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ABSTRACT

The toppling of the Edward Colston statue by Black Lives Matter protestors in Bristol became one of the defining moments of the British summer of 2020. The removal of the statue reignited conversations around how Britain conceptualises colonialism and empire today. This paper seeks to evaluate and contextualise these conversations and argues that the toppling of the statue was an act of anti-colonial theatricality that disrupted Britain’s official narratives of post-colonial bliss. Using a critical, postcolonial framework, this paper seeks to explore what public memory of Edward Colston reveals about Britain’s relationship with colonialism, and what counter-memory can do to resist (mis)remembrance and (re)imagining of colonialism, past and present.
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In the centre of Bristol on 7 June 2020, Black Lives Matter protestors pulled down a statue of Edward Colston, an active participant in the transatlantic slave trade in the seventeenth century. The incident was covered widely in popular media, with dramatic footage of the statue being toppled and thrown into the Pero harbour circulating worldwide. It was far from the first collective cry for the removal of a statue commemorating a colonial figure; however, the toppling of Colston somewhat eclipsed the reach of campaigns such as the student-led ‘Rhodes Must Fall’ movement, in part because of the theatricality and poetic justice of the statue being tossed into a “watery grave” in Marenka Thompson-Odlum’s words, as was the fate of many Africans during the perilous transatlantic journeys to which Colston actively contributed. In Britain, the toppling and its aftermath reignited national discourse about public memory of empire and colonialism. References to a colonial ‘legacy’ and ‘past’ abounded in discussions after the incident, revealing a national proclivity for placing colonialism and its realities firmly in a time long-since past. This grammar of legacy is informed by a spatial delineation between metropole and colony that dominated understanding of colonialism throughout the lifespan of the British Empire—a line of thinking that has
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since been extensively problematised.\textsuperscript{8} The reality, of course, was and is much more complex. The “imagined communities”\textsuperscript{9} of the (neo-)metropoles,\textsuperscript{10} to borrow Joe Turner’s phrase, are entangled in an often invisible web of power and subjection that has only become more complex in the global, cosmopolitan, capitalist system.\textsuperscript{11} Modern Britain is no exception. This paper argues that the felling of the Edward Colston statue exposed and disrupted the romanticised public memory of empire and colonialism in Bristol, reinforcing and reviving Bristolian resistance to official narratives of postcolonial bliss. Two key questions structure the argument of this paper: ‘What does the Edward Colston statue reveal about British imagination of empire and colonialism?’ and ‘Why is the felling of the Colston statue so significant?’ To answer the first, the paper begins with a brief theoretical survey of the ways in which official historiographies and narratives are constituted by power relations and reified through state-sanctioned objects, institutions, and activities. The focus then shifts to Bristol and Edward Colston. I discuss Bristolian public memory, colonial nostalgia, and haunting after the “post-colonial cut”\textsuperscript{12} in (neo-)metropolitan Britain. This lays the theoretical and contextual foundation for a postcolonial analysis of the felling of the Colston statue. Applying the thinking of Tiffany Lethabo King, Frantz Fanon, and Michel Foucault, this paper argues that the public vandalism and removal of the Edward Colston statue was an example of “anti-colonial theatricality”,\textsuperscript{13} “counter-memory”,\textsuperscript{14} and “collective
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catharsis”, an act of resistance against the officially denied “living present” of colonialism and conquest. This analysis thinks with the wider literature relating to contemporary postcolonial resistance and offers a yet unexplored analysis of the significance of the felling of the Colston statue.17

**WHAT DOES THE EDWARD COLSTON STATUE REVEAL ABOUT BRITISH IMAGINATION OF EMPIRE AND COLONIALISM?**

What and how societies officially remember, imagine, and reimagine the past is steeped in and constituted by power relations.18 These official historiographies and narratives are entangled in a power-knowledge nexus and are permeated through state-sanctioned institutions and social activities, such as the heritage industry,19 education,20 and science.21 In the Foucauldian power-knowledge nexus, the ways in which history is understood, taught, and produced is constituted by pervasive meta-power, which sets the parameters for acceptable forms of knowledge and defines what is ‘true’.22 Collective recollection of this ‘truth’ is also often physically embodied in what Lesley Lekko calls

