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Abstract

Since a full appreciation of the part is not possible without an appreciation of the whole, we have considered it appropriate to supply the necessary background information to the main body of the thesis in Part I which, therefore, serves as an introduction to Part II.

Part I comprises three chapters: Chapter 1 situates the dialect of Igbo being described here and gives an overview of Igbo language studies up to the present moment, pointing out their relevance to the subject of our investigation here - Noun Phrase Sentential Complementation. In chapter 2, we establish the phonological and morpho-syntactic features of the dialect so as to make subsequent references to them easy, and also to draw attention to some fundamental differences between our dialect and that described by Green and Igwe (1963). The third and final chapter deals with Tone in Generative Phonology.

Part II consists of six chapters, 4-9. Chapter 4 gives the theoretical orientation of the thesis, identifies all the categories of Igbo Noun Phrase (NP) complements and argues for a deep structure generation of complementisers or the conjunctions functional in NP complementation. Chapter 5 deals with Indicative or Na complementation, the class of matrix (main clause) verbs involved, and provides syntactic evidence in justification of the factive - non-factive distinction as applied to Igbo. Chapter 6 examines the Interrogative or Ma_2 complements (that is, embedded Yes/No questions) and reaches the conclusion that the Igbo equivalents of embedded English WH - Questions are not instances of NP complements, but of relative clauses.

In chapter 7, we examine Imperative or Si complementation and show that the possibility of embedding imperative structures as NPs is due to the fact that the complementiser in this construction type is Si,
a form of the verb *isi* - 'to say.' Chapter 8 is the last of these chapters on the mechanics of complementation, and its subject is the subjunctive or *Kà/Mà* complementation; the fact that this is the only complement type that is subject to Equi - NP Deletion is an automatic consequence of the semantic features of the matrix verbs involved.

The last chapter, 9, is rather discursive and speculative; its main concern is with how the description of languages such as Igbo might contribute to linguistic theory.

The Bibliography gives a list of the works to which reference has been made in the course of this research. A sample lexicon as well as a chapter which argues that Igbo complementizers and function words must be verb-forms are contained in the Appendix.
I would consider this thesis incomplete without a record of my deep sense of gratitude to all those who have encouraged me morally, financially or both at various stages in the course of its preparation.

First, I would like to record my indebtedness to my supervisor, Professor J. Carnochan who, throughout the trying period of my registration at the School of Oriental and African Studies (S.O.A.S.), has rendered me assistance beyond the scope of mere academic supervision. The research grant which I got from the West African Linguistics Society and the subsequent fee remission granted to me by the School for the academic year 1974/75 all bear testimony to his untiring effort to minimise my suffering and hardship.

To emphasize the humanitarian side of Professor Jack Carnochan is not to ignore his academic quality. I have benefitted immensely from his wealth of experience, and knowledge of linguistics.

Next, my gratitude is due to Dr. Neilson V. Smith, the head of the Dept. of Linguistics and Phonetics, University College, London, for gladly accepting to go through the whole of the second part of this thesis, and offering such insightful suggestions and constructive criticisms as have greatly improved the theoretical quality of this thesis.

I am also grateful to the following: Dr. Ruth M. Kempson, of the Dept. of Phonetics and Linguistics, and Mr. F.D.D. Winston, Dept. of Africa, S.O.A.S. for their constractive criticism of earlier draft of this thesis;

Dr. Rebecca Posner and Mr. John Kelly, both of the Dept. of Language, University of York, for their kind assistance to me while at York.

I would also like to thank the following societies and individuals: The West African Linguistics Society for the research grant they made
to me in August 1974;
The British Council whose scholarship made it possible for me to come to Britain in the first instance for postgraduate studies, and enabled me to obtain the first higher degree of B. Phil. in Linguistics at the University of York;

Mr. Alfred O. Ukaegbu, M. Sc, a colleague of mine and postgraduate student at the London School of Economics, who together with his wife, Christie, volunteered a timely financial help at a crucial stage when I desperately needed it;

Mr. G.B.I. Onuoha, M.Sc, M.PH, the head of the Department of Health and Physical Education, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, now at King's College, London, whose follow-feeling and elder-brother attitude towards me has not only yielded some financial aid but also has continued to save me a lot of incidental expenditure on travels in London;

Miss Christine Prince, the adviser to Oversea students, and the deputy Secretary to Sir Ernest Cassel Educational Trust, Mrs. Barbara Hardman, who between them made me some grant;

To those lecturers and professors of the Dept. of Phonetics and Linguistics, S.O.A.S., especially Professor R. H. Robins, the head of the Dept., and Professor Eugenie J. Henderson, whose lectures and seminars I have benefitted from, I say I am grateful.

If this thesis is worth anything, I owe it to my wife, Ovute, for my pursuit of this degree has called for more personal sacrifice from her: In the absence of any scholarship, she has had to forego her own studies with the sole aim of seeing me through. Without her encouragement, understanding and abiding love, I could not have persevered. To her and Mrs. Cyrina Njoku I am very grateful for their personal involvement in the accurate typing of this rather complex thesis.
## CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstract</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledgement</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 1</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The work and its contribution to the study of language</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igbo in the context of Nigerian Languages</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igbo - A Tone Language</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstract Syntax and Tone</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The dialect under investigation</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventions used in the thesis</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igbo language study - an overview</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chapter 2

| The Phonological and Grammatical features of the dialect | 29 |
| Introduction | 33 |
| Phonology - Consonants and Vowels | 35 |
| Vowel Harmony | 44 |
| Assimilation | 55 |
| Phonemic (Vowel) Assimilation | 58 |
| Lexical creation, Assimilation and Elision | 59 |
| Tonemic (Low Tone) Assimilation | 62 |
| Coalescent Assimilation | 67 |
| Affixes | 70 |
| Inflectional Prefix and Suffixes - The Open Vowel Prefix A- | 72 |
| Affirmative Suffixes - The Open Vowel Suffix -0/A | 74 |
2.3. 3 Other Affirmative Suffixes

(i) The Simple Present with Zero Suffix

(ii) The Progressive Present Suffix -ghA

(iii) The Progressive Present with (Auxiliary) Na'

(iv) The Future " ga'

(v) The Past Tense Suffix -rV

(vi) The Progressive Past with Na'

(vii) The Perfect Suffix -1A' nA'

2.3. 4 The Negative Suffixes

(i) The Neg. Imperative Suffix -1A' nA

(ii) The Neg. Present and Past Suffix -ghI/-hII

And the Periphrastic Neg. Construction

(iii) The Neg. Perfect Suffix -bele

2.3. 5 The Open Vowel Prefix - A Re-examination

2.4. 0 Construction Types - The Affirmative Division

2.4. 1 Conditional Clauses A & B

2.4. 2 Relative "

2.4. 3 The Purpose Clause

2.4. 4 The Negative Division - Conditional Clauses

2.4. 5 Relative Clauses A & B

2.4. 6 The Purpose Clause

Chapter 3 Tone

3. 0 Introduction

3. 1 Underlying Phonological Representation

3. 2 Syntactically determined Tone Changes

And Downdrift

3. 3 Tone Rules

3. 4 Tone Classes
3.5 Application of Tone Rules to Igbo Nominal Constructions 140
3.6 Relativization and the Tone Rules 153

Part II
Chapter 4 NP Sentential Complementation in Igbo 165
4.0.0 Theoretical Orientation
4.0.1 Base Rules 173
4.0.2 Rules forming Complex Symbol on Major Lexical Categories
4.0.3 The Lexicon 175
4.0.4 Lexical Insertion Rules
4.0.5 Transformation Rules (T-Rules) 176
4.0.6 Explanation of Linguistic Terms 177

(i) Derivation
(ii) Tree
(iii) Labelled Bracketing
(iv) Dominance
(v) Left or Right Sister
(vi) " " " Daughter
4.0.7 Deep and Surface Structure Constraints
4.0.8 Semantic and Phonological Components
4.0.9 Interpretive Syntax VS. Generative Semantics 180

4.1.0 Categories of Igbo NP Complements:

The Na' Indicative Complements 182

4.1.1 Ma' Interrogative (Embedded Yes/No Question) Complements 187

4.1.2 Ke' / ma' Subjunctive Complements 189
4.1.3 Si' Imperative Complements 193
4.1.4 Igbo Complementizer Morphemes 194
Chapter 5  Na Indicative Complementation  234
5.0.0  Introduction  236
5.0.1  Complementation or Nominalization  236
5.0.2  Order and Cycle in Syntax  247
5.1.0  Na NP Complementation  256
5.1.1  Object NP Complementation  275
5.1.2  The Parameters of NP - Complements: The Factive and Non-Factive Distinction  279
5.1.3  Emotive Predicates  291
5.2.0  Emotive Predicates with Conditional Clause Subject NP - Complement  297
5.2.1  Emotive Predicates and Raising  316
5.3.0  Na NP Complement Predicates  320

Chapter 6  Me2 NP (Embedded Yes/No Question)  324
6.0.  Introduction  325
6.1.  Types of Igbo Questions  325
6.2.  Me2 NP-Complement: Embedded Yes/No Question  334
6.3.0  Embedded Kedv Questions  345
6.3.1  Kedv-Questions & NP-Complementation  357
6.4.  Otho-headed NP's and Emotive Predicates  364
6.5.  Interrogative (Me2) Complement Verbs  376

Chapter 7  Si (Imperative) Complementation  378
7.0.0  Introduction  378
7.1.0  Igbo Imperatives and the Range of Phonemena Examined: Peremptory Declaratives  379
Chapter 8

8.0.0 Introduction

8.1.0 Ka'na Complement Constructions

8.1.1 The Optatives, iko and ikeho

8.2.0 Subjunctive Complementation & Equi-NP Deletion

8.2.1 Equi-NP Deletion & Igbo Aspectuals

8.2.2 Equi-NP Deletion & Negative Purpose Constructions

8.3.0 Sources of Igbo Infinitives

8.3.1 Nominals/Gerunds Versus Infinitives

8.3.2 The Enigmatic Case

8.4.0 The NP and VP Complement Distinction

Chapter 9

Epilogue

Appendix I

Chapter 10

Towards a Coherent Theory of Igbo Function

Words: Conjunctions Complementizers & Prepositions

10.0.0 Introduction

10.1.0 Functions Words as Predicates

10.2.0 Ka & Ma Subjunctive Complementizers

10.3.0 Ma Interrogative Complementizers

10.3.1 Ma Conditional & Temporal

10.3.2 The Disjunctive Ma
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.4.0</td>
<td>The Na's in Igbo</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4.1</td>
<td>The Auxiliary Verb Ina</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4.2</td>
<td>The so-called Na Prefix</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4.3</td>
<td>Na Conjunction &amp; Complementizer</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4.4</td>
<td>Na Preposition</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4.5</td>
<td>The Auxiliary Verb Na and Na Conjunction</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And Preposition</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix II Sample Lexicon
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1. This work and its contribution to the study of Language

This work is completely original and its aim is two-fold:

(a) To contribute to the literature on the Igbo language and thus increase our knowledge of this Tone Language in particular, and possibly, of typologically similar languages in general;

(b) From an accurate description of this dialect to try to provide some answers, albeit tentative, to some of the issues raised by transformational generative theory.

With regard to the first aim, this work represents the first systematic description of the process of complex sentence formation in Igbo. Our emphasis has been on an accurate description of a wide range of data so that any hypothesis we have made might not be very easily falsified.

In the first part of this thesis, we have demonstrated that:

(a) There are inflectional affixes in Igbo and that these are obligatory, in verb inflectional morphology, rather than optional, as has been suggested by Green and Igwe (1963).

(b) A description of Igbo construction types along the Affirmative and Negative divisions is much more revealing and of general relevance to other dialects of the language than the Green and Igwe analysis of Subject Verb Forms I and II based on the presence and absence respectively of the open vowel prefix.
(c) Igbo is very much a "Verb" language, and what are semantically empty function words in other languages are verb forms in the language.

All these findings, together with new facts about Igbo phonology such as Tone assimilation, Regressive and Coalescent phonemic assimilation along with new dimensions of vowel harmony, will lead to a more accurate description and a better understanding of Igbo.

In pursuit of its second aim - its possible contribution to linguistic theory, the research has provided some tentative answers to some of the current issues in the theory of generative, transformational grammar.

Following Rosenbaum (1967) and Robin Lakoff (1968), the transformational treatment of sentential complementation has assumed that complementisers are semantically empty morphemes which have no place in the base, but are introduced into the appropriate derived structures by means of a transformation; hence the transformational hypothesis of complementiser insertion. In reaction against the above theoretical assumption, it has been argued, notably by Bresnan (1970) and Paul and Carol Kiparsky (1971) that complementisers are far from the semantically empty morphemes that they have been taken to be. They point out that complementiser selection must be sensitive to the semantic interpretation of the embedded NP complement, and therefore argue for a deep structure specification of complementisers. This is the Phrase structure hypothesis. Our investigation shows that for a tone language such as Igbo, it is necessary to introduce complementisers by means of base rules.
Secondly, we have shown that certain Igbo conjunctions, complementisers and prepositions are associated with existing verbs of the language.

Thirdly, the research reveals that only one category of NP complements - the subjunctive ka/mi complements - are subject to the rule of Equi - NP deletion followed by Infinitivization. In view of the fact that verbs which are subject to this rule in different languages - English, Japanese, Igbo, Akan - share similar, if not identical semantic features, the hypothesis becomes irresistible, namely, that those rules of language which are truly universal (and EQUI may be one such rule) are likely to be those which are semantically determined.

Finally, we have shown that there is no motivation for any distinction between NP and VP complementation in Igbo.

1.2.0 Igbo in the Context of Nigerian Languages

Igbo is one of the three major languages of Nigeria, the others being Hausa, and Yoruba. It is the major language of Eastern Nigeria, spoken by all the people who inhabit what is now the East Central State (7.5 million people according to the 1963 Census figures), and by the West Niger Igbo in the Midwest State. It is also the first language of Diobu - Port Harcourt and Ikwere Ece, whose peoples are racially Igbo, and of the Opobo people (as a second language), all in what is now known as the Rivers State of Nigeria.

Igbo has been classified as a member of the Kwa group of languages by Westerman (1952) and Greenberg (1960).

---

1 We have avoided using the 1973 Census figures because they are subject of serious controversy in Nigeria, and are not to be quoted until they have been validated.
Greenberg's classification is the more recent of the two and describes the Kwa group as one of the subgroups of the larger family of languages to which he gives the name NIGER-CONGO. The Kwa subgroup of languages covers most of the coastal areas from Liberia down to and including Southern Nigeria; the Kwa languages are mainly non-class, tone languages.

1.3 Igbo - A Tone Language

Igbo is a tone language; the function of tone in the tone languages of the world is so increasingly becoming the subject of research that familiarity with the term is taken for granted here. Moreover, the subject of this thesis is the syntax of an aspect of a tone language, and since tone is central to the syntax of tone language, the functioning of tone will become increasingly clear as the thesis progresses. Briefly, then, a tone language is one that makes use of lexically and syntactically significant pitch contrasts. As an illustration of lexically significant pitch contrasts, the now familiar quartet will be sufficient:

1. (a) ákwa (high-low) cloth
(b) ákwa (low-high) egg
(c) ákwa (high-high) cry
(d) ákwa (low-low) bed
(e) ìnù (high-downstep) - a proper name.

These minimal pairs are distinguished by their contrasting tone patterns.

The interplay of tone and word order is the essence of the syntax of the Igbo language, of which Noun Phrase Sentential Complementation is an integral part.
In view of the fact that the grammatical significance of tone will become evident in this thesis, a few examples will suffice to illustrate the point here:

2 (a) 0' riri ya amusu (Declarative)

He ate him/her witchcraft:
He practised witchcraft on him/her.

(b) 0' riri ya amusu? (Question)

Did he practise witchcraft on him/her?

In these two examples, the change from a declarative to an interrogative sentence has been signalled by the contrasting tone of the pronoun subject, 0, which is high in 2(a) but low in 2(b).

2 (c) 0' ga ejhe ahyâ eci

He will go to market tomorrow.

(d) 0' ga ejhe ahyâ eci.

He will go market of tomorrow:
He will go to tomorrow's market.

Observe here that the change of grammatical function is indicated by the tone patterns: in 2(c) eci is just an adverbial adjunct, but in 2(d) the same item is in constituency with ahyâ in the nominal phrase, ahyâ eci, a fact which accounts for its final downstep.

1.3.1. Abstract Syntax and Tone

The number of distinctive tones which a language has in deep structure does not depend on the number of pitch contrasts that the language employs.
In Igbo, for example, we have a three-level pitch contrast, high (') contrasting with a low (\textasciitilde), and downstep high (-) which contrasts with its absence. But only the high and low pitches need be specified in deep structure; the third - the downstep or lowered high, is a surface or phonetic manifestation of various grammatical relationships, and therefore has no place in deep structure in this dialect under investigation. Unlike the mid tone in Yoruba, the occurrence of which does not depend on a preceding high tone, the Igbo downstep is always a relation between two high tones.

To say this much is not to imply that downstep is the only surface manifestation of underlying grammatical relationships in Igbo. A high or low tone is as much a marker of grammatical relationships as a downstep, but with this difference that early grammars of the language drew the attention of scholars to the presence of this tonal phenomenon because it is much more common in Igbo than, say, a low tone which derives from an underlying high tone or vice versa.

2. Professor Kay Williamson points out in her Igbo-English Dictionary, Ethiope Publishing Corporation, Benin City, Nigeria, 1972, that the Onitsha dialect of Igbo has minimal pairs such as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Igbo</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>álu</td>
<td>bite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>álu</td>
<td>abomination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>áma</td>
<td>space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/-</td>
<td>a mark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This contrast does not exist in the dialect being described here, except in Prepositional Phrases as in -

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Igbo</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n'ónú</td>
<td>in the mouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n'imé</td>
<td>inside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(cf 3.4. p.139).
For example, Mr. Winston has likened the Igbo downstep to the English morpheme \{-s\} which has the following syntactic functions:

(a) It is the Plural marker of count nouns;
(b) " " " Singular " " verbs;
(c) " " " Possessive " " nouns and pronouns;
(d) It also indicates the unstressed Auxiliaries such as has and is as in "It's time".

The comparison is very appropriate.

But one should not forget that there are some surface or phonetic high pitches which derive from underlying low ones, in the same way as there are phonetic or surface low pitches which are high in deep or phonological representation. For example, Igbo is full of nominal structures such as those of 3 where surface high and low pitches originate from deep low and high ones respectively.

3 (a) ala ≠ Ibe → ala Ibe: Ibe's place of land.
       odhu ≠ mgbadha → odhu mgbadha: an antelope's tail.

Tone changes such as the above and their generation in the phonological component of the grammar by means of tone rules, form the subject of chapter 3.

In the same way, the rising glide that nouns of tone classes 3 and 4 (see 3.6.D) develop in relative clauses must also be seen as a surface or phonetic phenomenon:

4 (a) Ala kugburu mādhū → Alā kūgburu mādhū

The god, Ala, killed somebody  The god, Ala, that killed somebody.

Mr. F.D.D. Winston, Department of Africa, S.O.A.S. in an informal discussion.
4 (b) Ḟụ́ụ́ ụzara ọzhi  →  Ḟụ́ụ́ ụzara ọzhi  
Ogu swept the compound  Ogu who swept the compound

All these tone changes reflected by examples 3 and 4 are exponents of an underlying syntactic relationship as much as a downstep is. What these examples reveal is that whereas downstep remains a surface marker of underlying syntactic relationships, high (that is, non-downstep high) and low tones are basically deep structure tones which may also mark some grammatical relationships as in 3(a) above.

The foregoing examples suggests the need for Deep or Abstract syntax in the analysis of tone languages. As we shall show in Chapter 3, it enables one to account for the above tone changes in a systematic way, and to capture essential relationship between items in structure, where this exists.

1.4.0 The Dialect under Investigation

The dialect being described here is one of the dialects of Ezinihitte in Mbaire Division. It is one of the central Igbo dialects and has a lot in common with Ọchụ́, the dialect of Green and Igwe, but much more with the dialect described by Swift, Ahaghotu and Ugorji (1962). Like other dialects of Igbo, this dialect has a terrace-level tone system. The phonological and morpho-syntactic characteristics of the dialect form the subject of the following chapter 2.

