (with the emphasis on social organization or cultural world views reflecting British or American dispositions of researchers passed down from the anthropological traditions of Malinowski and Boas respectively). This history of research from the 1960s onwards detached masquerade from its prior Western associations with the Primitivist avant garde movements of Western art and allowed it to be evaluated on its own terms, as composed of sophisticated configurations of art forms with complex traditions and trajectories of ideas and practices. These took account of both concerns for its formal properties and the development of style in terms of local ideas and categories, as well as its cultural and social contexts along a whole range of axes that include modes of social and extra-social identity; the making, transforming, and legitimating of political power; and participating in a wider social world through the dramatic performances and aesthetic play that helped construct that world.

During the late 1980s, when I used to travel eastwards from Benin City in Nigeria during the festive seasons (the days after Christmas, at Easter, and during the new yam harvest time), squashed in interstate shared taxis, I was always struck by the sheer numbers and diversity of masquerades one would see performing along the roadside or at a distance in the village squares. As the taxi sped by with complete disregard for road conditions and safety, these sights offered the briefest of glimpses into new cultural worlds beyond those I had grown familiar with in Edo state. They flagged the resilience of masquerade in modern Nigeria, and were art repertoires that could be studied at some later date, hopefully by local Nigerian art historians—indeed, the Nigerian universities have a long and honorable record of sending out their students to study and produce dissertations on local art traditions as part of degree requirements.

However, by the late 1990s, after a decade-long cycle of economic depression, southern Nigeria presented a markedly different cultural landscape. The phenomenal rise of Pentecostalism occurred during this period, with its successes at mass conversion and its dynamic if vitriolic crusades against indigenous practices classed as paganism or idol worship, including masquerade performance. Such has been the success of these movements that, while waiting in the Nigerian consulate in London for a visa in 2005, I met a woman who organized regular retreats for devout British Christians to stay at a Nigerian Pentecostal retreat in the heart of Lagos to give them solace and succor from the unrelenting pressures of a British secular world. Masquerade is still present in southern Nigeria, if in some locales less visible.

However, the paradigms developed in African art studies for masquerade, at least for Nigeria where much of the earlier research was carried out, merit scrutiny, as its localized relationships to the wider community have shifted in these changing circumstances. Rather than a mode for representing and constituting community, it is now often a site for contestation between differing local factions with incommensurate agendas and competing claims to modernity—such as by Pentecostalists compared with masquerading youth. At the same time federal (and national) state-sponsored cultural performances broker particular kinds
of political relationships to these various bureaucratic tiers of the Nigerian nation-state. Furthermore, in the development of cultural heritage and tourism, new globalized sites for these cultural repertoires and art forms have opened up despite the campaigns of the Pentecostal movement to relegate them to a pagan past, such as the annual Ija festival at Badagry (where masquerades also perform) creating a forum for local, regional, and diasporic agendas.

This special issue is based on a workshop, held at the African Studies Association of the United Kingdom conference in 2006, that focused on masquerade in the twenty-first century. The British authors’ approaches have been shaped by the work and teaching of Emeritus Professor John Picton (SOAS), a consulting editor of this journal, who has written extensively on masquerade among the Ebirap people in Nigeria, emphasizing that masquerade brings together a configuration of the visual, textual, and performative. Theologically, this special issue considers a range of masquerade practice across southern Nigeria and its western border to explore ongoing innovation and change in the making of local modernities. The rise of Pentecostal Christian (and new Islamization) movements in Nigeria has marginalized many masking traditions as bound up with a pagan past. Despite the ending of some traditions of masquerade as a consequence of these religious movements, this displacement has created new and diverse possibilities, so that masquerade remains a vital medium of creativity and performance that offers counter-narratives of modernity, locality, and the translocal. The close regional proximity of many of the essays is intended to highlight a multi-sited approach to an ethnography of masquerade that can be extended beyond the framing of Nigeria. Although much of its practice takes place within small-scale communities, this spatialized unit of analysis cannot account for its developments and transformations within the twenty-first century. Moreover, although masquerade inhabits its own artworld, the encounter with masquerade by African modernist artists can only be fully understood by understanding both artworlds.

This is perhaps exemplified in a recent show titled “Masques: Rituels et Contemporains,” held in the summer of 2007 by the Jean-Paul Blachère Foundation at Apt, France. In an industrial-sized warehouse exhibiting space, an inner circle of masks lent from the Tervuren museum in Belgium faced outwards to the four walls, where ten African artists had been invited to offer their creative responses to these masks—masks as artifacts with formal visual properties as well as the configurations of ideas and practices to which they point (http://www.fondationblachere.org-pop-expo08/mask/index.html). As John Picton notes in his commentary (“Made in Africa”) in the Africa Remix catalog (2005), these modernities do not represent a complete break with the past, sometimes because they initiate documentation of that past, sometimes because that past is celebrated in new visual media, sometimes because the past provides formal and intellectual resources which inform new developments, and sometimes because the inheritance of the past simply maintains its relevance providing its own interpretation of those developments” (my italics)

and no more so than with and in response to masks and masquerade.
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