“conventional architectural expressions of remembrance”, such as statues. These expressions, however, are as much a question of forgetting and reimagining as they are of remembrance and commemoration. In *The Empire at Home*, James Trafford explores how, after the end of formal empire, Britain embraced the title of a “post-colonial nation” through a “spatio-temporal cut from Empire, which disavowed the violence in the world that it had terraformed”. For this “post-colonial cut” to be embraced as a national truth, it is important to make visual this spatial and temporal separation from empire, colonialism, and various projects of conquest. Statues and monuments are crucial here.

The Edward Colston statue, one of many architectural nods to the Brtitolian, was erected in 1895 during the ‘Pax Britannica’ period. This period was the height of British global hegemony, but importantly, it occurred after the abolition of chattel slavery from which Colston acquired the bulk of his wealth. British patriotism and supremacy was the order of the day in the late 19th century, but the statue remained in place until 2020, long after the mass migration of British colonial subjects from (sometimes former) colonies to fill labour shortages in the mid-20th century. During this time, the ‘colony’ began to be reproduced at ‘home’ through projects of exclusion, domestic neocolonialism, and internal colonisation. As Ambalavaner Sivanandan points out, the capitalistic, colonial tenet of “labour without overheads” from
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fungible, colonised bodies was reproduced in the metropole through “the forced concentration of immigrants in the deprived and decaying areas of the big cities”; nationality laws that necessarily distinguished the alien immigrant from the White, British citizen; and the institutionalisation of discrimination through laws such as the 1962 Commonwealth Immigration Act. In 2020, several generations after this mass migration, resistance to these projects of internal colonisation, to which the Colston statue contributes, is still very present.

The statue bears the inscription, “erected by citizens of Bristol as a memorial of one of the most virtuous and wise sons of their city”. Using the epithets “virtuous” and “wise” to describe Colston is in direct contrast to the lived realities of subaltern subjects who were affected by the brutality of the Royal African Company (RAC), of which Colston was a shareholder and eventually Deputy Governor. This kind of romanticist and revisionist description is a hallmark of the official narrative of the “post-colonial cut”, an idealisation of a public memory and nostalgia for a “Bristol that never was” and, on a larger scale, a ‘Great’ Britain that never was. Bristolian resistance to this official narrative of the city has been documented for over a century. In 1920, clergyman Henry Wilkins condemned the “cult of Colston” and noted his links with the
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Similarly, published in 1973, Derek Robinson’s _A Shocking History of Bristol_ brought Colston’s chequered past into the public eye, reigniting debates about his celebrity status. ‘Slave Trader’ was scrawled onto the statue in 1998, resulting in national news coverage, and the name of the city’s most famous concert venue (formerly called ‘Colston Hall’) has been protested for decades. Though the venue had been in the process of being renamed since 2017, it was only after the felling of the statue that its name was officially changed.

Colston’s officially celebrated posthumous identity is spatially bound to Bristol as a metropolitan city—he has been called the “Bristol Saint” and a son of the city. However, his escapades, and certainly those of the RAC, were rooted in Africa and the Americas, a fact which is not acknowledged on the monument. This spatial and conceptual separation of the colony and the metropole, which constitutes a nationalist imagining of the British Empire, was the precursor to a transition from direct rule to neo-colonialism, commonwealth, and internal colonialism, optimistically and neatly contained within the phrase ‘post-colonialism’. It is important to recognise the debates around the distinction between postcolonialism as a theoretical approach and post-colonialism as a temporal phenomenon, predicated on the binary division between the time of colonialism and the time after colonialism. Anne McClintock perhaps most famously criticised the overoptimistic, abstract, and ahistorical uses of ‘post-
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colonialism’ to denote a common past and condition. In this paper, I follow the lead of Ashcroft et al. in acknowledging the heterogenous and nuanced applications of the term. I use the hyphenated form ‘post-colonialism’ to denote an idea of posteriority and progress and ‘postcolonialism’ to reference a more nuanced, resistance-centred theoretical approach.