1.5 Conventions Employed in The Thesis

Orthography The orthography used throughout this dissertation is the Official Igbo Orthography approved and adopted by the East Regional government of Nigeria in 1961 and used by Green and Igwe in their Descriptive Grammar of Igbo (1963).
Tone-marking Convention

Our system of tone marking differs from the now conventional system employed by Green and Igwe in which high tones are left unmarked. In our tone notation, only the first of a sequence of level tones on the same pitch is marked, the rest being left unmarked until a contrasting pitch is reached. Very rarely has a sequence of two highs or lows on the same pitch been marked, except for the purposes of contrast or in order to draw attention to a particular syllable. Thus, a fully tone-marked sentence such as 5(a) is marked throughout this thesis as in 5(b).

欲知是否他将要来：我想知道他是否要来。

5 (a) Acoro m imma m à 0 ga abya →
(b) Acoro m imma m à 0 ga abya

I want to know if he will come. I want to whether (or not) he will come. Contrast the above pair with the following 6(a) and (b) where the contrasting tones of pronoun subject in Declarative and Interrogative complements are being highlighted:

I think that he went to Owere
I wonder whether he " "

If attention were not being drawn to the contrasting tones of the pronoun subject of the complements clauses in 6(a) and (b), 6(b) would be marked as in 6(c)

(c) Ece m ma 0 gara Owere

Translation into English

As a general policy, we have adopted the method of giving the literal translation of our Igbo examples first, and the idiomatic English equivalent afterwards.
This has been done to facilitate the reader's understanding of the sentences and their internal structure, and thus enable him to follow any arguments based on such an understanding. This general principle is, however, relaxed in certain cases; for example, if in a paradigm the literal translation of the first member has been given followed by its idiomatic equivalent, then only the idiomatic rendering of subsequent members is given, since the literal rendering of the entire paradigm is assumed to have been provided by the translation of the first member. In other cases, a sentence may be straightforward and there is nothing gained in giving a literal translation first; in such cases only the idiomatic rendering has been considered necessary.

Braces \{ \} serve two functions. They may be used to collapse two or more rules sharing part of their structural description (SD). Thus, an expression of the form

\[
X \{ Y \} \quad \Upsilon
\]

is an abbreviation of the following two strings

(a) \( X \ Y \ \Upsilon \)
(b) \( X \ Z \ \Upsilon \)

in that order (in the case of ordered rules).

In giving examples, braces are also used to indicate sets of synonymous expressions as in 7

7 (a) \( 0 \{ \text{ghūgha} \} \ \text{ahu} \)
(b) \( 0 \{ \text{nrā aghu} \} \ \text{ahu} \)
Parenthesis (Circular Brackets) also serve two functions. They may be used to indicate optional items in structural descriptions; thus

\[ X (Y) Z \]

is an abbreviation of the two strings

\[ X Y Z \]
\[ X Z \]

in that order.

They may also be used to indicate optional items in examples, that is, items which may be omitted without any loss or change in meaning.

Square Brackets \([\quad]\) have been used here to show phonetic representations and also to separate constituents of a phrase marker in which case they are usually labelled, as in

\[
\text{NP} \left[ \left[ \text{N} \left[ \text{Verb} \ 	ext{NP} \right] \right] \text{Rel. Cl.} \ 	ext{Rel. Cl.} \right] \text{NP} \]
\[ \text{VP} \]

They are also used to enclose (phonological) features as in

\[
\begin{cases} 
\begin{array}{c}
\text{[+S]} \\
\text{[-R]}
\end{array} & \text{for a high tone,}
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
\begin{cases} 
\begin{array}{c}
\text{[+S]} \\
\text{[-R]}
\end{array} & \text{for a low tone.}
\end{cases}
\]

The Asterisk * indicates sentences characterised by the grammar as deviant or ill-formed.

The Solid Arrow \(\rightarrow\) has been used in Phrase Structure rules to mean 're-write as' as in \(S \rightarrow \text{NP} \ 	ext{VP}\)

The Double Arrow \(\Longrightarrow\) means "is transformed into" and is used with respect to transformational rules.
As far as we know, the present study represents the first systematic account of the process of formation of any Igbo complex sentence type either within the Traditional Descriptive or Modern Transformational model. This is not to say that the Igbo language has not been described before in any detail. Far from that, there is a handful of Igbo grammars, some of which are briefly discussed below.

Among these, the first attempt to construct a grammar of Igbo in the larger frame is Ida Ward's AN INTRODUCTION TO THE IBO LANGUAGE, (1936). Ward's grammar is mainly a pioneer work with a modest aim "to set out the results of research into the tones and tonal behaviours of Ibo, and to present these results in such a way as to introduce the learner to the difficulties of the language gradually, as far as this is possible. It may be considered as a kind of handbook covering the initial stages of grammar and tones ....... " (Introduction P. IX). Despite this modest aim, Ida Ward does go beyond simple sentences and tones to more complex sentences with subordinate (embedded) clauses. Chapter XXIV of this book on Subordinate Clauses devotes a section to Noun Clauses (the traditional label for Noun phrase sentential complements), although only the Na noun clauses are briefly treated by way of a few illustrative examples. A separate chapter IX is devoted to infinitives; although she gives representative Igbo sentences with infinitival complements, she does not link these infinitives with any other non-infinitive clauses in the language.
This observation must not be construed as a criticism of Ward or, indeed, any other scholar of the Igbo language because the insight into the necessary relationship between surface and deep structures has only been recently made possible by transformational generative theory.

The next description of Igbo worth discussing is the Swift, Ahaghotu and Ugorji IGBO BASIC COURSE (1962). This is a purely pedagogic grammar aimed at foreigners, and is, therefore, primarily concerned with the identification of tone contrasts by means of tone drill exercises. It is, however, the only Igbo Grammar of those mentioned here that identifies  as a conjunction (that is, the complementiser), and associates it with the verb  'to say' thus: "this form of the verb isi is used following verbs referring to what a person suggested, desired, agreed, intended and the like to introduce a clause of reporting (indirectly) the intention or suggestion." This is the first indication of the manifold function of  in the Ezinihitte dialect of Igbo. Swift et al. also mention the substitutability of  for  in their discussion of Ka clauses which they describe as Hortatives. They argue thus: "Hortative means 'mandatory' or 'advisory', and this form following Ka (that) is a stronger order than after si where it is a suggestion" (P 314).
The reliability of this semantic distinction based on si and kà is, however, questionable. The fact is that si can be used in place of any of the conjunctions (complementisers) which we show in chapter 4 to be functional in Igbo NP complementation. But the substitution of si for any of the other conjunctions carries with it some stylistic implications as the following 8 (a) - (c) show:

8 (a) Anyi coro si kà Ogu mechee (very formal)
(b) " " ka " " (formal)
(c) " " si " " (colloquial)

We want that Ogu finish:

We want Ogu to finish (what he is doing) Thus, the above sentences vary from the very formal to the colloquial, and the question of one being a stronger order than the other does not arise since kà does not introduce the imperative in Igbo, a point which we take up in chapter 8.

In their discussion of Reported Speech (Nà complementation) Swift et al rightly observe that Subject pronoun singular may differ in form from reported speech to direct address. They thus give the form of subject pronouns in Reported Speech as follows:

9 (a) Am I
(b) Agi You
(c) Aya He/she

The observation is correct, and Green and Igwe (1963) describe such forms as those of 9 as the Emphatic form. But what they fail to point out is that such forms occur much more frequently after the conjunction si than after any of the others.
By far the most comprehensive and detailed grammatical description of Igbo in existence is the Green and Igwe, *A DESCRIPTIVE GRAMMAR OF IGBO* (1963); yet it is almost silent on Noun Clauses, a topic which it dismisses in a couple of illustrative sentences under the general heading of clauses. Their chapter on Conjunctions does not seem to be based on any discernible system. As far as one can judge, the value of this chapter on conjunctions lies, not so much in the insight it gives about the functioning of Igbo conjunctions, as in its numerous and varied examples. Undoubtedly, this book is a copious description of Igbo with a lot of detailed discussion and illustration of the Subject Verb Forms and Relative clauses. There is little doubt, however, that some aspects of Igbo grammar have had to suffer as a result of the detailed attention given elsewhere.

Within the transformational model of grammatical description, Mrs. Patricia Carrell's *A TRANSFORMATIONAL GRAMMAR OF IGBO* (1970) represents the first application of the transformational generative theory developed by Chomsky to the description of the Igbo language. Like all the previous works so far discussed, it is a grammar in the larger frame and, consequently, does not treat any aspect of Igbo syntax in any detail. Nevertheless, this transformational analysis of Igbo deserves some detailed comment here because of the differences between it and the one presented in Part II of this thesis.

Our analysis differs in some fundamental respects from that of Mrs. Carrell. To begin with, all our complementisers are generated by rules of the base, and we have advanced reasons to justify this method in chapter 4 (4.3).
We have also defended the specification of the abstract head proform *ya* to all NP complements in Igbo. All this is in contrast with Mrs. Carrell's analysis: she neither has the abstract proform *ya* in base structure, nor does she generate her complementisers by base rules. On the contrary, she introduces complementisers by a simple substitution transformation, much in the tradition of Rosenbaum (1967), which changes the phrase boundary symbol into the conjunction *Na* or *Ka*. She says nothing about embedded questions. Her silence on this aspect of NP complementation is understandable from the fact that the dissertation from which her book originated was submitted at the University of Texas at Austin in 1967, only a matter of two years after Chomsky's ASPECTS. Until Bresnan (1970) pointed out that embedded questions are also instances of NP complements, transformational generative grammarians had not come to recognise this fact.

In matters of detail, our analysis differs from Carrell's. For example, we have not found any syntactic justification for deriving the surface *Ka* complements from a structure such as the following via a Reduction transformation:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
X_1 & NP, & (AUX) & V, & NP & Ka & NP & X_2 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
Sc & 5 & \text{null} & (i.e. delete 5) \\
\text{Condition} & \text{optional} \\
\text{M‘ na ac‘ anw‘ ka anw‘ myo‘ thaa} & \rightarrow \\
\text{I want the sun that the sun shines today} \\
\text{M‘ na ac‘ ka anw‘ myo‘ thaa} & \\
\text{I want that the sun shines today} \\
\text{I want the sun to shine.}
\end{array}
\]
They want you to eat some yam.

To the above analysis she adds: "Kà clauses with the NP object of the main clause as the subject are said to be 'wordy' and redundant, though not ungrammatical, by native speakers. Hence, the reduction described in this rule, though optional, usually occurs" (F.52). This reduction rule is made necessary only by Carrell's analysis. A detailed examination of Igbo NP complementation such as we have presented in this thesis reveals that the above analysis of Kà clauses has little or no support from the facts of Igbo syntax. There are other issues that one could take up with Carrell's analysis of Igbo, but these belong, not to this thesis, but to a review of her TRANSFORMATIONAL GRAMMAR OF IGBO.
Chapter 2 The Phonological and Grammatical Features of the Dialect

2.0.0 Introduction

This chapter has been made necessary by a number of considerations. First, although this dialect being described here shares a lot of similarities with Ohuhu dialect described by Green and Igwe (1963), it has its own unique features which have never been described before. These features, some phonological, some grammatical, must be pointed out and highlighted here and elsewhere in this study so that their subsequent occurrence might not startle the reader.

Secondly, in morpho-syntax, there is a fundamental difference between this and the dialect of Green and Igwe, a difference which has only been pointed out in interpersonal discussions, but never put in print before. The difference is this: The role of Affixes (prefixes and suffixes) in the inflectional morphology of Igbo has been very narrowly understood, or at best, has been seen only from the viewpoint of the Green and Igwe Grammar of 1963. Although in a recent thesis accepted by the University of London for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in December 1973, Rev. Igwe presents a very detailed and informative analysis of the role of Affixation in Igbo grammar, the basic view expressed as far back as 1963 that suffixes are optional elements (the underlining is mine) in verb inflection is repeated in this thesis with greater emphasis. Since our own analysis based on facts from a different dialect suggest a radically different approach, this difference ought to be pointed out with equal emphasis, if only to make the reader aware of a different set of facts and different viewpoints, especially as we are convinced that our view is more representative of more dialects of Igbo than the analysis of Green and Igwe.
These views are expressed in sections 2.3 & 2.3.1 of this chapter.

Thirdly, the distribution of affixes according to construction types differs in the two dialects being discussed here. This difference in distribution makes it necessary for us to modify, to a great extent, the Green and Igwe distinction into Subject Verb Forms I & II based on the presence in Form II and its absence in Form I of the harmonising open vowel prefix here symboliser as A-.

In our dialect, the distinction holds only in Affirmative constructions; in Negative constructions, on the other hand, the need for such a distinction ceases to exist, since every Negative verb form in this dialect has an obligatory, harmonising prefix A-, except when the subject of such a verb is the monosyllabic, inseparable singular pronoun such as

\[ I/I \quad \text{You (singular)} \]
\[ 0/0 \quad \text{He/she/it} \]

For our dialect and others like it, it is more appropriate to talk of the Negative and Affirmative Conjugations of the Verb, making use of obligatory inflectional prefixes and suffixes, rather than of Subject Verb Forms I and II.

This chapter is divided into two parts: the first part deals with the phonological features, while the second is devoted to the grammatical characteristics - that is - the morpho-syntax and the polysystemic tone patterns associated with different construction types in Igbo.

### 2.1 Phonology

Under phonology, we shall discuss the following:

(i) Consonants and Vowels.

(ii) Vowel Harmony and

(iii) Assimilation
Consonants and Vowels:

Consonants

The consonant system of this dialect is like that of the general Central Igbo dialects; but it differs from some of them in possessing an ingressive /t/ which may be symbolised as /cT/ as in

\[ \text{ación} \quad \text{chewingstick} \]
\[ \text{udó} \quad \text{bow} \]

This means that our /cT/ varies with /t/ in other dialects as the following examples show:

- /t/ suffix in other dialects -/cT/ in ours
  
  - ífúta (to come out) ífúda
e  - ítútu to pick ítúda
e  - ízúta buy ízúda
  
  - ūtu weevil ūtdú
  
  - átu chewing stick ádu
  
  - útútu morning útúda

Although this sound correspondence exists between this dialect and others, it is not always consistent, since the dialect abounds in lexical items with /t/ where one would expect the ingressive /cT/. For example, one finds among the verbs, the following items with the /t/ phoneme where one might be led to expect the ingressive /cT/:

- /tu/ to grow but not *ído-
  
  - /t/” rub, dance, climb " *íde-

This correspondence is therefore not predictable, because not consistent.
As in most dialects of the Central Igbo area, aspiration, nasalisation, palatalisation and labialisation are distinctive in our dialect. A few minimal pairs are given below to illustrate these phonological features and the system of writing them in this thesis:

(a) **Aspiration**
- ọkụ - wealth
- ọkụ - termite
- ụkhụ - to plant
- ụkụ - to beat
- ịthọ - to wake
- ịtọ - to plaster, polish, smoothen.
- ụgụ - to tie (as of load), bind.
- ụgụ (ahwa) - to name (a child)

Throughout this thesis, the symbol g' is used to represent the aspirated, voiced velar stop. This has been done to avoid a possible confusion with the voiced velar fricative gh [ʁ] as in āgha [a ʁa] war.

(b) **Labialisation**
- ạnu - [aŋu] - bees
- ọnu - [aŋwụ] - sun

(c) **Nasalisation**
- ịgwụ - to pound (yam into fufu)
- ịgwụ - to clear a bush for planting
- ịgwụ (ede) - to harvest (coconut)
- ịgwụ - to hatch (eggs/chickens)
- ịgwụ ọnwụ - to bless, wish well
(d) **Palatalisation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>to grow/become rich, increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ḗbā</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḗbyā</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭpā</td>
<td>to carry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḛpyā  (hwa)</td>
<td>to flog, thrash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḛpyā  ṣokhalaka</td>
<td>to grow tall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḗrā (iře)</td>
<td>to show the tongue in swearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to swear in earnest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḗryā (ọryा)</td>
<td>to fall sick, be sick</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The implication of the phonemic status of these phonological features in the Central dialects of Igbo is that such dialects have many more consonant phonemes than the present Igbo orthography reveals. Professor Armstrong (1967) summarises the situation as follows: "......... in Igbo, as in the other Kwa Languages, there are in general no consonant clusters. On the other hand, there are many compound consonants, with quite complex articulations. We see that the Onitsha dialect has less than half as many consonants as any of the three Eastern dialects. The figures are: Ony 64 consonants, Owerri 60 consonants, (Ezinihitte in Mbasie is grouped under Owerri), Onitsha, 28 consonants ......." The problem of Igbo orthography is outside the scope of the present study, and does not receive any more mention here.

**Vowels**

Like most Igbo dialects, our dialect has 8 vowels which strictly observe vowel harmony. These are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FRONT</th>
<th>BACK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ɪ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The two distinct harmonising sets are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET 1</th>
<th>SET 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vowels in each set harmonise with one another, and where this harmony is broken, it is an indication that there are two distinct roots involved in a compound word, for example in Igbo compound verbs:

\[ \text{igafu} - \text{igá} + \text{ifu} \]

to get lost travelling
to go
to be lost

From a purely impressionistic account, we believe that the phoneme /e/ has two allophones in this dialect, as exemplified from the sound of the same orthographic /e/ in the following pair of words:

\[ \text{egbe} \quad \text{kite} \]
\[ \text{eke} \quad \text{python} \]

the tongue height in eke seems lower than in the articulation of the \[\text{e}\] in egbe - 'gun'. This needs to be investigated. The relative position of the above vowels on the Cardinal Vowel Chart is given by Dunstan (1969).
2.1.1 Vowel Harmony

Vowel harmony has long been recognised in Igbo; it has been given prosodic treatment by Professor Carnochan (1960) in his article "Vowel Harmony in Igbo." Being features of entire syllables, vowel harmony along with such other phonological features as nasalisation seem best captured in a prosodic treatment which is economical and descriptively adequate at the same time.

Vowel harmony is very rarely, if ever, broken in this dialect even where formatives (prefixes and suffixes) are involved. For example, the following 1(a) is possible in some dialects of Igbo, but only 1(b) in which the -ṭ suffix harmonises with the vowel of the preceding verb stem is used and heard in the dialect being described here.

1 (a) ụtara m nca
   (b) ụtere m nca

Bring for me soap: Bring me soap.

It is true to say that in this dialect all inflectional and derivational affixes either harmonise with or assimilate to the preceding vowel, be it in the verb stem or in another suffix. The only exception so far discovered is the perfect suffix, negative, -bẹ́le which maintains its phonetic and tonemic identity in all contexts.

Similarly, the phonetic form of the following prefix and pronouns is also governed by vowel harmony:

(a) The second, third, person, singular as well as the impersonal pronoun subject;

(b) The infinitive, high front vowel prefix.

This is why the following symbolisation has been used throughout this thesis as the underlying form of the foregoing items:
(i) A (With two phonetic realisations based on vowel harmony a/e) for the open vowel inflectional prefix, as well as for the indefinite pronoun.

(ii) 0 (With two phonetic realisations, o/o) for the open vowel inflectional suffix and for the third person, singular pronoun subject. In the case of the third person singular pronoun subject, 0 is a morphophonemic variant of a deeper form ya which is discussed extensively in chapter 5.

(iii) I (With two phonetic realisations i/i), represents the infinitive prefix, as well as the morphophonemic form of the second person pronoun gi.

The use of the above symbols is illustrated in the following examples:

2 (a) Ogu AriA A hwe → Ogu eriele hwe
Ogu has eaten (something).

(b) Ogu AgaA AlA ahyā → Ogu agaA ahyā
Ogu has been to the market.

3 (a) Unu fuO → Unu fuO
You people, go out

(b) Unu riA → Unu rie : Eat, you people

(c) Unu nuO → Unu nuO : Drink " "

(d) Unu gbuO → Unu gbuO : Kill, " "

(e) Unu dhaA → Unu dhaA : Fall down " "

4 O \{gav\} ahya → O gara ahya
\{jher\}
He went to market.
5  
(a) lickrV  ya'  →  lhruru  ya'  
Did you see him?

(b)  lorV  ya'  →  loro  ya'  
Did you swallow it?

6  
(a)  I  +  me  Verb  →  imir 'to do'

(b)  I  +  lu'  Verb  →  ilu 'to marry'

(c)  I  +  bi'  Verb  →  ibi 'to live'

For suffixes such as -rV which assimilates completely to the
preceding syllable in tone and vowel, and the preposition na which
assimilates to the tone and vowel of the following syllable, we
propose the following morpheme-structure (MS-) rules:

**MS-Rule 1**

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CV}_1 \\
\lambda
\end{array} \quad + \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{rV} \\
\end{array} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{CV}_1 \text{rV}_1 \\
\lambda
\end{array}
\]

where \( \lambda \) denotes the tone of the verb stem.

**MS-Rule 2(a)**

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{na} \\
\end{array} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{nuV}_1 \\
\lambda
\end{array} \quad / \\
\text{Nominal} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{V}_1 \\
\lambda
\end{array} \quad \left[ \text{CV}_2 \right]
\]

where \( \text{V}_1 \) is a vowel, and \( \lambda \) denotes the initial tone of a
two-syllable nominal.

**MS-Rule 2(b)**

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{na} \\
\end{array} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{na} \\
\lambda
\end{array} \quad / \\
\text{Nominal} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{N} \\
\lambda
\end{array} \quad \left[ \text{CV} \right]
\]

where \( \text{N} \) is a syllabic nasal, and \( \lambda \) denotes initial tone.
What these two rules amount to is that the preposition *Na* assimilates:

(a) To the initial vowel and tone of the immediately following syllable of a nominal in a prepositional phrase (M.5. Rule 2a) thus:

7 (a) na' ≠ ʔma → na' ama on the street
(b) na' ≠ ʔlo → { na' ʔlo } in the house

(b) To the tone only of the immediately following syllable of a nominal in a prepositional phrase, if this syllable begins with a syllabic nasal (M.5. Rule 2b) thus:

8 (a) na' ≠ ʔmkpa → na' mkpa in/from necessity
(b) na' ≠ Ngwuru → na' Ngwuru at Ngwuru
(c) na' ≠ ʔndhụ → na' ndhụ in life
(c) Elsewhere, na does not assimilate as to vowel or tone as in,

9 (a) na' ≠ ʔLagwa → na'LAGwa at Lagwa
(b) na' ≠ ʔLondon → na'London in London
(c) na' ≠ ʔLoji → na'Loji at Loji

Perhaps, assimilation is not the right term to use in the description of the behaviour of the Na preposition. What is certain is that the first syllable of the nominal that immediately follows Na is lengthened, if it begins with a vowel. The exact length of this syllable can only be determined by means of a minigraph tracing. The issue is taken up again in 2.2.2 where evidence is provided to show that the duration of the Prepositional Phrase Na + N with tone and vowel assimilation is by and large the same as that of the two items unassimilated.
Carnochan (1960) has given a prosodic treatment of Vowel Harmony in Igbo, but in the dialect being described here, the operation of Vowel Harmony is slightly different, as the following dialectic structures show:

(a) Ishi /e/ ehi this/that head
(b) ìrì /è/ ìhì this/that food
(c) (i) nthì á this ear
    (ii) nthì ìhì that ear
(d) (i) èle è this antelope
    (ii) èle ìhì that antelope
(e) (i) ijhe è this journey
    (ii) ijhà ìhì that journey
(f) (i) ìlà á this land
    (ii) ìlà ìhì that land
(g) (i) èma á this road
    (ii) èma ìhì that road
(h) (i) ùgwù o this hill
    (ii) ùgwù ìhì that hill
(i) (i) ùgwù o this (type of) hatred
    (ii) ùgwù ìhì that " " hatred
(j) (i) iro o this (type of) hatred
    (ii) iro ìhì that " " hatred
(k) (i) ulò o this house
    (ii) ulò ìhì that house

In this dialect, the dialectic element has eight phonetic realisations, whereas in the dialect described by Carnochan, it has only four.
The Diectic structure being discussed here can be further expanded by the addition of nke thus:

```
   NP
   /\    
  / \  
 /   \ 
 N   d1  d2  
 \   /    
  /   \    
  \   /     
   ishi  (n)ke  A / Ahi
```

FIG 1

The above figure 1 is the underlying form for the following nominal phrases:

12 (a) ishi ka e this head
(b) ishi ke ehi that head
(c) gru ka e this farm/work
(d) gru ke ehi that farm/work
(e) iwaanya ka e na aky ahyu:

open-eye this that causes loss of market:

This self-defeating boldness/audacity

As can be seen from 12(a) (e), the number of phonetic realisations after nke has been greatly restricted by the preceding vowel /e/ of the diectic element.
Thus, in place of the eight possibilities given in (10), there is only one in the following examples from Onitsha dialect.

13 (a)  mmap̣nya ≠ afy → mmap̣nya afy that wine
     (b)  nni afu → nni afy that food
     (c)  eg̣o afu → ego afy that money
     (d)  ugẉu afu → ugẉu afu that hill
     (e)  amakekẉu afu → amakekẉu afu that incorrigible fellow

14 (a)  ung̣ a → ung̣ a this house
     (b)  yfu a → yfu a this pain
     (c)  ije a → ije a this journey

What is true of Onitsha dialects is equally true of other Central Igbo dialects which have a/ aḥu, that is an /h/ in place of Onitsha /f/. In these dialects, vowel assimilation, but not harmony, obtains in Nominal phrases such as 13. These phonological features being discussed here have not been pointed out before because there has been no study of this dialect before now.

From the deictic structures being considered, another phonological fact also emerges: the low-tone determiner a (this) requires a preceding high tone. This has meant that all underlying low tones preceding it turn out as high tones at the surface or phonetic level, (see 10c(i), a(i), f(i) and k(i), pp. 11-12). This tone-raising is by no means an isolated incident, but part and parcel of a more wide-spread phonological feature with all Nominal structures in Igbo.
This is discussed extensively and illustrated under Nominal Structure Tone Rules in 3.3.0. What must be emphasised here is that this tonal change is structure-specific, its occurrence is restricted to Nominal structures only, under which structures the foregoing examples fall. Outside Nominal structures, this phonologically determined Tone-raising does not occur, as the following examples show:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NP</th>
<th>VP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>Ng’uma meryru ala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ng’uma defiled the land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Imebi akhy na ewe onye mkpata iwe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To spoil wealth does anger the one who earns anger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The squandering of wealth does anger the one who earns that wealth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of 15(a) and (b) consists of a Nominal phrase (NP) and a Verb phrase (VP) in the relation of subject and verb in a sentence. Because of the two distinct structures involved, a low tone (sequence) followed by another low tone (sequence) as between Ng’uma and meryru or Imebi and akhy is not only phonologically possible, but perfectly acceptable. But in an NP structure, such a low tone sequence is blocked by a general phonological rule in Igbo which demands that in any Nominal structure in the language a low tone preceding another low tone across word boundary be raised to a high tone thus:

(c) Abha ≠ Enwe —→ ̀abha ̀enwe
(jaw) monkey a monkey’s jaw

(d) Ngaji ≠ Nkata —→ ̀ngaji ̀nkata
(spoon) the spoon of Nkata
(e) Ngaji {Nkata} zỳtera màgburu onwe yà.

The spoon which Nkata bought is exceedingly beautiful.

In 15(e) above, we have a Relative Clause as the NP, and the relationship between the modified Noun (Ngaji) and the modifying Relative Clause \{Nkata\} zỳtera is formally signalled by the final high tone of the subject NP of the clause. In other words, if the NP is of the structure represented by Fig. 2, it is N₁ that has its final low tone raised:

```
NP
  \|--\--\--
   N₁  N₂
```

FIG. 2

But with a Relative clause, it is the final low tone of N₂ which undergoes tone-raising, as Fig. 3 shows:

```
NP₀
  \|--\--
   N₁  NP₁
```

N₂  Rel. Clause

FIG. 3

There is, therefore, a correspondence of tonal behaviour among Nominal structures of the following types:

```
(a)
NP
  \|--\--
   N₁  N₂
```

16
It is this correspondence of Tonal behaviour that is our justification for generating their tone patterns by one and the same set of Tone rules in chapter 3.

2.2.0 Assimilation

What Dr. Igwe (1974 pp. 32-34) describes as vowel elision is here described as assimilation, and it is generally regressive. Vowel elision, by which we understand a phonologically conditioned deletion or loss of vowel as in the following French examples:

C'est for Cé est or
L'état for Lé stat

has very little, if any, place in Igbo phonology. Definitely, it does not occur in the prepositional constructions which Dr. Igwe cites on page 34 of his thesis. The phenomenon of vowel elision is very much restricted in Igbo to a relatively very small number of lexical derivations involving the creation of proper names from Igbo sentences; the process is described in the following section 2.2.1 under the sub-heading 'Lexical Creation, Assimilation and Elision'.
We disagree with Dr. Igwe's view that vowel elision occurs in the language in prepositional phrases, as the following extract from page 34 of his thesis assert: "In all cases the number of syllables is maintained, except in the case of particle + noun where the particle is the preposition na, and the following noun has an initial high-tone syllable. Compare (7) (a) with (b).

7 (a) na +ghya : / n̆ghya / 'in the bush'
    (b) na +obu : / n̆obu / 'in the hall'

What must be emphasised here is that elision has absolutely nothing to do with pitch. The phenomenon which he calls elision (assimilation, as far as we know) takes place across word/morpheme boundary regardless of the pitch of the items involved. This being the case, it is surprising that prepositional phrases constitute the only exception to what is a general phonological rule of the Igbo language that na assimilates to the pitch and vowel of the immediately following syllable, or to its pitch only, if the syllable begins in a syllabic nasal. It seems that Dr. Igwe tends to confuse orthography with phonological facts. Although it is an accepted orthography in Igbo to write:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{n̆a} & \text{ama} \quad \text{in place of} \quad \text{na} \text{ama} \\
\text{n̆u} & \text{ulu} \\
\text{n̆a} & \text{ahy} \\
\text{n̆a} & \text{ala}
\end{align*}
\]

it is no more than a convention to do so, and what is written has nothing to do with the number and duration of the syllables involved, as indeed orthography does not necessarily reflect phonetic facts.
The following mingograms on pages 47-50 represent the result of an experiment to determine the duration of the preposition na and the noun that follows it in a prepositional phrase. Two types of data have been used.

(a) The first type of data represent the phonological environment where there can be no vowel assimilation between na and the following item, such items being monosyllabic nouns beginning with consonants. These are:

Na di' in marriage etc.
Na ci' from nature
Na ji' from/with yam

In the mingograms of these prepositional phrases, (pp. 47-50), Na has a duration ranging from 22-25mm.

Observe that on page 50, the initial low tone of the prepositional phrase Na Kano is by far higher in pitch than the final low tone on - nò. This observation is borne out by the fact that the striations of this final low-tone syllable are longer and more spaced out than those of Na, the initial low tone of the phrase, and thus confirming the hypothesis that pitch tends to drift downward from the beginning to the end of a phrase or sentence, and this downward drifting applies to high as much as to low tones.

(b) These data are such that the phonological environment for vowel assimilation is met since the noun following na in each case begins with a vowel phoneme. The mingograms are shown on pages 52-54. On each mingogram the first portion represents the prepositional phrase spoken as distinct lexical items, without any assimilation, while the second portion shows na assimilated to the vowel and pitch of the following syllable, thus:
Nouns beginning with high and low pitches have been used after the preposition **Na**. The result is as follows:

(i) In all cases, regardless of whether the noun following **Na** begins with a high or low pitch, the duration **ná** and the following syllable in the first portion is of a shorter duration than the corresponding number of syllables in the second portion showing assimilation. On page 52, it is 30 mm. in the first, but 34 mm. in the second portion; 35 mm. in the first portion but 40 mm. in the second half of both pages.

(ii) But this difference is very negligible when one considers the fact that one second in time on these mingograms is represented by 100 mm. What matters is the tendency for the assimilated portion to be slightly longer in duration than the unassimilated first portion, and not shorter.

(iii) This result thus confirms our stand that what is involved in Igbo prepositional phrases is assimilation or change of vowel and not the loss or deletion of it. Contrary to Rev. Igwe's claim that high tones are deleted when preceded by the preposition **Ná**, it turns out to be the verifiable fact that both high and low pitches are subject to assimilation, and that none of them is subject to deletion.

**Types of Assimilation**

Three types of assimilation need be distinguished in Igbo:

(a) Phonemic (Regressive) assimilation

(b) Tonemic (Low-tone) assimilation

(c) Coalescent assimilation
These three phonological features are independent of one another. The second type seems restricted to our dialect, or at least, it does not occur in the Green and Igwe dialect. In our dialect, Tonemic assimilation is limited to those nominals with inherent/lexical tone pattern of low-high such as Ọké (rat), Ọfó (symbol of justice). The second and third types are far more widespread features of the Igbo language; the second is a general phenomenon of continuous speech, while the third, Coalescent assimilation, obtains only in a specific phonetic context which will be made clear in the appropriate section. Although the first and last types of assimilation are widespread, we have not come across any description of either of them in print. This may well be the first account of them in the literature of the Igbo language. Let us now examine with illustrative examples, each type of assimilation, in the order given above.

2.2.1 Phonemic (Vowel) Assimilation

Listening to the speech of an Igbo speaker, one cannot easily tell where one word ends and the other begins. This is due to the fact that across word boundary two adjacent vowels are subject to assimilation, the following vowel being the assimilating, the preceding one, the assimilated vowel. This is Regressive because the assimilating vowel follows the assimilated one, as the following examples show:

17 (a) Ọ gara ọgụy  
       [Ọ gárgógy]  
       He went to work.

17 (a) Ọ gara ọgụy  
       [Ọ gárgógy]  
       Do not eat meat.

(c) Ọ rịghị i rị  
       [Ọ rịghị i]  
       He is (busy) eating.
(d) Ọ gbashara uwe yā n'anwụ
[Ọ gbasharuuwľànànụ]

He spreads his clothes out in the sun.

(e) Ọ riiri any (i)[ōriyaany]
(ii)[ōriraany]

He ate some meat.

In all these examples, it will be observed that it is the preceding vowel which assimilates to the following one across word boundary. Furthermore, in 17(e) there are two possibilities; (e) (i) with the /y/ off-glide shows what happens when the tongue moves from the very high front sound /i/ to a low back one /a/, and (e) (ii) follows the pattern of other examples of 17 in having no y off-glide.

It has been observed by Rev. Igwe (1974) that "when the close vowels /i/ and /u/ occur as final vowel in a morpheme, no elision (our assimilation) takes place between it and the initial vowel of the next morpheme whatever type the latter may be" (p. 32). He cites the following examples, besides which we add our own realisation of the same phrase.

18 (a) Igwe's Ours

isị ọrụ
[ịfọọrụ]

(b) üdí'-elë
[ùdyélé]

the appearance of the deer

(c) egwu ọnwà
[égwọọnwa]

monn-light dance

(d) ọrụ ọchie
[ọrụọchịe]

old piece of work

(e) egwu aghà
[égwuaghà]

war dance/music

[égwaghà]
(f) ụdi ụdele

the appearance of vultures

(g) egwu ikhe

{energetic} dance

(h) ụmi Ume

Ume's nose

(i) asọ Okoro

Okoro's lie.

What 18 (a)-(i) show for our dialect with regard to final i/i and u/u is as follows:

(i) With i/i in final position, there is the additional vowel height feature represented by 'y' off-glide, which does not, however, prevent regressive assimilation, as 1(a), (b), (f), (h), and (i) conclusively show.

(ii) This 'y' off-glide is absent if and only if this final syllable is a CV where the consonant (c) is the alveo-palatal fricative /ʃ/, as in 18 (a) where our dialect has ishi ʃi in place of Igwe's isi.

(iii) With u/u as the final vowel in a morpheme, regressive assimilation takes place unimpeded, as 18(c) - (e) show.
Rev. Igwe’s observation does not, therefore, hold true for this dialect being described here.

2.2.2 Lexical Creation, Assimilation & Elision

Throughout this section, we have consistently talked of vowel assimilation across word boundary, and we have illustrated this phenomenon at the sentence or clause level. There exists, however, at the Nominal group level, what one can describe as vowel elision in the sense that we understand it. This happens when two nominal items, or a nominal item and a lexical formative (a suffix, for example) combine to give rise to a new lexical item, mostly a proper name.

The following examples illustrate what happens:

19 (a) nwá ≠ okhe → nwókhē → {nwókhē}
child male

(b) Dí ≠ ikhe → Díkhē → Díkhē
husband energy (proper name)

(c) Ðogó ≠ émene → Ðóemene → Ðóemene
Another happen not (proper name)

(d) Nkwó ≠ Úmu ≠ Éza ≠ ọla → Nkwúumézáala →
market sons chief soil Nkwúumézáala
(a market name)

meaning 'the Nkwo market of the real sons of the land'.

(e) {Énu} ≠ úgwú → {Énu} úgwú → {Énuugwú}
top hill

(f) {Énu} ≠ igwe → {Énuigwé} → {Énuigwé}
top sky

(g) Ḍnya ≠ ṣánu → Ḍnyaanwú → Ḍnyaanwú
eye sun (proper name)
To the above, we may add the following which is not a proper name:

(h) Ṽe ̣ u ̣ # ̣ ëkhwu  →  ù ̣ ëkhwu  →  ù ̣ ëkhwu

extreme  hearth  kitchen

As the arrows indicate, we have assumed that vowel assimilation precedes vowel elision, though this assumption is not crucial to the fact that vowel elision - that is, loss of vowel - does take place in these lexical creations. These examples do not, of course, imply that the formation of every new lexical item in Igbo will necessarily entail vowel elision; on the contrary, only a small lexical group - proper names - do permit of this process. We have not tried to discover any constraints, if any, on the operation of this vowel elision in some Igbo lexical creations, since such a subject is outside the scope of the present investigation, but what the data of 19 do strongly suggest is that there should be a separate phonology for proper names in Igbo, as is the case in such languages as Hausa, Bachama and Yoruba.

2.2.3 Tonemic (Low Tone) Assimilation

Our investigation shows that Tone assimilation is restricted to a class of nominals with an inherent low-high, or low-high-low, or low-high-high. This group of items includes the following:

`ọrọ` symbol of justice, Justice
`àkhwu` egg
`ọkúkọ` fowl
`ọmúgu` post natal period, confinement of a mother
`ọpyị` penance, contrition
`ọriri` feast edible
Whenever nouns of the above tone patterns are preceded by an item ending on a high tone, be it a noun or verb, they have their initial low tone raised to the level of the two adjacent high tones: This always happens in

(i) CAUSAL CLAUSES beginning with N'ébe, 'since'
(ii) NEGATIVE Imperative constructions.
(iii) Whenever members of this class of items are in object position after high-tone verbs.

The following are illustrative examples; the relevant items whose low tone has been assimilated are underlined.

20 (a) N'ébe oke nwụrụna, wełaghanyọnyà
In the place rat has died, send back then trap
Since the rat has died, return, then, the trap.

(b) N'ébe ekgwa a recherele, lufunne ĝa
Since eggs these have rotten, throw away them.
Since these eggs have become rotten, throw them away.

(c) N'ébe iwu mara yà, ya mèe ákhu
Since law traps him he pay fine
Since he is guilty, let him pay a fine.

Causal Clauses beginning with N'ébe are Relative clauses; one would, therefore, expect the same tonal behaviour from these items in Igbo Relative clauses given the same tonemic context. This is really what happens in the following 21 (a-f) which contain relative clauses:

21 (a) Ọnye ọkykọ ya fùru, ya jhe jyọ Ekhe
Person fowl his miss he go ask Ekhe
Anybody who loses a fowl should enquire from Ekhe.
21 (b) *Agbogho omyowo* cha-ra adi na nkohye
young woman who omyowo brightens is not in mistaking
The young mother on whom there is strong evidence of post-
natal care (omyowo) cannot be mistaken.

(c) Onye orfo gburu, ya olale madhu anya n'ihu
person whom orfo kills he look not person eye in face
He who has been penalised by Natural Justice should not look
round for a scape goat.