The way that Colston is (mis)remembered in official narratives is a part of Britain’s ‘public memory’. Bodnar defines public memory as “a body of beliefs and ideas about the past that help a public or society understand both its past, present and, by implication, its future”, stressing that public memory is fundamentally a question of “the structure of power in society”. This idea of shaping understanding of the future and the contemporary relevance of public memory of colonialism in Britain was highlighted during the 2016 Brexit referendum. Trafford notes that during the ‘Vote Leave’ campaign, Britain’s “‘post-colonial melancholia’ for its lost colonies” was brought to a head. The nationalist Brexit discourse, exemplified by the slogan ‘Vote leave, take back control’, showed that despite concerted attempts to cultivate a culture of nostalgic romanticism, “Britain is necessarily stuck within the stuttering time-loops of the post-colonial cut”. Brexit stoked an old but smouldering fire. The felling of the Colston statue, which happened towards the end of the turbulent Brexit process, reignited post-colonial anxieties and discomfiture within the British imagination. This notion of taking back control which has been lost relates to a deep longing for the ‘good
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old days’, or what Paul Gilroy calls “fantasies of return to the imaginary homogeneity of past whiteness and the restoration of Britain's imperial status”.

That is to say, British public memory constantly tries to recapture and reimagine a “past not yet past”, a past of imagined simplicity that haunts the present. This imagined simplicity is often conceptualised as a time of cultural and ethnic homogeneity when the public was not accosted with ‘wokeness’, BLM, athletes taking the knee, and ‘political correctness gone mad’. In using ‘haunt’ here, I evoke the engagement of Black studies scholars with Jacques Derrida’s ‘hauntology’—the ghost-like return of the past in the present. As Diana Taylor puts it, pervasive narratives of conquest and control “haunt the present” and produce publicly acceptable memories. Taylor refers specifically to the Americas here; however, this idea of haunting certainly applies to the British context generally and to Bristol specifically. While the looming figure of Colston haunted some, it quietly comforted others.

**WHY IS THE FELLING OF THE COLSTON STATUE SO SIGNIFICANT?**

In *The Black Shoals*, Tiffany Lethabo King problematises the official narratives and public memory of settler colonialism in the United States. Through an analysis of a Christopher Columbus statue, defaced and tagged by protestors in Boston, she conceptualises conquest as a “living present” that is constantly repeated, realised, and resisted. She extends Patrick Wolfe’s assertion that conquest and invasion is “a structure not an event”, stating that conquest and settler colonial violence is “a milieu or active set of relations that we can push on, move around in, and redo from moment to moment”.
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moment.”59 I believe the same can be said of the various other projects of the British Empire. King calls the defacing of the Columbus statue “anti-colonial theatricality”60, a performance that violently, if momentarily, interrupts narratives that “disavow and ‘unknow’ the ongoing violence of conquest”.61 I contend that the collective performance of removing the Colston statue and throwing it into the harbour where slave ships once moored62 constitutes anti-colonial theatricality that resists British romanticism and colonial nostalgia. Those who removed the Edward Colston statue showed that Bristol, a city whose wealth is directly linked to the transatlantic slave trade63, can be a site of resistance rather than quiet complicity.

In Foucauldian terms, this anti-colonial theatricality of vandalising and removing the Colston statue is a kind of ‘counter-memory’,64 which “looks to the past for the hidden histories excluded from dominant narratives” and “forces revision of existing histories by supplying new perspectives about the past”.65 When it comes to colonialism, imperialism, and conquest, the dominant narrative in Bristol, and Britain as a whole, is cloaked in romanticism and revisionism, a narrative that has stubbornly persisted in the face of decades of criticism. The felling did not come out of the blue, nor is it the first anti-colonial theatrical performance in Bristol, but it was one of the loudest rebuttals yet to the drone of colonial romanticism. As a theatrical performance, the removal and vandalism of the statue literally forces this public memory into view and into question. The importance and power of performance in counter-memory and
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identity construction has previously been discussed.\textsuperscript{66} However, Buffington and Waldner\textsuperscript{67} have cautioned that the physical removal of monuments can ironically lead to a form of harmful counter-revisionism that ultimately produces an equally ahistorical and romanticised counter-narrative. This has also been argued in the British press after a sculpture of Black Lives Matter protestor Jen Reid was erected (and quickly removed) in place of the Colston statue.\textsuperscript{68} However, I argue that in this case, the physicality of the vandalism and toppling was a direct and much-needed refusal of the spatio-temporal cut narrative that pervades in British post-colonialism. I contend that anti-colonial theatricality is not the same as romanticism. Statues are not “merely symbolic” and nor is the act of tearing them down; indeed, as Rahul Rao notes, the felling of statues has been “emblematic of liberation” for Anglo-American imperialism as well as postcolonial resistance.\textsuperscript{69} In direct response to what the Home Office calls “widespread upset about the damage and desecration of memorials with a recent spate over the summer of 2020”,\textsuperscript{70} a new Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Bill was introduced. Section 46 in particular,\textsuperscript{71} which has increased the maximum penalty for criminal damage of less than £5,000 to a memorial from 3 months’ to 10 years’ imprisonment, highlights the tangible value that statues and monuments have in the eyes of the carceral British state.

It is also important to note the collectivity of the removal of the statue. Such collaboration is a way of reclaiming power through “collective catharsis”, which Fanon describes as “a channel, an outlet through which the forces accumulated in the forms of aggression can be released.” For Fanon, such catharsis or cleansing cannot happen without violence—indeed, he contends that violence is a “cleansing force” insofar as it allows the native to reclaim and restore their identity. Of course, neo-metropolitan Bristol is very different to Algeria under violent colonial rule, where Fanon writes from. The violence that Fanon describes is also not the same as the violence enacted by the Black Lives Matters protestors (though it is important to note that the vandalism did have direct carceral consequences, as four people were charged with criminal damage under the new Crime Bill). However, the principal of “collective catharsis” is still useful when thinking about responses and resistance to a system that is “always changing and in flux”. Of course, removing a statue in and of itself is not decolonial. However, as Bristol City Poet Vanessa Kisuule has noted, the “blissful catharsis” of the removal of a statue whose presence has been questioned for decades should not be quickly dismissed. In this new phase of hegemonic rule, there is a parallel to be drawn between the necessity of violence for decolonisation as Fanon describes it and also for anti-colonial resistance as King sees it. Whether in colonial Algeria, the settler colonial United States, or neocolonial Britain, resistance to colonialism and its many effects must involve collaboration and disruption.
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CONCLUSION

The dominant narrative of a spatio-temporal cut at the end of formal empire has led to a public reimagining of the violent realities of colonialism and its effects in Britain today. With the felling of the Colston statue, official narratives of (mis)remembrance have been countered and resisted. This resistance is not new, but it has taken on a new life with the violent disruption of the city’s most potent symbol of historical revisionism. Applying the thinking of King, I have argued that the toppling of the statue, similar to the vandalism of a Christopher Columbus statue in Boston, was an example of anti-colonial theatricality that disrupts the dominant narratives of colonial pastness. The performance constructed a counter-memory through collective catharsis. As Foucault shows, the relationship between knowledge and power is one of entanglement and inseparability: what we ‘know’ about the past is bound to structures of power. The Black Lives Matter protestors in Bristol momentarily interrupted the dominant narrative upheld by structures of power in Britain by showing that colonialism is indeed a “living present”. The visceral carceral reaction of the government to the felling of the Colston statue confirms that symbols of public memory are much more than decorative or commemorative. New laws introduced by Communities Secretary Robert Jenrick in January 2021 seek to safeguard “historic monuments at risk of removal” and “protect England’s cultural and historic heritage” from “woke militants who want to censor the past”. In this time of renewed neocolonial fervour, critical scholarship that engages with acts of resistance such as this is imperative. This essay is a small contribution to this important work.
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