(d) Okoro agbogho kwere di, o jikwa eg'o?
young man whom a young lady agrees marriage, he has money?
The young man to whom a young girl has given consent, has he
got the money?

(e) Ohia oke juru n'imere ya na atu yjo
Bush which rats full inside it causes fear.
A wood which is full of rats is frightful.

**Negative Imperatives**

In this construction type, as in Causal N'ebe clauses, the same
tonal behaviour is displayed by this class of items, thus:

22 (a) Acgla yba ahwa.
Look for not wealth much: Do not go after much wealth.

(b) Ecgla Ygomba thea
Do not wait for Ygomba today.

(c) Akpola Okoro mgbu I jhe
Do not call Okoro when you are going.
As Objects of High-Tone Verbs

23 (a) O’ riri akhwa
He ate some eggs.

(b) O’ ruru ofo
He contravened Natural Justice.

(c) O’ kpor anyi oriri
He called us feast: He invited us to a feast.

Now contrast the tone pattern of the underlined nouns in 23 with their tone pattern in the following 24

24 (a) O’ rielse akhwa
He has eaten some eggs.

(b) Nd’ya m’ amagbuole oke
Trap my has caught rat: My trap has caught a rat.

(c) I’ ruola ofo
You have contravened Natural Justice.

It will be observed that rather than maintaining the same level as the preceding high tone, the relevant syllable of these same items is on lowered high or downstep relation to the preceding high tone. Yet it is the same tonal assimilation that is going on. What seems to determine whether it is a high or downstep tone is a combination of the following factors:

(a) The presence of a previous downstep in the sentence, and
(b) The number of syllables on the same level as this downstep before the following downstep on the class of items being considered here.

Contrast the following two sentences, for example:

25 (a) Egbuole m' oke: I have killed a rat.

(b) I’ gbuale oke: You have killed a rat
In 25 (a) ọké merely assimilates to the immediately preceding downstep, but in (b) there is a further downstepping on ọké where the distance, measured in terms of intervening syllables, is longer. But since phonology is not our immediate concern in this thesis, we do not pursue this investigation any further. It is sufficient to point out that all these tonal phenomena are exponents of tonemic assimilation.

Before we leave this aspect of assimilation, we must emphasise that tonemic assimilation does not take place if the items involved have either of the following tone patterns:

(i) low-low such as ạla or
(ii) low-low-high such as ọmume

For it to take place, the initial low tone must be immediately followed by a high tone. Consider the following examples in the light of the above statement:

26 (a) Nke e wụ onye ụwu yá nà eshi ikhē
(b) " " " " ạla yá nà ọfụ ụka
(a) This is the one whose law is strict.
(b) " " " " piece of land is the subject of dispute
(c) Nke e wụ onye ọkụkụ yá furu.
This is the one whose fowl got missing.
(d) Nke e wụ onye ọmume yá nà amashị m
This is the one behaviour his does please me
This is the one whose behaviour pleases me.

From the above data, it will be observed that low-tone assimilation has taken place in 26(a) and (c) where the lexical items, ụwu and ọkụkụ have the appropriate tone pattern, but not in (b) and (d) where the items ạla and ọmume do not meet the tone pattern requirement for low-tone assimilation.
The following rule captures this low-tone assimilation:

Tone Rule (Tn-Rule)

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} -R \\ n \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} +R \\ n-2 \end{array} \right] / \left[ \begin{array}{c} +R \\ n-2 \end{array} \right] ^{\#} - \left[ \begin{array}{c} +R \\ n-2 \end{array} \right] ^{\#}
\]

where \( +R \) denotes (high) tone and \( -R \) (low) tone

The above rule is part of a wider scheme on Tone and Tone Rules in Igbo, which is the subject of the following chapter 3, (p. 129)

Tonemic Assimilation in Prepositional (Na NP) Phrases.

There are two items in Igbo which are subject to a combination of tonemic and phonemic assimilation: the first is the -rV suffix, and the other is Na as a preposition. These two items have been discussed in 2.1.1. Prepositional (Na NP) phrases are too well known in Igbo to deserve any further belabouring here. We only give a few illustrative examples of the total assimilation of Na to the tone and vowel of the immediately preceding syllable, provided that the lexical item in question begins in a vowel or syllabic nasal:

27(a) Na \# iši : na'ishi : [ni'iši] n'iši on the head

(b) Na \# úzo : na'úzo : [nu'úzo] n'úzo on the way

(c) Na \# ọfo : na'ọfo : [no'ofo] n'ọfo in justice

(d) Na \# ọma : na'ọma : - na'ọma abroad

(e) Na \# Laagwa : na'Laagwa : - na'Laagwa at Lagwa


The foregoing examples show that assimilation is total or complete,
if the following nominal begins in a vowel; it is only tonemic but not phonemic, if the following nominal begins in a syllabic nasal; there is no assimilation whatsoever, if the following nominal begins in any consonant other than the already mentioned syllabic nasal (27(e-f)).

Complete (phonemic and tonemic) assimilation (27(a-c)) is not dependent in any way on whether the initial tone of the following item is either high or low; it takes place regardless of the pitch of the adjacent syllable. As we have pointed out earlier on in 2.1.1., the number or duration of the two syllables involved remains unaffected by the process of assimilation by which we understand a change of phoneme at word boundary, and not an elision or loss of the same phoneme. Such orthographic conventions as

\[
\begin{align*}
n'i\text{shi} & \quad \text{for} \quad na\text{i}si/ni\text{shi} \\
n'u\text{lo} & \quad \text{for} \quad na\text{ul}o/nu\text{lo} \\
n'\text{ala} & \quad \text{for} \quad na\text{ale/n}a\text{ala}
\end{align*}
\]

must be seen as such, and not confused with phonetic facts.

The rules to capture this unique feature of Igbo Prepositional Phrases remain as given by M. S. Rules 2(a)-(c).

2.2.4 Coalescent Assimilation

Daniel Jones defines coalescent assimilation in the following words: "the sounds A & C influence each other and coalesce into the single sound B" (p.219). This seems to be what is happening in the following Igbo examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>28(a) Orthography</th>
<th>Phonetic Realisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i\text{shi} ya' - his/her head</td>
<td>[i i e]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>akpi\text{a} ya' - its louse -</td>
<td>[akpi\text{a}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>egbe\text{e} ya' - his gun -</td>
<td>[egbe\text{e}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ukwu\text{e} ya' - his waist</td>
<td>[ukwu\text{e}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ukwu\text{a} ya' - his foot -</td>
<td>[ukwu\text{a}]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>okpo\text{e} ya' - his path -</td>
<td>[okpo\text{e}]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29(a)  Ò nyêre m' ya
He gave me it:
[ÓNye'míá]
He gave it to me.

(b)  Ò nyêre gi' ya
He gave you it:
[Ónyé'regiá]
He gave it to you.

(c)  Ò nyêre ya ya
He gave him it:
[Ónyé'reiýa]
He gave it to him.

(d)  Ò nyêre anyi' ya
He gave us it:
[Ónyé'reanyia]
He gave it to us.

(e)  Ò nyêre únù ya
He gave you it:
[Ónyé'rúúnìe]
He gave it to you.

(f)  Ò nyêre ŋá' ya
He gave them it:
[Ónyé'rúña]
He gave it to them.

The phenomenon could be represented in the following way:

M.S. Rule 6

\[ Ï V \neq \text{Ya} \rightarrow \text{IA} \]

\(/I/\) will of course be realised as either \([i]\) or \([\bar{i}]\) in harmony with
the preceding vowel, and will in turn determine the phonetic value of
\(/A/\), the vowel of ya, as the data of 28 reveal.

Coalescent assimilation is not structure specific, it takes place
whenever the phonological environment for it is met, that is, in
environment

\([cv] \neq \text{v} \rightarrow \text{cv} \neq \text{i} \text{v} \text{v}]\]

In other words, ya must not be preceded by two consecutive vowels
in order for coalescent assimilation to take place; the following
illustrate the point:

30(a)  Bha' yá \rightarrow [bhía']
Grab/get hold of him

(b)  Bha'ë yá \rightarrow [bhía']
Enter/get into it

(c)  Gbúo yá \rightarrow [gbúo]
Kill it

(d)  Nyé' yá eg' o \rightarrow [nyéeg'o]
Give him/her money
67

The starred examples in 30(b) and (c) are not phonetically possible because of the preceding consecutive vowels in each case. We have not come across any mention of coalescent assimilation in Igbo anywhere before.

2.3 AFFIXES

In this section, we shall set out all the inflectional affixes (Prefixes and Suffixes) which function in this dialect, and we also provide appropriate sets of examples to illustrate their use.

As we made clear at the beginning of this chapter, the role of affixes in the inflectional morphology of Igbo has been either misunderstood or very narrowly understood. Dr G. E. Igwe, who with Miss M. M. Green produced the first ever comprehensive grammar of Igbo in 1963, still holds the view that suffixes are optional elements in verb inflection: "It has been erroneously assumed that the suffixes -ghl and -1A were necessary elements in Negative Clauses. But what will be stated very emphatically is that no suffix of the language, including the vowel suffix, has to occur obligatorily in any construction type, whether Imperative or Non-Imperative, Negative or Positive. Suffixes occur only when the particular function which they indicate are present in the constructions".

We find it very difficult to accept this view of Dr Igwe in the face of strong evidence to the contrary from our own dialect, which must be considered a dialect of the Igbo Language. It is still too early in the study of the Igbo Language to make such a global statement as the above quotation from Dr Igwe's thesis.

Contrary to what Dr Igwe says, we believe that in Igbo, as in any other language, it is possible to omit certain grammatical forms of language provided that there is a contextual clue as to the meaning of the omitted forms. Consider the following Igbo sentences in the light of this observation:

28(a)  O’ jhe  ahya  mgbu  m  Ḟụrụ  ya

He was going to market when I saw him.

(b)  O’ jhere  ahya  (edi  gẹra  ẹga)

He went to market  (yesterday).

In the above 28(a) & (b), it will be observed that the past
time suffix, Ṿ, is an obligatory element of one of the verbs:
in (a) it is present in the verb of the subordinate clause Ḟụrụ -
'saw', which gives the entire construction its time reference.
In (b), it is the main verb of the sentence that bears the time
suffix. This suffix is never optional in any dialect of Igbo that
we are aware of. The effect of omitting this time suffix is to
produce the ill-formed 28(c) inspite of the presence of a subordi-
nating clause of time. On the other hand, 28(b) above is well-
formed whether or not there is a time adverbial clause simply because
the time-indicating suffix is present in the verb.

(c)  O’ jhe  ahya  (edi  gẹra  ẹga)

He is going to market  yesterday.

Furthermore, in the absence of any study of Igbo verbs, it will be
very difficult to support a statement which claims that suffixes
are optional in verb inflection in Igbo.
optional in verb inflection. A random sample of verbs from the language suggests the recognition of the following sub-categories of verbs on syntactic or phonological grounds:

(a) those verbs which obligatorily take the open vowel suffix, and
(b) those that do so optionally - in certain construction types.

The construction types which provide the diagnostic tests are:

(i) Imperative  
Affirmative

(ii) Perfect Tense 

(iii) Conditional  
Clauses and

(iv) Narrative  
Constructions

Thus, in Imperative Affirmative; we have

29(a) ëhwú yā but not *hwuo yā
see him

(b) gbuo yā but not *gbú yā
kill it/him

(c) ríe yā but not *rí yā
eat it

In the Perfect and Narrative forms, the same feature is displayed:

30(a) Ogu ahwuna nwii yā:
Ogu has seen his wife

(b) Ogu egbuọle nnunu
Ogu has killed a bird

(c) Ogu eriele nnunu
Ogu has eaten a bird

31(a) Ibe gara ulo ogwu, hwu nwanné ya
Ibe went to the hospital and saw his brother/sister.

(b) Ibe khworo ala gbuo nwanné ya
Ibe regarded land and killed his brother/sister.
Ibe killed his brother/sister because of land.
32. *íbe shichere *ánú , *rie yá*

Ibe finished cooking meat, and ate it.

It will be observed that this vowel suffix is consistently taken by verbs like ìgbú, and írí in all these construction types, íhwú, on the other hand, consistently does not take it. But when, in perfect tense, and perfect tense only, íhwú takes an open vowel suffix, a new element of meaning emerges thus:

33(a) *í hwúona yá (thá)*?

Have you ever seen him (today)?

(b) *Ée, ahwúona m yá*.

Yes, I have once seen him.

33(a) and (b) suggest that there is not just one, but two, or possibly more vowel suffixes in Igbo, one inflectional, and the other meaning-modifying. The same may apply to the -ra suffixes; there may be many more of them than have been so far recognised. Since a sub-categorisation based on these inflectional characteristics must be recognised in a thorough study of Igbo verbs, it is a bit premature to talk in terms of suffixes occurring when the function they perform is present. We pursue this subject in greater detail in the following section 2.3.1 where we show that there is nothing random or optional about the occurrence of these or any other Igbo suffixes.

2.3.1 INFLECTIONAL PREFIX AND SUFFIXES

The following prefix and suffixes are obligatory and inflectional in the dialect being described here. It is very similar to that described by Swift, Ahaghotu and Ugorji in IGBO BASIC COURSE, 1962. Foreign Service Institute, Washington D.C.

In view of the fact that reference will constantly be made to verbs in the examples which follow, we have adopted the following classification of verbs after the suggestion of Professor Carnochan (1966).
VERB CLASS 1 for high-tone verbs such as
   \( \text{iri} \) to eat.

VERB CLASS 2 for low tones verbs such as
   \( \text{idhe} \) to fall.

VERB CLASS 3 for those verbs formerly classed as
   High-Low verbs, such as
   \( \text{iga}, \) 'to go', \( \text{ibya}, \) to come.

This class comprises verbs which in one construction type behave as high, and in another as low tone verbs. For example:

34(a) \( \text{gara ahya} \): He went to market.
(b) \( \text{gala ahya} \): He has gone to market.
(c) \( \text{gaa ngwangwa} \): Let him go quickly.
(d) \( \text{gaa ngwangwa} \): If he goes quickly . . . .

Thus Class 3 verbs will tonally behave like members of Class 1 in some construction types, and like Class 2 verbs in others.

A fourth group, Verb Class 4, may be recognised along the line suggested by Dr Igwe, in his thesis (p.45), this is the class of compound verbs. Despite Dr Igwe's argument to the contrary, there is a lot to be said for Professor Carnochan's suggestion that these classes of verbs should be sub-classified according to those which take or do not take the vowel suffix - \( \text{u}'/A' \). The situation is that on phonological grounds alone, some members of these classes will obligatorily take the vowel suffix, while for others its presence is optional. As we observed earlier on, for all verbs ending in the highest front and back vowels \([i]\) and \([u]\), a harmonising vowel suffix seems obligatory, for other vowels, it seems optional - a fact which suggests a re-examination of the vowel suffix along phonological rather than strictly syntactic lines.
In the rest of this section, we shall set out the relevant prefix and suffixes, illustrating their use as we go along.

(i) The Open Vowel Prefix, A-

The symbol, A- stands for the harmonising vowel prefix the presence of which constitutes the diagnostic test for Green and Igwe Subject Verb Form II. It is found in

(i) all Negative verb forms in this dialect
(ii) Perfect verb forms
(iii) Narrative verb form, Non-initiating
(iv) the verb form of Subject-Verb Inverted construction
(v) it also stands for the Indefinite Pronoun Subject in the Igbo pronominal system.

In this dialect, therefore, the verb form representative of (a-d) above is of the following morphemic shape:

\[ A + cv + \text{suffix.} \]

Verb

We thus agree with Dr Carrell's analysis of the same verb form, although Dr Igwe disagrees with both of us. For the time being, two examples of each type will suffice to illustrate the point here, since the rest of this chapter is devoted to a full illustration of various construction types and the type of verb forms they permit.

Negative Constructions:

35(a) Ogu árihele hwe ke mbu ùtutù.
Ogu has not eaten thing since time of morning:

35(b) Obi aji mpha Chì unu hwe mere Chì
Obi is asking not you thing which happened:

Obi is not asking you what happened.
Narrative - Non-initiating

35(c) ...... Anyị' agadụ, ya ọgwachaa anyị okwu

...... We reached and he told complete us words:

(And) we reached, he talked to all of us.

(when)

(d) ...... Ye ụhụ m, gbasa osō.

And he saw me, and started running.

Verb - Subject Inverted Construction: This subject verb inversion is possible only with the first and third person singular pronoun

35(e) Agara m ahya

Went I market: I went to market.

(f) Ẹbídhole n'igá akwukwo

Started have they going School: They have started going to School.

Perfect Verb Form

(g) Unu anụona mai m dhoro

You have drunk wine I left: You have taken the wine which I left.

(h) Anyị' agwala yā otho i kwuru

We have told him manner you said:

We have told him as you said.

Indefinite Pronoun Subject

36(a) A lụru og'u n'ahya.

One fought fight in market:

People fought in the market.

(b) Ė gbucere m'm n'og'u ahi

One cut even matchets in fight that: People even matcheted themselves in that fight.
2.3.2 **SUFFIXES - The Open Vowel Suffix \(-0/A\)**

This suffix which is associated with affirmative verbs is to be found in the Perfect form of the verb as well as in the following construction types:

(i) The Imperative

(ii) The Narrative

(iii) Conditional Clauses A (Open Conditions)

(iv) The Perfect tense/aspect of the verb.

In order to illustrate what happens in the above construction types, the following representative verbs have been chosen:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>37</th>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>iri</th>
<th>(vb cl. 1)</th>
<th>to eat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>ili</td>
<td>( 2)</td>
<td>&quot;bury&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>iti</td>
<td>( 3)</td>
<td>&quot;shout&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>iri</td>
<td>( 1)</td>
<td>&quot;sell&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>ig'e</td>
<td>( 2)</td>
<td>&quot;listen&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(f)</td>
<td>ima</td>
<td>( 3)</td>
<td>&quot;do&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(g)</td>
<td>iri</td>
<td>( 1)</td>
<td>&quot;crawl (of insects)&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h)</td>
<td>ici</td>
<td>( 2)</td>
<td>&quot;laugh&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>imi</td>
<td>( 3)</td>
<td>&quot;dry over the fire&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(j)</td>
<td>iri</td>
<td>( 1)</td>
<td>&quot;leak, eat&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(k)</td>
<td>idha</td>
<td>( 2)</td>
<td>&quot;fall&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(l)</td>
<td>nga</td>
<td>( 3)</td>
<td>&quot;go&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>ika</td>
<td>( 1)</td>
<td>&quot;narrate&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>iko</td>
<td>( 2)</td>
<td>&quot;plant&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(o)</td>
<td>iko</td>
<td>( 3)</td>
<td>&quot;deny&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(p)</td>
<td>ifu</td>
<td>( 1)</td>
<td>&quot;pain&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(q)</td>
<td>ifu</td>
<td>( 2)</td>
<td>&quot;go out&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(r)</td>
<td>inu</td>
<td>( 3)</td>
<td>&quot;hear&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>ito</td>
<td>( 1)</td>
<td>&quot;grow&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(t)</td>
<td>ito</td>
<td>( 2)</td>
<td>&quot;praise&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
37(u)  Ĭlō  (vb. cl.3)  to swallow
(v)  Igbo  (1)  "kill"
(w)  Ifu  (2)  "get lost"
(x)  Ĭkwú  (3)  "talk, say"

The following are illustrative examples of their use in the following construction types.

(i) Imperatives

38(a)  Ríe ya  Eat it!
(b)  Líe ya  Bury it
(c)  Tíe mkpu  Shout out
(d)  Ree mānu kobo irí  Sell the oil kobo ten: Sell the oil ten kobos.
(e)  G'ee ní nthi n’ókwu yá  Listen you ear to word his: Listen to him, you people.
(f)  Mee  hwe g gwara gi  Do thing he told you
(g)  Éju, ríe n’ala (a hypothetical situation)  Snail, crawl on the ground!
(h)  Cǐá (oci) mú  Ĭlee.  Laugh (laughter) let me see.
(i)  Mǐé ažú n’ókhu  Dry the fish over the fire.
(j)  Ráa  epe niil și föro ní  Eat oranges all which remain: Eat all the remaining oranges.
(k)  D(a)’á n’ala, bewé skhuwá  Fall on the ground start crying cry:
(l)  Gà(a) ngwaŋwa, bikó  Go quickly, please
Narrate story which is useful: Narrate a useful story.

Plant yams before you plant cocoyams: Plant the yams before the cocoyams.

Deny denial now I beat will you: If you deny now, I will beat you up.

It pain me pain: Let is pain me.

Go out immediately.

Hear thing are saying they: Hear what they are saying.

Grow today, grow tomorrow: Grow very rapidly.

Praise him for thing good of him all: Praise him for all his good works.

Swallow it.

4 We have used the circular brackets to denote that the open vowel suffix is optional in the relevant examples. If the imperative verb form is the simple (unsuffixed) verb stem, then its tone is determined by the following consideration - thus: if the following item begins on a low tone, then the tone of the simple imperative verb must be high, but low, if the following item begins on a high tone:

Go out to the road - but not

Fall to the ground, but not

So far only verbs of Tone Class 2 & 3 are known to behave in this way.
38(v) Gbọo yā Kill it
(w) Lufuo yā Throw it away
(x) Kwuo ǹwē i coro

Say thing you want: Say what you want.

(ii) The Narrative

The verbs in the Narrative form are underlined.

(a) Ya ɛría hwē ǹ'anyēsulu
   And he ate something in the evening.

(b) Mgbu e ǹechere, e lie yā
   When one finished, one buried him: They buried him afterwards.

(c) Ndi ǹhi byēra, ǹyi etie mkpū
   Thieves came and we shouted.

(d) Ǹyi gara ǹhya, rea eghu
   We went to market and sold the goat.

(e) Ḥa ǹbya q'eè ndi ǹ'okwu yā.
   And they came and listened ear to words his:

   ... And they came and listened to what he had to say.

(f) O ǹbēchere ǹkhwe, bya mee hwē a guara yā.
   He complete cried cry come did thing one told him
   He finished crying and then did what he was told.

(g) Êju ɛría, esu ɛría
   Snails crawled about and millipedes crawled about, too.

(h) O ǹkwuru ǹkwu, ǹyi ìcia.
   He talked and we laughed.

(i) Ya ǹgbute azy, mia ǹha ǹ'okhu
   And he caught fish and dried them over the fire.

(j) Ìmo ghotara ẹpè rēa
   Imo plucked some oranges and ate.
39(k) Ọ nyūjhe ełu, dhà(á).

He was climbing and fell: He fell while climbing.

(1) Ọ shiři ulo ọfụ ga(a) ahyā

He went through house of work went market: He went to the market from his work place.

(m) Ọ futara kọ otho ha jiri me

He came out and narrated manner they used and did:

He came out and told us how they got on.

(n) Anyi koro ji, kọ ede, kọ akpu.

We planted yams and planted cocoyams and planted cassava:

We planted yams, cocoyams, and cassava.

(o) Ọ mächer okwu niile o kwuru.

He finished and denied words all he said:

He finally denied everything he had said.

(p) Ọ mere ya ogwu, ya āruo m ufu

He did it medicine, it pained me pain:

He applied some medicine to it, and it pained me.

(q) Ọ gatara na mgbawo fu(o)

It went through crack and went out: It escaped through a crack (on the wall).

(r) Anyi noro n'ezhi nu okwu ya.

We stayed in compound and heard word his: We heard him from outside.

(s) Nwa ya nwokhọ ātoo dimkpa

And son her male grew a man:

And her son grew to become a man.

(t) Ha jiri ukwe nà ekpere too Chineke.

They used songs and prayers praised God:

They praised God with songs and prayers.

(u) Ọ mahii ama loo yā

He did not know and swallowed it: He unknowingly swallowed it.
He saw a lion and killed it.

We searched for him and lost in the forest. We kept on searching for him until we got lost in the forest.

One forced him and he said thing which is to him in mind.

He was forced to say what was in his mind.

(iii) Conditional Clause A - Open Condition

In view of the fact that the ability of any verb in Igbo to take the open vowel suffix in question does not depend on its tone class, but on the quality of its final vowel, we shall restrict our examples here and in the following sub-section to just one verb representing its tone class. We shall give more than one example of each class of verbs only in cases where such examples present a contrasting behaviour. By so doing, we hope to cut down on the number of examples rather drastically, without detracting from the point being illustrated here.

40(a) Gi rie anu, mu erie azu
If you eat meat, I shall eat fish.

(b) Gi ree eghu m, aga m agbara gi akwukwo
If you sell goat my shall I summon you paper:

If you sell my goat, I shall take you to court.

(c) Ukpara ahì rie ya n'ahù, ya etete
If grasshopper that crawls it on body, it wakes.

If that grasshopper crawls on to its body, it will wake.

(d) Ya raa shi, è meshie ya ikhe.
If he eats mess, one treats him hard(idiomatic):

If he should become unruly/rude, he will be severely dealt with.
If you fall, you will wound your body. If you should fall, you will be hurt.

(f) If he goes for firewood, we shall go for water.

(g) If you narrate thing you came for, we tell you thing we think; If you tell us the object of your mission, we shall tell you what we think (about it).

(h) If medicine that pains him pain, he will start crying. If that medicine should cause him some pain, he will start crying.

(i) If he goes out, we start going.

(j) If he hears our utterances, he will feel offended.

(k) If it grows, it will bear fruits.

(l) If you commit murder, you will be killed.

(iv) The Perfect Tense/Aspect

41(a) Amandikwa erele (idiomatic)

Amandikwa has eaten: Amandikwa is lucky.

(b) He has sold his car.

(c) Snail has crawled and gone: Something good has escaped us.
(d) Áraala m epe níle föróni

have eaten I orange all which remain:

I have eaten all the remaining oranges.

(e) Nwa shì (ádha la)

Child that has fallen: That child has fallen

(f) O' gála ahya

He has gone to market.

(g) O' gáala ahya

He has been to market (and back).

(h) O' koola ñwe møréní

He has narrated thing that happened: He has narrated what happened.

(i) Onya ahi afoola yá ufu nke ukwu

Wound that has pained him pain very much:

That wound has given him a lot of pain.

(j) O' frá la

He has gone out

(k) I' nu na ñwe møré ní?

Have you heard what happened?

(l) I' nuona ñwe møréní

Have you ever heard what happened?

(m) Nwa yá àtoole

Child her has grown: Her child has grown.

(n) Ìbe egbúòle eghu wà

Ibe has killed goat the: Ibe has killed the goat.

Throughout these examples, the same set of verbs given in 37 have been used. These verbs are representative of all the tonal classes given at the beginning of this section; furthermore, they represent all the vowels that possibly may end the citation forms of Igbo verbs, ranging from the highest from vowel to the highest back one. The examples based on these
verbs provide strong evidence in support of our view that it is neither fortuitous nor irregular that some Igbo verbs take the open vowel suffix obligatorily, while others do so optionally. The determining factor seems phonological, rather than syntactic.

The essence of the data presented in 37-41 is to show the consistency of Igbo verbs in taking the open vowel suffix either obligatorily or optionally in the relevant construction types given here. From the above data, the following facts emerge:

(i) If a verb takes the open vowel suffix obligatorily in its imperative form, it does so consistently in all the construction types listed here; if the vowel suffix is optional in its imperative, it remains so in all the relevant construction types.

(ii) All verbs whose citation form ends in the high vowels i, e, u and o obligatorily take the vowel suffix, while some of those ending in the relatively less high vowels i, a, u and o do so optionally.

(iii) In some cases where the vowel suffix is optional, its presence in one verb form, as opposed to its absence in the other, may introduce an extra dimension of meaning as in 41(f) and (g).

(iv) In other cases, it is possible for a verb which does not take this vowel suffix in all the relevant constructions to do so in the perfect form in order to signal a meaning difference. Such is the case with inú (37 (r) in examples 41(k) and (l). This phenomenon seems restricted to questions.

(v) It is also necessary to point out the existence in Igbo of other instances of meaning distinguishing (or semantic) vowel suffix, as in the following examples:

42(a) ṑů núna. He has heard (vb inú hear)

(b) ṑů núna. It has warmed up (vb inú to warm over the fire)

c) Mirí anúna. The water has become warm.
in cases such as 42(a) & (b), the vowel suffix only serves to
distinguish the two homonyms -

\[ \text{in} \ddot{o} \] to hear
\[ \text{in} \ddot{u} \] to warm (liquid) over the fire.

The full details of the situation are yet to emerge from a research into
the inflectional morphology of Igbo verbs.

However, in the face of the phonological and semantic facts presented
here, it seems premature to suggest that the open vowel suffix is an optional
element in verb inflection. Far from that, its occurrence with any particular
verb seems predictable on purely phonological grounds in the first instance.

It is after one has predicted those verbs which take the vowel suffix
obligatorily, that one can venture to the next statement about those verbs
for which this vowel suffix is optional. At this stage, we may have to
separate the semantic vowel suffix from the optional but inflectional one in
order to arrive at any meaningful general statement about them.

---

5 What we have sketched here does not amount to such a full scale
research, but only shows the regularity of the occurrence or non-occurrence
of this vowel suffix in this dialect. Our findings here may be subject to
qualification based on new evidence, but they have a fair chance of being
basically true. Since verb inflectional morphology is not strictly
relevant here, we shall pursue the investigation no further. What we have
done here amounts to providing some possible approaches to such an
investigation.
2.3.3. Other Affirmative Suffixes

(i) The Simple Present with zero suffix

The morpheme constituent of verbs in this tense/aspect is simply the cv stem. But only a small class of stative verbs are involved here, and such verb forms are capable of being interpreted as either the present progressive or simply the existential present. Such verbs include:

43(a) ino - to be, stay at (vb cl.2)
(b) ikhwo - to have regard for, intend
(c) ikw - to carry (a child)
(d) ikwo - to carry a child (on the back)
(e) iji - to hold, have
(f) ivu - to carry (vb cl.3)
(g) idi - to be
(h) iwu - to be

In the simple unsuffixed present, all these verbs, except iwu behave like class 2 or low tone verbs, as the following examples show:

44(a) Dikhe no n'ulo ya
   Dikhe is in the house

(b) Ekhe vu igu
   Ekhe is carrying palm fronds

(c) Amaka ku nwa ya
   Amaka is carrying her baby.

(d) Ngozi kwo nwa n'azu
   Ngozi is carrying a baby on her back.

(e) Ug'o ji egbe
   Ug'o is carrying/has a gun.

(f) Owu Okoro
   It is Okoro
In the foregoing sentences, all noun subjects, regardless of their tone classes, maintain their inherent tone pattern. This fact reflects one of the differences between our dialect and that described by Green and Igwe, where all tone classes 1 and 2 nouns would be expected to take on an extra low tone thus:

44(g) Dikhe no n'ulo: Dikhe is in

(h) Ekhe vu igu: Ekhe is carrying some palm fronds.

(ii) The Present Progressive Suffix -ghA

In this dialect, the verb with -ghA suffix is very commonly used to express an on-going action in place of the alternative construction with the auxiliary verb Nja. The suffix is harmonising and assimilates to the tone of its verb stem. As in the case of the simple present with stative verbs, all noun subjects retain their inherent tone pattern. We, therefore, give the following paradigm with pronoun rather than noun subjects.

45(a) I righe m anu: I am eating some meat
(b) I righe azyu: You (sing.) are eating some fish
(c) O righe azyu: He/she is eating some fish
(d) E righe ji ohu o thaa: One is eating fresh yam today:
   People are eating fresh yam today.
(e) Anyi righe ji ohu o thaa: We are eating fresh yam today
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Verbs of tone classes 2 & 3 behave tonally identically here, their stem is on low tone, and so is that of the following -ghA suffix. The following illustrate this fact:

46(a) Ovute keghe um n'dom ake n'otu n'otu (vb. cl.2)
   Ovute is sharing to womanfolk cloth one by one
   Ovute is giving out a piece of wrapper to each of the women.
(iii) The Progressive Present with Nà

Because of the existence in this dialect of the present progressive suffix -ghÀ, the alternative form of expressing an on-going action by means of the auxiliary nà is much less used. When used in this dialect the Nà auxiliary verb is more and more restricted to one of its two functions - that of expressing the habitual action. Thus 47 have the habitual rather than the progressive present meaning:

47(a) Ọgu na ạnú mài
Ogu does drink wine: Ogu drinks (wine).

(b) O nà avú akwà
He does carry clothes: He is a dealer in clothes.

(c) O nà ežú ọhì
He does steal: He is a thief.

(d) O nà arú àla
He does defile the ground: He is a wicked man.

As 47 (c) & (d) show, when nà is used to express a habitual meaning, it is in free variation with another auxiliary iji. However, for those dialects of Igbo which do not have any other way of expressing a progressive present meaning than by the use of nà, the situation described above does not obtain.

(iv) The Future with gà

The simple future is expressed in most, if not all, dialects of Igbo by means of the complex verb form shown in the following examples:

48(a) O gà ạbyà eci
He will come tomorrow.
This fowl will survive, if care is taken.

Observe that the verb form after ga admits of various prefixes, we shall discuss these prefixes in detail in 2.3.5.

(v) The Past/Time Suffix -rv

In this verb form, as in the -ghA present progressive, verbs of classes 2 & 3 behave identically in having their stem on a low tone. As we pointed out in 2.1.1., the-rv suffix assimilates to the vowel and tone of the immediately preceding element, be it the verb stem or another suffix. As in the simple present, all noun subjects with the past tense of the verb retain their inherent tones, a situation which contrasts with OhuHu where nouns ending on a high tone (classes 3 & 4) generally develop a final low tone. This low tone along with the time suffix -rv is taken to constitute the marker of this tense form for that dialect. But in our dialect, the time suffix alone is the past time indicator. We give a few illustrative examples:

49(a) Ekwe duru ishi mkpu (OhuHu)
(b) Ekwe " " " (Ezinihitte)
Ekwe swore oath false: Ekwe swore a false oath.

(c) Anya kuru nkwu o n'anya su (OhuHu)
(d) Anya kuru nkwu o " (Ezinihitte)

Anya tapped oil palm this in the evening:

Anya tapped this oil palm in the evening.
Apart from the falling glide on the noun subjects in 49(a) and (c) above, there is also the additional fact that the -rV verb form in Ohuhu is always on low tones regardless of the class of verb involved. This fact accounts for the contrasting tone patterns of the same verb in 49(c) and (d).

In the following examples, each member of the three classes of verbs has been used:

50(a)  E riri ya iwu  (vb. cl.1)
One fined him fine: He was fined.

(b)  Imo dhara elu ùgba  (vb. cl.2)
Imo fell top of oil bean tree: Imo fell from an oil bean tree.

(c)  O mere ǹwe ȁlà so ǹso  (vb. cl.3)
He did thing earth abhors: He committed an abomination.

(vi) The Progressive Past with Nà

The popular way of expressing a past progressive action is by the use of the past form of the auxiliary verb, nà followed by the appropriate form of the verb, as in 51.

51(a)  Ogu nara na òjì
Ogu used to saw iroko tree: Ogu used to be a sawyer.

(b)  Onyekwere nara agbafu ǹgba
Onyekwere used to wrestle ably wrestle: Onyekwere used to be an able wrestler.

(c)  Og'e i nara ekwù ezhi-okwù ãgàala
Time you used to talk true word has gone:
Gone are the days when you used to speak the truth.

Theoretically, there exists an alternative method of expressing the progressive past - by means of the present progressive suffix -ghA and the -rV time suffix as in 51 (d).
51(d) ? 0' zaghara ama: He was sweeping the road.

But in practice, 51(d) is very rarely, if ever, heard. For this reason, we have not bothered to give any examples based on this form. What happens is that the present progressive -ghA verb form and the past progressive Na auxiliary form are in complementary distribution: the verb +-ghA suffix is the popular choice for expressing present continuous action in preference to the na verb form, whereas in the past the Na form with -rv time suffix has displaced the -ghA+rv verb form. Thus, the lack of popularity of the Na form in the present progressive is compensated by its popularity in expressing the past progressive action.

(vii) The Perfect Suffix -la'-na'

In this dialect, the perfect form of the verb with the high tone suffix -la' (which is realised as -na if the preceding sound is a nasal or nasalised) is the only affirmative verb form with the open vowel prefix A-; this prefix has been discussed in 2.3.1, and its analysis as the Aorist tense marker by Rev. Igwe (1974) will be re-examined in 2.3.5. In the perfect form, verbs of tone classes 1 & 3 behave identically, that is, the traditional high - low verbs behave as high-tone verbs in this form. The following examples reflect this two-way distinction:

52(a) Ibe erele ala (idiomatic) (vb. cl.1)
Ibe has eaten ground: Ibe is lucky/fortunate.

(b) Uboci thaa amasana mmə (vb cl.1)
Day of today has become beautiful: Today is a beautiful day.

(c) Ogu abyala faa (vb. cl.3)
Ogu has come early

(d) Ibe aloia n'og'e (vb. cl.3)
Ibe has come back in time.

(e) Ng'a ng'a egbúole gi (vb. cl.1)
Pride has killed you: You are very proud.
Observe that the tone of the vowel prefix varies from high to low in the above examples; two factors are responsible for this: the final tone of the preceding noun subject, or item and the tone class of the verb. If the preceding item ends on a low tone and the verb stem is on a high tone (classes 1 & 3 verbs), then the vowel prefix is on a low tone. It is on a downstep, if the preceding item ends on a high tone. But with a low tone (class 2) verbs, the same prefix is consistently on a high tone regardless of the final tone of the preceding item. A few more examples will make the observation clearer:

53(a) Èkhe èe (vb. cl.3)
Èkhe has done(well): Thanks to Èkhe

(b) Aboki alyola nwanyi odo (vb. cl.1)
Aboki has married wife another:
Aboki has married another wife.

(c) Njoku hi afutachaala (vb. cl.2) Njoku and company have

(d) Ìrì yà afùoìá ahya all come out.
Madness his has come out to market: His madness is beyond cure.

(e) Ìmù-madhu ékhwóríle unù fushìa (vb. ikhwo cl.2)
Children of human beings have regarded you (and) come out:
People have come out because of you.

6 hì/ha is a pluralising morpheme. For more details, see G.E. Igwe (1974) Chapter 11, especially pp. 183-189.

7. It is the belief among my people that a mad person has a good chance of recovery provided he has not made any public appearance, such as in a market in full session. If his madness drives him to public gatherings and market place, then his chances of recovery are thereby jeopardised, and from this time his relations start to give up any attempt to get him medical attention. For them, the madness has exceded bounds, it is beyond cure.
As 53 (a) & (b) show, the vowel prefix is on a downstep before a preceding high tone if the verb stem is high, but always on a high tone if the verb stem is low, as in 53(c)-(e).

2.3.4 The Negative Suffixes

As was pointed out in 2.3.1, all verbs in the negative in this dialect take an obligatory harmonising vowel prefix followed by the verb stem and the appropriate suffix. These suffixes include:

(i) The Neg. Imperative Suffix -1A~nA

54(a)  Erile
      Don't eat (vb. cl.1)
(b)  Afula
      " go out (" " 2)
(c)  Emene
      " do, stop it. (" " 3)
(d)  Ejhene
      " go (" " 3)

Note that in the absence of any following lexical item, all classes of verbs in the above examples have their stem on a low tone, and the -1A~nA suffix is also on a low tone. Contrast this situation with what happens in the following examples 54(e)-(i).

54(e)  Unu ejhile ahye tha (vb ijhê cl.3)
You people, go not market today:
You people, do not go to market today.
(f)  Ha ekwule okwu (vb ikwu cl.3)
   Let them not talk.
(g)  Unu emene otho ohi (vb imê cl.3)
   You people, do not manner that: You people, don't do like that.
(h)  Unu erile iiri n'ututu (vb iri cl.1)
   You people, do not eat in the morning.
(i)  Unu azala ezhi gbuo (bv izà cl.2)
   You people, do not sweep the compound now.

As these examples show, the vowel prefix here is consistently on a high tone with all classes of verbs, and the -1A suffix assimilates to the tone of its stem. In this construction type, classes 1 and 3 verbs behave identically in having their stem on a downstep.
(ii) The Neg. Present & Past Suffix, -qhl/-hll

This suffix is used as the direct Negative equivalent of the -рV time suffix, thus:

**Affirmative**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55(a) 0 riri hwe</td>
<td>0 rihii hwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He ate something</td>
<td>He did not eat anything.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 0 gera ahya</td>
<td>0 ga hii ahya.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He went to market</td>
<td>He did not go to market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) 0 zara ama</td>
<td>0 zahii ama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He swept the road</td>
<td>He did not sweep the road.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observe that this suffix does not co-occur with the -рV time suffix, but is used in place of it. Contrast this with what happens in the following 56-57 where the negative suffix is used along with the present progressive suffix -ghA, and with the auxiliaries na and ga:

**Negatives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56(a) Affirmative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogu zagha mgbe</td>
<td>Ogu azaghahii mgbe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogu is sweeping the parlour</td>
<td>Ogu is not sweeping the parlour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Ibe righe hwe</td>
<td>Ibe ergighahii hwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibe is eating</td>
<td>Ibe is not eating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Ekhe gagha ahya</td>
<td>Ekhe agaghahii ahya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekhe is going to market</td>
<td>Ekhe is not going to market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekhe is doing some trading</td>
<td>Ekhe is not doing any trading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57(a) 0 nê ahu mai</td>
<td>0 nahiis ahu mai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He does drink (wine)</td>
<td>He does not drink (wine).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) 0 naara ahu mai</td>
<td>0 nahiis ri ahu mai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He used to drink (wine)</td>
<td>He never used to drink (wine).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From these examples, it is observable that in general the negative suffix -ghI/*hII does not co-occur with the -rV time suffix, except when the auxiliary verbs ina and igah are involved, as in 57(a)-c). But the same negative suffix freely co-occurs with such suffixes as the progressive -ghA, as 56(a-c) show.

Observe, also, that with classes 1 and 3 verbs, the negative suffix is on a downstep, but on a low tone with class 2 verbs, although all verbs in the negative may have their stem on a high tone, as 56(a-c) show. Verbs of tone class 2 may, however, have their stem on a low tone.

Like the negative imperative suffix, -1A, the negative suffix -hII is on a low tone in sentence-final position, but assimilates to its verb stem, if there is a following item, thus:

58(a) Ogu erihii: He did not eat. (vb. cl.1)
Ogu erihii
Ogu " "

58(b) Ogu abyahii: He did not come. (vb. cl.3)
Ogu abyahii
Ogu " "

58(c) Ekhe azahii: He did not sweep. (vb. cl.2)
Ekhe azahii
Ekhe did not sweep

59(a) Ogu erihii ifi: Ogu did not eat food. (vb. cl.1)

59(b) Ogu abyahii thayin today. (vb. cl.3)

59(c) Agbakwuru akahii hwe O nwuru: Agbakwuru did not say what he saw. (vb. cl.2)

As in the negative imperative, the open vowel prefix A- is consistently on a high tone regardless of the preceding tone, or the class of verb involved.
(iii) The Periphrastic Negative Construction

The fact that there is only one negative suffix, -hll/-ghl, for both the present and past tenses becomes understandable when one realises that, for these two tenses, there is a more popularly used negative construction - the periphrastic negative. This negative construction begins in this characteristic way:

(a) 0 dihii ahya 0 gar

{It is not market} he went

There is not market

He did not go to market.

(b) 0 nwehii onye m hwuru

It has not person I saw: There is nobody I saw:

I saw nobody/I did not see anybody.

From these two examples, it will be observed that what is negated is the first verb, in this case

dihii and

nwehii

and that the second verb bears the tense/aspect marker. Thus it is possible to vary the tense/time expressed in the second clause, leaving the first verb unaltered, thus:

(c) 0 dihii okwu m kwughe

(d) 0 kwuru

(e) 0 ga ekwu

There is not talking (which) I am talking: I am not talking.

I did not talk.

I shall not talk.

61(a) 0 nwehii eghu bhara n'obha No goat entered the barn.

(b) n'abha 0 enters

(c) ge ibha 0 will enter the barn.

(d) bharala 0 has entered
This negative construction is so popular that it is very often heard in the daily conversations of speakers of this dialect.

(iv) The Negative Perfect Suffix, -bèlè

This is the only suffix in our dialect that maintains its inherent low tones and vowels quality in all contexts;

62(a)  Ek' o nu  ìwùbèlè èhì (vb. èhì cl.3)
Ek' onu  has not seen thing; (idiomatic)
Ek' onu  has never suffered.

(b)  Okpànkhu  èmèhèbèlè  ènù  yà  thàà  (vb ìmè cl.3)
Okpànkhu  has never opened mouth his today.
Okpànkhu  has never uttered a word today.

(c)  Ogbede  èzu bèlè èhì kò ègbò thàà (vb. èzà cl.2)
Ogbede  has never swept thing since today.

For the whole of today, Ogbede has never done any sweeping.

(d)  N no dim  àcìri bèlè oke  ènù (vb. înì cl.1)
"  has not picked share his
Nnodim  has not picked his own share.

As these examples reveal, all verbs have their stem on a downstep in the perfect form, and the vowel prefix is expectedly on a high tone.

It has to be emphasised that -bèlè is considered here as a single morpheme on low tones. There is, however, another morpheme -bèlè also associated with the Perfect Aspect in Igbo. This -bèlè occurs only in Interrogative clauses where it introduces the meaning 'ever' as the following sentences illustrate:

63(a)  ɪ hùwùbèlè yà mìbì?
You see ever have him before? Have you ever seen him before?

(b)  ɪ hùwùbèlè  tè rè nì ìkùwù  nògà  ọ shì  uzò  ìgwì  ègè?
You see ever have train big as it goes through road of iron?

Have you ever seen the big train as it travels along (its) rail tracks?

Now contrast (a) and (b) above with (c) where a different shade of meaning is implied:

(c)  ɪ hùwùbèlè  ọ gù mìbì?  You see not have leopard before?
Have you not seen a leopard before?

The meaning difference between 48(a) and (b) on the one hand, and sentences like 48(c) on the other, is achieved by the contrastive tones of the first two items: I \nwube \l \? Have you not seen?

The Open Vowel Prefix - a re-examination

For the Na auxiliary verb and a handful of other verbs in Igbo which can be used in an auxiliary capacity, the A- prefix is an obligatory element of the following verb form.

64(a) o'ji' ezu oh\: He steals/he is a thief.

(b) I ga a gwa m hwe I meghe n'ulo

You will tell me thing you are doing at home.

You must tell me what you are doing at home.

(c) Iro akazhi ji igwe avughe nkwu

Iroakazhi is carrying the palm fruits on bicycles.

Before we go on to give a brief paradigm of construction types in this dialect, and the permissible tone patterns in these construction types, we would like to comment briefly on Dr. Igwe's analysis of the vowel prefix A-

The analysis of the vowel prefix A- in his Ph.D. thesis represents a very radical departure from his 1963 analysis with Miss M. M. Green in a Descriptive Grammar of Igbo. For one thing, he has now come to agree with our view that the vowel prefix A- is an obligatory element in all Negative clauses in Igbo, a fact which makes the distinction into Subject Verb Forms I and II untenable in Negative clauses in the language. For another, the re-analysis of this prefix as the Aorist prefix sounds far-fetched. The Aorist tense, according to Dr. Igwe, "represents what might be summarily called "punctiliar" or "punctual" kind of action.
represents the action denoted by the verb as a 'point. Consequently, the form may represent INGRESSIVE, EFFECTIVE or SUMMARY action: INGRESSIVE, if attention is focused on the fact of the action beginning, without any regard to its continuance; EFFECTIVE, if attention is given to the fact of the completion of the action, and summary, if the action is viewed as simply having occurred, without distinguishing any points in its progress." (p.91). Then Dr. Igwe goes on to argue that it is because of these three possibilities in the meaning of this prefix that in translating the Aorist Tense into English, for instance, the same verb can be rendered by either 'begin to + verb', 'have/has + past participle of verb' (the perfective expression), or simply by 'verb + Past') (the past tense verb). He then supports the foregoing analysis with the following examples:

DECLATIVE II

(6) Ọjhi / ekwu / okwụ

(a) Ọjhi begins to talk
(b) " has begun 
(c) " has spoken
(d) " spoke.

(7) Ọjhi / ahwu / ya

(a) Ọjhi has seen him
(b) " saw him.

If sentences (6) and (7) were capable of all the given English renderings, then, there would be no need for the corresponding suffixes which express the various times / aspects represented in (a)-(d) for (6) and (a)-(b) for (7). For us, and I believe, for many dialects of Igbo, there are FOUR formally distinct representations of 6(a)-(d) thus:

65(a) ...... Ọjhi ekwuwe okwụ (-WA Inchoative suffix.)
...... And Ọjhi began to speak.

(b) Ọjhi ekwuwele okwụ (-WA + -la Perf.)
Ọjhi has begun to speak.
Without these suffixes being overtly present in structure, it is not possible to express the above meanings in our dialect. This fact is responsible for some of Dr. Igwe's examples sounding un-Igbo in our ears. We have maintained that those Prefix and Suffixes described in 2.3.1-2.3.4 are inflectional and obligatory in our dialect, though they may be optional in Dr. Igwe's. In view of this important difference, the proposed analysis of Dr Igwe's is in-compatible with observed primary linguistic data from this dialect, and is consequently rejected on this ground.

The danger in the analysis of Igbo is to pin a label on formatives and lexical items and then go on to justify this tag. This approach has characterised the Green and Igwe approach in 1963, and now Dr Igwe's attitude in 1973. The vowel prefix called Aorist by him is not incompatible with other Time/Aspect suffixes in the Language; on the contrary, the Prefix and such suffixes must be present, at least in our dialect, in order for the appropriate time to be expressed. This is what is shown in 65(a)-(d) above as well as in the following 66:

66(a) Ya kwushie ikhe, anyi acuo ya
If he talks hard, we sack him.

(b) M kpola gi, gi azakwani
If I have called you, you do answer.

(c) O kporo m, mu aza ya
He called me (and) I answered him.

In these and similar examples, it would be begging the question to select the vowel prefix as the time/aspect meaning - expressing morpheme in
utter disregard of other relevant suffixes. We therefore suggest that it appears more appropriate to consider, for purposes of analysis, the vowel prefix A- along with any time/aspect suffix with which it may occur, and thus assign the resultant meaning, not to one item, but to the two or more of them combined.

It is also for this same reason that we think that no useful purpose is served by an analysis which seeks to break down the items enclosed in slanting brackets.

67(a) 0 ga \{azu\} anu
\{izu\}
He will buy some meat.

We believe in treating these items as a unit - a complex verb form in which there is a choice of prefix between A- and I- before the form enclosed in curly brackets, if, and only if the first element is ga. Although both 'iga' and 'ina' are auxiliaries of some sort, the first being used to express the future tense, and the second the Progressive/Habitual Aspect, the two verbs have different syntactic characteristics in the dialect under consideration.

67(b) 0 ga eri yä eri: He will (certainly) eat it.
(c) 0 na eri yä eri: He does/is actually eating it.

But whereas 67(b) can be transformed into 67(b(i), 67(c) has no corresponding transform:

(b)(i) 0 ga ya nri: He will (certainly) eat it.
(c)(i) 0 na ya nri.

We believe that Dr. Igwe's analysis of the vowel prefix A- as the Aorist prefix stems from his assumption that "no suffix of the language has to occur obligatorily in any construction type ...."

2.4.0 Construction Types

In this final section of the chapter, selected paradigms or examples are given to show the tone patterns required by certain clause types in the language. This is done to make subsequent references to them easy.
In some cases, the examples have been selected to reflect the differences rather than the similarities between the dialect being described here and that described by Green and Igwe (1963). Thus, we have not bothered to give examples of verb forms in the narrative division of the verb, since there are no dialect differences in this construction type. For the same reason, interrogative sentences have not been illustrated here.

The classification of verbs is as given in 2.3.1. It will be observed that members of class 3 verbs will behave tonally as either class 1 or 2 according to the construction type being considered. We start with the Affirmative division of the verb and then go on to the Negative division. Since we have given numerous examples of simple sentence constructions in the preceding sections, we restrict our examination here to three complex sentence constructions including

(i) Conditional Constructions
(ii) Relative
(iii) Purpose

2.4.1. The Affirmative Division - Subordinate

As in the affirmative clauses, main, the morpheme constituent of verbs in subordinate clauses is cv stem + suffix. Only very few examples have been given of each subordinate clause, beginning with the conditional clauses.

(i) Conditional Clause A.

68(a) Ogu ga(a) ahyā, è rie yā iwu.
If Ogu goes to market, one will fine him fine;
If Ogu goes to market, he will be fined.

(b) Ejikhe raa übhe, afo ārawa yā ahu
If Ejikhe eats pears, belly pain start to his body;
If Ejikhe eats pears, he develops stomach ache.

(c) Unu kee aia ăhi, ya sè fuè uka.
If you share land that, it will cause trouble;
If you share out that piece of land, trouble will ensue.
But Conditional Clause A can only express the open condition in which a future time meaning is implied in both the antecedent and consequent clauses. It is not equipped to express the improbable or unfulfilled conditional meaning, as in the following English examples:

If he were rich, he would donate generously.

If he had listened to warnings, nothing would have happened to him.

The fact that there are two types of conditional constructions, (Conditional clauses A & B) parallel with Relative Clauses A & B has not been pointed out before, and this has given rise to the wrong impression that Igbo has no construction equivalent to the above English examples. It is to handle such things as the improbable and unfulfilled conditional meanings that conditional clause B examples are given below.

From the following examples of conditional clause B, it will become obvious that conditional clauses in Igbo are instances of Noun Phrase sentential complements, although they differ from other Na NP complements in the following respect: Generally, Na NP complements express a proposition which makes some claim about its truth value, but in the case of these conditional Na complements, such a claim has been cancelled by the very nature of the construction. Conditional clauses in Na NP complementation are explored further in chapter 5.

(ii) Conditional Clause B

69(a) Ya wuru ma Ogu mere ñwe a, o jogburu onwe ya

If it be that Ogu did thing this it be bad kill self its:

If Ogu did such a thing, it is very bad.

(b) Ya wuru ma I gwala ya, o go ìbya:

you have told him, he will come.

(c) Ya wuru ma ikpe ma ra gi, kwuo ìwu

If case caught you, pay fine:

If you have been found guilty, you pay the fine.
69(d) Ya' wuru m' aohe-okpa ebele; ci avo'dle
If cock has crowed, day has dawned;
If the cock has crowed, then it is daybreak.

70(a) A' si na Ogu anwuna; anyi ga(a)ra ama
If one said that Ogu has died, we would know
If Ogu had died, we would have known.

(b) A' si na i kwuru ezhi-okwu; o gara idii mma.
If you told truth, it would be good:
If you had told the truth, it would have been fine.

(c) A' si na unwu afula; madhu gara anwushi
If famine has come out people would have died.
If this were the season of famine, people would die.

(d) A' si na o' duru ishi mkpu; muo gara egb' ya
If he swore false oath, spirit would kill him.
If he had taken a false oath, the gods would have killed him.

From these examples, it will be observed that after the expressions
Ya' wuru m' and
A' si na
the following clause has a full range of tenses from the simple past to
the perfect. All types of tense/aspect of the verb are possible with
Conditional B, but not with A. This is the great limitation on Condition A
clauses. In 5.2.0, it is shown that Condition A clauses are instances of
Condition B types with the first part deleted. For our present purpose,
it is enough to show that there is more than one type of conditional
constructions in Igbo.

2.4.2 Relative Clauses A & B

(i) Relative A

In this subordinate clause, all verbs (classes 1-3) have their stem
on a downstep in relation to the preceding noun/pronoun which invariably
ends on a high tone: that is the Noun/Pronoun Subject of the Relative
Clause.
71(a) *Nde nwere imi ọkpaa la anwụru.*

Those who have nose have snuffed tobacco.

This is an idiomatic expression which means that "those who are aware of their talents make capital of them."

(b) *Onye nwere mādu m nwere ọkụ.*

Person who has people has wealth.

He who has human resources/connections has wealth.

It is in Relative clauses that another difference manifests itself between this dialect and that of Green and Igwe: In our dialect, Nouns of Tone classes 3&4 have rising -glides; other nouns retain their lexical/inherent tone pattern:

71(c) *Ele n'ụuru miri wụ ụke ụha gbogburu.*

Deer which drank water is the one they shot.

The deer which drank water is what they shot.

(d) *Adhe di ụvu wụ unye m ụhụru.*

Adha who is fat is person I saw.

It is the fat Adha that I saw.

(e) *Ogu nwere ọgọ ga alu ọdha m.*

Ogu who has money will marry my daughter.

(f) *Nkata ụzuru ọhi wụ onye a jidhere.*

Nkata who stole is the person one caught.

Nkata who stole is the one they caught.

(g) *Onye zara ammah emeene.*

Who swept road has done. (vb. ịzụ cl.II)

Whoever swept the road has done well.

(h) *Ndị ni ile dị n'otụ nwere ụnu.*

Those all who are one have mouth.

All those who are united have bargaining power, or a say.
(ii) Relative B

In this clause type, verbs of Class 3 fall into the same group as those Class 2, they have their stem on a low tone, while Class 1 verbs behave as in Relative A, that is, in having their stem on a downstep. Apart from this difference, Noun subjects of Tone Class 3 have a final rising glide as in Relative A:

PARADIGM with Noun Subjects and Class 2 verbs

72(a) Ji Ekwe koro di ahwa. The yams Ekwe planted are many.

(b) Ji Ulo koro di ahwa. (iko cl.2)
The yams Ulo planted are many.

(c) Ji Ugo koro di ahwa
The yams Ugo planted are many.

(d) Ahya anyi gara di uthi (ig' cl.3)
The market we went to is far.

Paradigm with Class 1 verbs; and pronoun Subjects:

73(a) Ji m ririle di ahwa. The yam I have eaten is much.

(b) Oke gburule di ahwa.
The rats you have killed are many.

(c) Azu gburule a while
Fishies he has caught have become many.
The fishies he has caught are many.

(d) Azu E gburule di ahwa
The fishies one has caught are many.
The fishies which have been caught are many.

(e) Enwe anyi gburule di ahwa:
The monkeys which we have caught are many.

(f) Mgbadha gburule di iše
The antelopes you have caught are five.
2.4.3. Final/Purpose Clause.

The final/Purpose clause is treated extensively under NP complementation in chapter 9, it is introduced by the conjunction ka or mà. This clause type was treated by Green and Igwe as Subject Verb Form II, Subordinate Conditional, an analysis with which we totally disagree.

In this clause type, verb classes 1 and 3 fall into one group in that they have their stem on a high tone, while those of Class 2 have their stem on a low tone.

We have not considered it necessary to give whole paradigms, but a few illustrative examples:

74(a) Mâ ngwa ngwa mâ ânyi gawakwani
Hurry up so that we may set out.

(b) Râ ogwu ka afo di gî mma
Take medicine so that stomach may be to you good.
Take your medicine in order that your stomach may get better.

(c) Kpothee yâ ma â zâ ulo.
Wake up him so that he may sweep house.
Wake him up so that he may do the sweeping of the house.

(d) Nne loro ahya faa ma ya shiere ânyi ǹwe
(My) mother returned market early so that she cook for us thing.
My mother returned in time from market in order to cook for us.

2.4.4 The Negative Division - Subordinate

As with the Negative of main clauses, the morpheme constituent of verbs in Negative subordinate clauses is

Prefix + verb stem + suffix
A + cv-stem + suffix.

The tonal behaviour of verbs is as given in 2.3.4.
Conditional Clause A

In conditional clauses, negative, all tenses and time are expressible in all negative conditional clauses. In the following examples with noun subjects, the antecedent (conditional) clauses come first:

75(a) (Ma) Okoro eriñii ji, letu' ya anya.

If Okoro does not eat yam, look away him eye: If Okoro does not eat the yam, do not mind him.

(b) (Ma) Ekhe' eriñii azu, ya erie anyu.

If Ekhe does not eat fish let him eat meet.

(c) Ogu' arañii uhhe, ya ta' okhe.

If Ogu did not eat pears, let him eat maize.

In the following examples, the consequent (clauses) come before the antecedent (ones).

76(a) 0 ga afu uka ma Ogu ekẹbale oru ohi.

It will cause trouble if Ogu has not shared land that. There will be trouble, if Ogu has not shared out that piece of farm land.

(b) Agahii m ibya odo ma Ug'O ekẹbale nkwu.

will come not I again if Ug'O has not shared out the palm trees: I will not come again unless Ugo shares out the (oil) palm trees.

(c) Nwa-para ga cogha okwu ma o kẹhii ale.

The first son will be looking for trouble, if he shares not land: The first son will be asking for trouble, if he does not share out the land.

With Pronoun Subjects, Antecedent clause coming first

77(a) Mu {elumii} ya thea, eci ya eeryah hu m

If I throw not him today, tomorrow he challenge again me;

If I do not defeat him today, tomorrow he will challenge me again.
If you do not cry, call me a fool.

If he does not see with his eyes he will not believe.

If we do not set out in time, we shall be late.

Unless you follow me behind, you will get lost.

Unless they take clearly not eye matter that be bad:

Unless they take due precautions, the matter will get out of control.

Conditional Clause B

If one said that one told not him, he would not come:

If he were not told, he would not come had not been told, he would not have come

If rain has not fallen plant yam would not start.

If it had not rained, the planting of yams would not have started.

If there were no offence, there would be no need for forgiveness.

He would not eat food of afternoon if he did not go to work

He would not have been given any lunch if he had not gone to the farm.

Ogu would not have picked him if you told him:

Ogu would not have picked him, if you had told him.
Would have they fined him fine if he were not in house of medicine? They would have fined him, if he were not confined in the hospital.

Observe that in both conditional clauses A & B, the conjunction maa is obligatory only if the consequent (clause) comes first in the construction. Note also that the verb of Condition B clauses is a complex one made up of the auxiliary ga (in its modal use) plus the suffixes -hII and -rV time and the following verb form which begins in the harmonising open vowel prefix. From these examples given here, it will be observed that the form of the verb is fixed, it does not vary. This verb form, which is discussed further in chapter 5, constitutes a diagnostic differentiating test for unfulfilled conditional constructions or conditional clause B.

2.4.5 Relative Clauses A & B - Rel. A

In relative clauses, negative, there is an obligatory na auxiliary element which is always on a high tone. Because of this high tone na, the following open vowel prefix is on a downstep. For all classes of verbs, the stem is on a high tone; the negative suffix -hII is on a low tone if the verb is a class 2 verb, but on a downstep if the verb belongs to either class 1 or 3.

Examples with iri (vb. cl. 1) and iza (vb. cl. 2)

79(a) Onye na eriiri iri thea mere onwe ya

Person who did not eat food today did self his/her: (b) Nguw na azahii ezihi zara ime ulo

Whoever did not eat today cheated him/herself.

Ngwu who did not sweep the compound swept the house.
Paradigm with Noun Subjects:

80(a) Chukwuemeka na ázahíi ezhi, eríníi ńwe.
Chukwuemeka who did not sweep the compound did not eat

(b) Òka na ńgbébbéřííñíi ńünü ke ńkííí ciwara
Rat which could not run into its hole that dog chased.
The rat which could not run into its hole was chased by the dog.

(c) Òkwe na ńástííñíi jií amáííí ńonyá
Bushfowl that did not peck at yam did not get trapped.
The bushfowl which did not peck at the yam did not get caught in the trap.

(d) Díkhe na ńábyabele na ńgbégbájú ń anyá
Dikhe who has not yet come is confusing me the eye
That Dikhe has not yet come is confusing me.

Relative B

Relative B clauses are like Relative A in that the tone behaviour of verbs is the same in both clauses, and the na element is also obligatory here. We give only one set of Paradigms with Pronouns as subject of the Relative clauses:

81(a) Ánu m na ńyeyéíi Ekwe wére ya iwe.
The meat I did not give Ekwe angered him.
The fact that I did not give Ekwe some meat angered him.

(b) Ánu I na ńyeyéíí Ekwe wére ya iwe
The meat which you did not give Ekwe angered him.

(c) Ánu o na ńyeyéíí Ekwe wére ya iwe

(d) " ányí " " " " " " " "

(e) " ùnu " " " " " " " "

(f) " ò ha " " " " " " " "

(c) The fact that he did not give Ekwe some meat angered him.
(d) " " " wa " " " " " " " "

(e) " " " you " " " " " " " "

(f) " " " they " " " " " " " "
In terms of Relative Clause constructions, this dialect differs from Ohuhu in having an obligatory Na auxiliary element as part of its complex Negative verb form. This Na element had hitherto been analysed as a lexical prefix. But Dr Igwe's recent view that it is the Na auxiliary is in keeping with our analysis of it in this thesis.

2.4.6 Purpose/Final Clauses

This construction type hitherto analysed as the Affirmative Conditional Clause, Subject Verb Form II, by Green and Igwe (1963), is now analysed as Negative Purpose clause. Thus, not only has the descriptive label changed, but also it is treated here as negative rather than affirmative.

It might be argued, on formal grounds, that the above construction type illustrated in 82 & 83 is not negative, since the verbs of the purpose clauses do not contain any of the negative inflectional affixes of verb conjugation given in 2.3.1-2.3.3. But one has to consider the construction in its entirety, especially the tone pattern of verbs in the purpose clauses which definitely express a negative meaning. The uniqueness of the purpose clauses in these examples lies in the fact that the harmonising vowel prefix, if any, the verb stem and its suffixes are all on low tones regardless of the class of verbs involved. The inseparable, singular, second and third person pronoun subjects end on a falling glide, while nouns of tone classes 3 and 4 have their final low tone raised to high, as though in relative clauses. It seems to me that the term negative in Igbo verb conjugation must be widened to accommodate such facts as these which are structure-specific.

Examples 82 contain pronoun subject in the purpose clauses, while those of 83 contain noun subjects; all the classes of verbs are represented as shown.

With Pronouns as Subject of the Purpose Clauses

82(a) Kpacha'ra anya mu ěrichhe ye (iri vb. cl.1)
Open wide eye lest I eat finish it: Be careful lest
I should finish it all.
82(b) Ṣíkhọ, wọrẹ nwọnyo i àdha. (ídha vb. cl.2)
Please take gentleness he should fall:
Please, be careful, lest you fall.

c) Shiwé osíiso Ọ gawa āhya lôthi (igá cl.3)
Go on cooking quickly he should go market late:
Hurry up with your cooking lest he should set out late to the market.

d) Ogu kpásụrụ anyị iwe (khwóró) mà anyị ànụ
Ogu stirred up we anger intending that we drink not mai yà.
(ínu cl.1)
wine his: Ogu annoyed us lest we should drink his wine.
so that we might not

e) Ogu tiwere ákpu unu abajo yà (ìbha cl.2)
Ogu shouted shout lest you seize hold of him.
so that you might not

(f) Ngozi nọturu me hi ənhu yà (ihnù cl.3)
Ngozi hid so that they might not see her.

With Nouns as Subject of the Purpose Clauses
83(a) Rutani ovú (khwóró mà) éghu n'ábhu (ihnù cl.1)
Build you people, 'ovú' intending that goats might not die in tether:
You people, build an 'ovú' so that goats might not die off in tether.
(b) Unu machiri ọzọ oke əbhu (ìbhuà cl.2)
You closed the door so that rats might not get in.

8 Ovú, in a traditional Igbo compound, is the building in front of the compound through which all visitors enter the compound. It is here that unfamiliar visitors or callers of questionable character are received. It also harbours domestic animals such as sheep and goats during the day. Because it is a large hall, these animals roam about in it without having to be tethered, provided that its gates are locked.
83(c) Gashikwe ikhe Uzo agawa ahya (iga cl.3)
Do fast do hard Uzo set out not market:
Do, be very fast so that Uzo might not set out for market.

(d) Kwudee ezhi-okwu Ala skugbuo gi (ikugbu - compound verb)
Do speak the truth lest Ala should kill you.

The uniform tone pattern of verbs in these purpose clauses - all on low tones - does not always obtain if the verbs were compound verbs.

As an illustration of what happens, consider the following examples,

84. Class 1 + 1 Compound Verbs

(a) A si m ya lawa o lugbuo apara mm ene m.
Told I her go home she not marry-kill eldest brother mine:
I told her to go home lest she should marry my eldest brother to death.

(b) Mechie onu i rigbuo onwu gi
Close mouth you eat-kill self your:
Shut up or else you die eating.

(c) Patuo mai Ogu anugbuo onwu ya
Remove the wine, or else Ogu should destroy himself drinking.

With compound verbs whose constituents are class 1 verbs, the same low tone pattern obtains in the purpose clause, both pronoun and noun subjects behave tonally as in 82 and 83. The same situation obtains if the compound verbs are of the following constituent members:

(i) Classes 1 + 3
(ii) " 2 + 1
(iii) " 2 + 3
(iv) " 3 + 1
(v) " 3 + 3

We give one example of each of the above compound verbs in a purpose clause:
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85(a) ̀nù gághãra ya ̀khwòro si ᵁ ghaleere m ìkwu
You went left behind him so that he examine not me the talk.
You went without him lost he should examine the matter for me.

(b) ̀nte ́ dhaqbuo nwà m (idhaqbu -, 2 + 1)
Get up lest you crush my baby.

(c) Àkwòla m ya ̀uguò ᵁ zhigara di ya ozhi (izhiga 2 + 3)
I have paid her the debt so that she might not send word to her husband.

(d) ̀gù furu ᵁ byakhwuo ya (ibyakhwu 3 + 1)
Ogu left lest he should find him (in the house).

(e) ̀gbürü m wìi ya ilu ᵁ shigara ṅìa ya írì
He beat wife his beat lest she cook send food to her father.

But compound verbs of the following constituents:

(i) 1 + 2 (such as ̀gbudhà
(ii) 2 + 2 " " ̀dhabhà
(iii) 3 + 2 " " ímefù
have a different tone pattern in that

(i) the singular pronoun subjects 0 and 1 are on a high-downstep glide;
(ii) the first constituent of the compound verb is on the same pitch as the preceding high of the subject, or of the vowel prefix, if any, thus:

86(a) ̀nìyì kpooro ya ̀polishì ᵁ gbudhà ìkwu m
We called him police lest he cut down oil palm mine.
We called the police for him so that he might not cut down my palm tree.
(b) *Kwa maa ugbọ, ụnụ* ọ ọ dhabha na miri

Drive well boat we fall not into water;
Paddle your canoe properly lest we fall into the water.

(c) Cúkwa  ajọ nwanyi ke ọ mefù qị na nwúkhọ

Do drive away bad woman this lest she do out you from manhood;
You should drive away this wicked woman lest she should undo you as a man.

From these examples, we make the following deduction:

(i) Compound verbs with the tone pattern - high - high or low - low -
will all end up on low tones in what we analyse as Negative Purpose Construction, while
(ii) those with the tone pattern - high - low maintain the same pattern in the construction in question.

The foregoing chapter and its examples show conclusively that a division of Igbo constructions and verb forms into the Affirmative and Negative not only recognises the opposition between the presence of the harmonising vowel prefix A- in the latter and its absence in the former, but also takes into account the important fact that all the inflectional, and consequently obligatory, suffixes given in this chapter are not mutually exclusive with but complementary to this vowel prefix which, we think, has been wrongly analysed as the Aorist prefix by Rev. Igwe. In our dialect, the vowel prefix is a regular marker of negative verb forms, although it is associated with some affirmative verb forms such as verbs in the perfect and narrative. But whatever the case, the prefix must not be analysed in isolation from the relevant inflectional suffixes in combination with which it expresses the right time meaning in this as in many other dialects of Igbo.
CHAPTER 3  TONE

3.0. Introduction:

Tone occupies a central position in the syntax of Igbo. Consequently, whatever aspect of the language one decides to investigate, one cannot avoid some discussion of tone, hence the relevance of tone in the grammar of Noun Phrase (Sentential) Complementation which is the subject of this thesis. But in a more strict sense also, Tone is relevant to the subject of this thesis. The rule of relativization constitutes an essential distinction between factive and non-factive complements in Igbo, a topic which is illustrated in chapter 6. Since the tone rules which are given and discussed here apply to Igbo NPs of the structure \( [\text{N} \neq \text{N}]_{\text{NP}} \) as well as to relative clauses some of which can be reduced to the above type of NPs, the discussion of tone is particularly relevant to our subject here.

However, it has to be observed from the start that Tone is a full subject of its own even beyond the scope of any one Ph.D. thesis. Unfortunately, not very much has been done in this as in other areas of Igbo syntax, and this chapter does not represent what is lacking in the study of Tone, but what we consider relevant to NP complementation in Igbo.

We have decided on an early treatment of tone for the simple reason that at every turn in the grammatical analysis of Igbo, the analyst is confronted with the issue of tone: of how far grammatical relations are signalled by means of tone, or how one underlying item can manifest different tone patterns according to the various syntactic structures in which it may be functioning. Some of these polysystemic tone patterns have been given in the examples of the various construction types given in the preceding chapter 2, but the generation of such patterns by means of tone rules is illustrated in the following sections so that subsequent references can be made to them (that is, to the tone patterns and the rules that generate them).
In the description of tone in a tone language, one should in principle recognise two levels of analysis:

(i) Tone changes due to syntactic relations,

(ii) Tone changes due to the juxtaposition of certain tones.

But in practice, it is not easy to maintain this demarcation, since one level dovetails into the other. In syntax, grammatical relations between units of structure are manifested by word order and/or by tone, and tone changes are realised at the surface in terms of high-tone lowering (downstep) or low-tone raising. Yet these syntactically determined tone changes must be related to the phenomenon of Downdrift, common in West African languages, and downdrift is not syntactic, but purely phonetic. Thus, although the motivation of some tone changes in Igbo is syntactic, the surface realisation of such tones is by a series of phonological rules which will be discussed and illustrated in 3.2.0. As will be seen in this section, we have not got two sets of phonological rules - one set for syntactically determined tone changes and the other for phonologically determined ones. Rather, what we have is a set of rules which work together to produce the tone patterns that we associate with Igbo sentences, and in most cases, the application of one tone rule creates an output structure which becomes the input to the next rule. In this way they are not isolated, but interdependent, the result is that our distinction between syntactically determined and phonologically motivated tone rules does not obtain in practice.

In handling this chapter, we have drawn most of the phonological rules from existing research on tone within the framework of Generative phonology, especially from Ibadan Notes. The aim of such research has been to arrive at a uniform treatment of two phenomena in most tone languages which must

1 See 3.2.0 for definition
be considered distinct in origin: Downdrift and Downstep. We could have merely referred the reader to this research without repeating the rules here. But we consider such a method inadequate, especially as we have added to the number of these rules in a way which alters their (intrinsic) ordering, and we have consequently extended their application to other NP structures in the language. Such additions and the extension consequent on them call for the citing of the rules without which a discussion of them and the justification of the extension could not be meaningfully carried out.

3.1. UNDERLYING PHONOLOGICAL REPRESENTATION

Tone in Generative Phonology has merely begun to receive the attention of researchers in African Languages. One of the problems facing such Africanists is the representation of tone in the underlying phonological form of verbs. We single out verbs as the source of problem here because with other lexical categories, such as Nominals, it is always possible to relate surface or phonetic tone patterns systematically to the underlying or inherent tone patterns by means of the tone rules. But with verbs, the situation is made difficult by the fact that the same verb which may be classed as high (Class 1) or low (Class 2) or high-low (Class 3) will manifest different tone (patterns) in different sentence or construction types. Take Relative Clauses, for example. As we observed in 2.4.8., all the three classes of verbs given above have their stem on downstep (high) tone with regard to what precedes. This means that even an inherently low tone verb of class 2 behaves like a high tone one in this clause type. As far as we know, there is no way of deriving a high tone verb from an underlying low one short of tinkering. To avoid this unpleasant method, we have adopted the following method:

(1) the underlying phonological form of a verb is determined by the sentence type in which it is functioning, hence in Relative A clauses, the three tone classes of verbs are all high;
(ii) With regard to Nominals, underlying phonological form means the inherent or lexical tone pattern;

(iii) Where an affix (a prefix or suffix) has a tone independent of its tonemic context, such a tone is generally specified in underlying phonological form. So far, only three suffixes - the perfect negative and affirmative suffixes, -bèlé and -lA~nA, and the vowel suffix -0 are known to have inherent tones unaffected by context.

(iv) Although nominals have been classified here according to their tone classes, the tone class of a particular noun is not sufficient to guarantee its appropriate phonetic pattern, we need to make reference to the type of syntactic structure involved; to this effect the adjacent tones at word boundary and the use of labelled bracketings give the necessary and sufficient information. For example, the following labelled bracketing $\left[ \text{NP} \overset{\text{Rel.}}{\text{NP}} \right]_{\text{NP}}$ is sufficient to trigger the generation of rising glides (V) on nouns or other items with final low tone in an NP subject of a relative clause, as in (a) & (b) below:

(a) Ogu gara ahya ..... Ogu who went to market.

(b) Eghu o riri ji m ..... This goat that ate my yam

where Ogu and the determiner O have an extra high tone which now precedes the downstep on the stem of the verb of the relative clause.

Similarly, the use of the labelled bracketing

(a) $\left[ \text{N}_1 \neq \text{N}_2 \right]_{\text{NP}}$ or (b) $\left[ \text{N Det} \right]_{\text{NP}}$ is enough information to the effect that the final low tone of $\text{N}_1$ in (a) or $\text{N}$ in (b) does not develop into a rising glide as in relative clauses, unless $\text{N}_2$ is a monosyllable, but is only raised to a high tone provided $\text{N}_2$ or Det begins in a low tone thus:

(a) Odhù ò òke → Odhù òke 'rat's tail'

(b) Ulò ò o → Ulò o 'this house

(c) Odhù ji → Odhù ji tail of a yam
3.2 Syntactically determined Tone changes and Downdrift

Downdrift is a phenomenon occurring in many tone languages with primarily level (as opposed to contour) tones; it also occurs in languages with glides which are analysable as a sequence of level tones. It has received a fairly wide treatment\(^3\) by scholars interested in tone languages. Downdrift may be defined as the progressive lowering of pitch throughout a phrase due to intervening low tones. For example, in a sequence of all high or low tones, the pitch remains the same, but in a sequence of high-low-high or low-high-low, the second high or low is on a lower pitch than the preceding high or low tone. It is thus possible for a high tone late in a phrase to have a lower absolute pitch than a low tone early in the phrase.

The above hypothesis is experimentally verifiable, as the following mingograms show. Compact, vertical lines (striations) represent pitch tracings: the longer they are, the lower the pitch of utterance, the shorter, the higher the pitch. In other words, pitch is inversely proportional to the length of triations.

The following sentences have been used:

1(a) ... Adha ñhwy ñkhwe dį kà akhwà akà
   And Adha saw some eggs like the eggs of a python.
1(b) òtu ñgne, òtu nwaànyị pyere ụgha akhụ
   Once time, one woman went into bush of kernels:
   Once upon a time, a woman went into the bush in search of kernels.
1(c) Nnà ñchere urx gawa ahyal
   Mother, mine who beautified herself with indigo and set out for market.

And he entered the garden, plucked indigo, ground it rubbed it.

And he entered the garden, plucked an indigo fruit, ground and rubbed it.

In the first mingogram (p.120), apart from the observable general lowering of pitch, it will be seen that the initial low tone of the phrase on Adhè has much shorter striations than the downstep on ìjì in the middle of the phrase, to say nothing about the much longer striations on the final high tone of the entire phrase, itself a downstep. Correspondingly, the pitches of these same syllables are roughly 130 Hz for the initial low tone, 110 Hz for the downstep high on ìjì in the middle of the phrase and 90 Hz for the final high tone (downstep) at the very end of the phrase. The difference between the initial low and the final high is very remarkable.

In the second mingogram (p.121), we are interested only in the expression - Ìtu  nwàànyì  pyére  ghya  akhù which constitutes a semantic unit. The first low tone of this phrase is on the second syllable of Ìtu, while the last syllable of the phrase is on a downstep high. A look at their respective striations indicates that the first low tone is on a higher pitch than the final high tone at the end of the phrase. While this initial low is on a pitch of about 100 Hz, that of the final high is on a pitch of 85-90 Hz. The downstep relationship between the preceding high-tone syllables and the final downstep in the last bit of the sentence,

..............pyére  ghya  akhù

is also interesting. These are in the relation of 100 Hz to approximately 90 Hz. This same downdrifting effect is obvious from the third mingogram (p.122) where the first three syllables terrace down as shown from a pitch of about 140 Hz to about 110 Hz on the second downstep:

140 Hz  120 Hz  110 Hz
Because of the intervening low-tones on ṣi, the final high-tones on qâwa  ahya are both lower than the preceding ones, and are in the pitch relation of 90 Hz to 80 Hz on the final downstep.

But this lowering affects low-tones as much as it does high ones. For example, in the fourth mingogram (A123), the first low tone of the entire sentence on ṣbha has a pitch of roughly 100 Hz, the subsequent ones after two intervening highs are on a lower pitch of about 80 Hz, while the next set of lows on ṣi are lower still, having an average pitch of 70 Hz.

What these results show is that the terrace level tone pattern associated with tone languages is both real and verifiable experimentally. The range of the downward terracing may vary from sentence to sentence, or even according to the length of sentences, but the progressive lowering of pitch from the beginning to the end of a phrase does take place. However, what is observable from the pitch measurements given here and what must be emphasised is that, although pitch drifts downwards, the relative contrast between high and low is preserved throughout a phrase.

**Downstep**

In addition to downdrift, Igbo, like many other tone languages, also has the phenomenon of downstep or lowered high-tone. Downstep in Igbo is always an indicator of some syntactic relationship, such as the relation of constituency between two items in an NP, or a verb-object relation. Whatever syntactic relationship it marks, the downstep in Igbo is always preceded by a high-tone, and never otherwise. It is thus to be distinguished from the mid tone in, say, Yoruba, which can occur

---

4 The term, terrace-level, is due to Pike (1948) *Tone Languages*, University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.
independent of a high tone. In the following 2(a-b) which have been

described as Genitive structure by Green and Igwe (1963) or Completive

phrase by Voorhoeve, Mæuseen and de Blois (1969), the pitch relation­

ship among the syllables is given as follows:

2(a) \( \text{ishi} \) \( \# \) \( \text{éghu} \) \( \rightarrow \) \( \text{ishi} \) \( \# \) \( \text{éghu} \)

head goat

The head of a goat

(b) \( \text{ishi} \) \( \# \) \( \text{óke} \) \( \rightarrow \) \( \text{ishi} \) \( \# \) \( \text{óke} \)

The head of a rat

The phonetic scale values 1, 2 ...... \( n \) indicate pitch, the smallest

number representing the highest pitch.

Despite their distinct origins, downstep being a marker of syntactic

relation, while downdrift is a mere phonological feature, the two

phenomena can be uniformly treated, if we accept the basic hypothesis

that pitch lowering in a sequence of tones is due to intervening low

pitches. It is very likely that all downsteps can be diachronically

derived from tonal systems with two underlying tones: high and low,

although this is not always tenable in synchronic data. However, it has

been shown (Fromkin, 1972 and Arnott 1964) that some downsteps do, in

fact, derive from an underlying high-low-high sequence thus:

3. \( \text{mó} \) \( \# \) \( \text{bó} \) 'my stone'

\( H \) \( L \) \( H \)

underlying pitches

pitches after the application of
downdrift rules

pitches after the deletion of /ɔ/ by

vowel deletion rule.

\( \text{mó} \) \( \# \) \( \text{bó} \)

final phonetic form.

In addition to the above example given by Fromkin from Akân -
a language of Ghana - Arnott (1964) has also demonstrated that in Tiv
verbal system, a surface downstep derives from the same high-low-high sequence. In Igbo, on the other hand, there is even a stronger case for postulating such a non-segmental low tone in underlying phonological form. First, there are ample data to show that in addition to deletion, there is sometimes an incorporation of this non-segmental tone in specific structure types, for example, relative structures:

4(a) **Ogu** gara ahya alola
(b) **Ogu** " ahya "

Ogu who went to market is back.

4(a) & (b) are dialect variants of the same structure whose underlying subject NP is **Ogu**. In order to account for **Ogu** with its rising glide, or **Ogu** with its downstep high, one must assume the presence in underlying phonological form of a non-segmental low tone which (after the necessary phonological rules have applied to raise it) must have been incorporated in the one case but deleted in the other. The relevant rules are discussed in 3.5. All that these facts call for is the provision for a rule of Tone Incorporation in addition to one of Tone Deletion (Tone Simplification in this thesis) in the phonological description of Igbo. We therefore disagree with Kiparisky's argument (Kiparsky 1958) that these underlying non-segmental low tones never appear at the surface. They do appear in surface or phonetic form in Igbo, given specific contexts, and this is why we have added a rule of Tone incorporation to the tone rules which we have taken from *Ibadan Research Notes*. We do, however, agree with him that "downstep must be given a phonemic status, at least in some dialects of a language. Williamson (1972) has shown that downstep in the Onitsha dialect of Igbo is distinctive, but this does not destroy the case for an underlying non-segmental low tone which triggers downsteps in the same way that segmental low tones intervening between high or low tones bring about downdrift."
### 3.3 Tone Rules

The following are the phonological rules necessary for the generation of Igbo downstep and downdrift tone pattern generally. They are first given here, then discussed later and subsequently illustrated with a wide range of data showing different construction types in the language, and thus demonstrating that the tone rules have a far more general application than had hitherto been realised.

#### Tone Rule (Tn-Rule) 1

1(a) (High tone) \[ \rightarrow [ +S ] \]

1(b) (Low tone) \[ \rightarrow [ +S ] \]

What Tn-Rules 1(a) and (b) do is provide a mechanism for decomposing the underlying tones of terminal strings into distinctive (and non-distinctive) phonological features which now become the input to subsequent phonological rules. In addition to being segmental, \[ +S \], high and low tones are distinct in being either raised \[ +R \], or unraised \[ -R \]. The use of \[ +R \] and \[ -R \], as opposed to Carrell's \[ +h \] and \[ -h \], avoids a possible confusion with 'high' and 'low' as features of tongue height. Moreover, it enables one to speak in relative terms.

Similarly, \[ +S \], a segmental tone or one belonging to a syllable in underlying phonological form is here distinguished from the hypothetical non-segmental low tone \[ -S \] introduced in underlying form to trigger downstep and downdrift generally.

---

5 Carrell (1970) op.cit. Chapter 5 p.84.
In-Rule 2

\[ X \overset{\text{N1 \neq N2}}{\rightarrow} Y \quad \overset{\text{N Det NP}}{\rightarrow} X \overset{\text{-S}}{\rightarrow} Y \]

Where X and Y, already in phonological form, could be any of the following:

(i) N1 \neq N2 NP or N Det NP.
(ii) NP - Verb
(iii) Verb - NP

As the double arrows show, In-Rule 2 is transformational; it introduces the hypothetical non-segmental low tone in underlying phonological structure between the two items whose tone pattern is being generated.

This rule provides the input to subsequent Tone rules and is in keeping with the theory that downstep and downdrift are tone lowerings due to intervening low tones.

In-Rule 3

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
-\text{S} & +\text{S} & +\text{S} \\
-\text{R} & +\text{R} & +\text{R} \\
1 & 2 & 3 \\
\end{array} \rightarrow 213 \]

This rule merely moves round the non-segmental low tone into such a position that it immediately precedes the second syllable of the following nominal.

In-Rule 4

\[ \begin{array}{c}
-\text{R} \\
\rightarrow \quad +\text{R} \\
\end{array} / \quad = \quad \begin{array}{c}
-\text{R} \\
\rightarrow \quad +\text{R} \\
\end{array} \]

This rule enables one to capture the phonomenon whereby a low-tone preceded and followed by low-tones in a nominal phrase (NP) is raised to a high-tone. This is also what happens when two basically low-tone

---

For an earlier view of the nature of the underlying non-segmental tone, see Voorhoeve, Meeussen and de Blois (1969).
verbs combine to form a compound verb, as in the following example:

\[ \text{'to push'} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{'to fall'} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{'to push down'} \]

The tone pattern of the above compound verb can be generated in the following way:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{ikhwa} & \quad \text{dha} \\
\begin{array}{c}
+5 & +3 \\
+3 & - \end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
+5 & +3 \\
+3 & - \end{array} \\
\text{ikhudha} & \quad \text{dha} \\
\begin{array}{c}
+5 & +3 \\
+3 & - \end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
+5 & +3 \\
+3 & - \end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]

by Raising

by Downdrift Rule (Tn-Rule 5)

by Tone Simplification (Tn-Rule 7)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{Tn-Rule 5 - Downdrift Rules} \\
(a) & \sim \begin{array}{c}
+R \\
-R \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
3 \end{array} \\
(b) & \begin{array}{c}
+R \\
-R \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c}
n-2 \end{array} \\
(c) & \begin{array}{c}
-R \\
+R \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c}
n+3 \end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( n \) = pitch value

and \( \sim \) = a notational convention showing that the square brackets are not enclosing feature specifications as they do in phonology, but are indicating that \([+R] \) is always re-written as 1 etc, as square brackets do in syntactic rules.

Tn-Rule 5 (a) assigns the numerical values 1 or 3 to the initial high or low-tone of a phrase. Observe that the lowest number represents
the highest pitch. With the application of 5(a) as basis, one can
generate the relative pitches of subsequent syllables by iteratively
applying 5(b) & (c). The rule works in a left-to-right direction.

In-Rule 6 - Tone Incorporation

This rule has not been formalised here, but we are clear as to what
it does. It is responsible for the development of glides in

(a) Monosyllabic nouns of Tone class 1(a) in first or pre-genitival
position. With this class of nominals Tone Incorporation must
be ordered after Tone Assimilation (In-Rule 8), as otherwise,
one gets a sequence of high-low-high, which is not acceptable,
as in Ji' Chi, instead of the desired Ji' Chi (Chi's yam);

(b) Nouns of Tone classes 3 and 4 when they are NP subject of
designated clauses (ie Relative - Temporal, N'èbe Causal, and
Manner Adverbial NP Clauses)

In-Rule 7 - TONE SIMPLIFICATION RULE

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left[ \begin{array}{c}
+5 \\
R \\
\end{array} \right], \quad \\
\left[ \begin{array}{c}
-S \\
R \\
\end{array} \right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \\
&\left[ \begin{array}{c}
+5 \\
+R \\
\end{array} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(where \( \alpha \) or \( \beta \) is +).

This rule provides for the deletion of non-segmental tones at the end
of the phonological rules. Since the Tone Incorporation Rule is ordered
before this rule, there is no more need to block its application to
Monosyllables of Tone Class 1(a) nor to nouns of Tone classes 3 and 4.

In-Rule 8 - TONE ASSIMILATION

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left[ \begin{array}{c}
-R \\
n \\
\end{array} \right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \\
&\left[ \begin{array}{c}
+R \\
n-2 \\
\end{array} \right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \\
&\left[ \begin{array}{c}
+R \\
n-2 \\
\end{array} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

This rule provides for the assimilation of the initial low tone of
Nouns of Tone class 2 whenever this low tone is preceded by a high tone
across word boundary, as in

\[
N'èbe \quad Òke \quad n'wùrùna \quad \longrightarrow \quad N'èbe \, Òke \, n'wùrùna
\]

Since the rat has died.
He killed a rat.

**Tn-Rule 9 - TONE REDUCTION RULE**

\[
\begin{align*}
&= [+S] \\
&= [-S] \\
&= [+S] \\
\end{align*} \quad \Rightarrow \quad [+S] \\
\begin{align*}
&= [-R] \\
&= [-R] \\
\end{align*}
\]

This deletion rule is made necessary as a result of the application of the foregoing Tone rules to nominal structures in which Tone Class 5(b) Nouns (such as ibe) are in genitival or second position. It will be recalled that this class of nouns are either proper names or personifications and have a different tonal behaviour in this position from their counterparts in Tone class 3.

Other necessary modifications to these Tone rules will be pointed out and discussed when each of them is applied to some data from our dialect. There is no doubt that, in order to generate all the permissible tone patterns in Igbo nominal structures, these rules will have to be extended, and some intrinsic ordering on their application stated where necessary.

Before applying these rules to Igbo structures, a brief discussion of them is appropriate here.

It will be recalled that the Tone rules given here are mainly Base Rules, only a small number of them are transformational. This is the main difference between this and Carrell's handling of Tone. We agree with her that only two tones - high and low - need be set up in underlying phonological structure, and that downstep is a surface or phonetic

---

7 Apparently, the Ibadan workshop (see Research Notes p. 83-90) did not examine proper names such as ibe when it is in second position as in

Ishi ibe : Ibe's head
Afa ibe " land.

In order to derive tone patterns such as these, one has to provide for the deletion of the first of a sequence of \([+S] [-R] [+S] [-R]\), which is a high tone. The rule is admittedly adhoc, and so is the tone pattern it is trying to characterise idiosyncratic. For the application of this rule, see 3.5.
phenomenon for Igbo, even though it has its origin deep in syntax.

Carrell's use of distinctive features such as $[+h]$ for high tones, and $[-h]$ for low tones is in keeping with our own use of $[+S]$ for the same high and low tones, though we prefer $ [+R]$ since this not only avoids a possible confusion of $[+h]$ with features of tongue height, but also enables one to speak in relative terms. But we differ from her in this sense that the above features echo $[-e]$ need not be introduced at all, let alone by a series of 8 transformational rules. We regard tone as a bundle of phonological features whose distinctive and redundant features are inherent and are, therefore, better captured by re-write rules such we have given in Tn-Rules 1(a) & (b). For similar reasons, we have also assumed that all boundary symbols employed here are generated in Base rules. These symbols include:

- `#` for Phrase (Sentence) boundary
- `=` Word (Morpheme)
- `+` Formative boundary.

(Formatives include prefixes and suffixes). These boundary symbols are better introduced in the Phrase Structures by Base-Rules, and this method is much more economical than Carrell's Transformational approach.

In order to generate downstep and downdrift tone pattern generally, she employs 7 cyclical and 4 post-binary rules. This same effect can be more economically achieved by means of the Downdrift rules given here. Once the numerical value of the first tone has been determined by Tn-Rule 5(a), then subsequent pitches can be determined by repeated application of 5(b) or (c) following a linear Left-to-Right direction.

Very early in this chapter (see 3.0) we called for a distinction between syntactically motivated tone changes and other tone changes which are purely phonological. In practical terms, the only distinction one can make lies in the ordering of the rules given here. For example, the
Tn-Rule 2 which introduces the non-segmental low tone is the first step towards the generation of downstep, or downdrift. Let us take a simple example to illustrate the process:

8(a)  ishi  eghu  (the head of a goat).

\[ \begin{align*}
8(b) & \quad \text{ish} \text{hi} \quad \text{egh} \text{u} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{N} \text{P} \quad \text{N} \text{P} \\
8(b) & \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{i} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{sh} \text{i} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{e} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{gh} \text{u} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \text{N} \text{P} \\
& \quad \text{N} \text{P} \\
& \quad \text{by Tn-Rule 1(a)}
\end{align*} \]

These two rules therefore provide the phonological structure for the application of Downdrift rules. (Tn-Rule 5(a) (b) & (c)).

8(c) therefore represents only a stage in the whole process of deriving a downstep; it is not the downstep itself. Only the application of Downdrift and other necessary rules will yield the surface downstep thus:

\[ \begin{align*}
8(d) & \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{i} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{sh} \text{i} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{e} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{gh} \text{u} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \text{N} \text{P} \\
& \quad \text{N} \text{P} \\
& \quad \text{by Metathesis (Tn-Rule 3)}
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
8(e) & \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{i} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{sh} \text{i} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{e} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{gh} \text{u} \\
+ S \\
+ R
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \text{N} \text{P} \\
& \quad \text{N} \text{P} \\
& \quad \text{by Tn-Rule 5(c)}
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
8(f) & \quad \text{ish} \text{i} \quad \text{egh} \text{u} \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{i} \\
1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{sh} \text{i} \\
1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{e} \\
1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{gh} \text{u} \\
1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\text{N} \text{P} \\
1 \\
2
\end{bmatrix} \\
& \quad \text{by Tn-Rule 5(b)}
\end{align*} \]

By Downdrift Rule (Tn-Rule 5(a))

8(f) by Tone Simplification (Tn-Rule 7)

It is necessary to point out that the numerical values are relative; the value 2 does not always stand for a downstep, but in relation to a preceding high tone, in this case 1, it is a lowered high tone, or drop tone or downstep.

From this and other subsequent examples, it will be seen that Downdrift is a late phonetic rule which converts phonological binary features to...
phonetic scale features. There is no one rule which could be described as the Downstep rule. All that Tn-Rule 2 does is provide the necessary structure for the operation of subsequent tone rules. At this level, therefore, syntax and phonology are not distinct for the simple reason that any phonetic tone pattern, be its origin syntactic or phonological, must be the product of some of the foregoing rules, which are essentially phonological. At this level in the description of Igbo, syntax and phonology merge.

Order of the Tone Rules

The numbering of these rules does not necessarily reflect any extrinsic ordering; it is more for ease of reference, and must be seen as such. Any strict ordering of rules in Igbo grammar must wait for more facts from a thorough study of various aspects of the language. It must be observed that evidence from the grammar of complementation presented in part II of this thesis (cf 54.0:268ff ) is in favour of intrinsic ordering, although the rules of syntax and phonology are different in kind. However, where an ordering relationship between two phonological rules has been considered necessary, as in the generation of the tone pattern of class 1(b) nominals (that is mono-syllabic nouns in first or pre-genitival position) such an order has been given. In this regard, in order to ensure the derivation of the well-formed 9(a) or block the generation of the deviant 9(b) from the underlying form 9(c), we must maintain the following ordering relationship:

Either Tone Incorporation (Tn-rule 6)
Or " Simplification (Tn-rule 7)
If 6, then " Assimilation (Tn-rule 8)
9 (a) Jii Ci Ci's yam
(b) * Jii Ci
To derive 9 (a) from 9 (c), we apply the alternative rules thus

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(d)} & \text{Ji'} & \text{Ci'} & \text{Ci' s yam} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}
\]

Thus, Tone Assimilation is contingent on a prior application of Tone Incorporation with classes 1 (b), 3 & 4 nominals. Failure to apply rule 8 after the application of 6 is responsible for the deviance of 9 (b).

### 3.4 TONE CLASSES

For the operation of the Phonological rules so far given, Igbo nominals (nouns) have been classified as follows:

**TONE CLASS 1 (a)**

This Tone class includes all nouns with tonal structure high-high, except proper names or Personified nouns.

Tone Class 1 thus includes

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{ghu} & \text{goat} \\
[+S] & [+S] \\
[+R] & [+R]
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{shi} & \text{head} \\
[+S] & [+S] \\
[+R] & [+R]
\end{array}
\]
These nouns will always have a downstep final syllable when they are in second or genitive position thus:

```
ishi ≠ eghu → ishi eghu
```

But they will behave differently if they are personified or used as proper names, thus:

10 (a)  Nwa ≠ Eghu → Nwa Eghu
         the son of Eghu

(b)  Nwa ≠ Anya → Nwa Anya
         Anya's son

Contrast nwa eghu (a small goat) with

nwa Eghu (the son of Eghu)

This contrastive pattern is enough reason for treating proper names and personifications as a separate group.

**TONE CLASS 1(b)** - This group comprises monosyllabic high tone nouns in the language. It is these nouns which in first or pregenitive position have the following possibilities, (cf. p. 130 )

11 (a)  Ji Ci or: Ci's yam

(b)  Ji Ji Ci

**TONE CLASS 1 (c)** - This is a covert class found in such nouns as Agu or in certain prepositional phrases such as - Na ọhụ: in the mouth ụmụ: inside

**TONE CLASS 2 NOUNS** - This class includes all nouns with a low-high tone pattern as in:

```
0 - ke (rat)
+ S + S
[ - R + R ]
```

**TONE CLASS 3** - To this class belong all high-low tone nouns such nouns as:

```
0 ' chụ (tail)
+ S + S
+ R + R
```

except proper names which, like those of Tone Class 1(a), behave idiosyncratically. The contrast between the following pair will illustrate the point we are making:
12 (a) ñkwà ñzò (breadfruit tree by the way side)
(b) ñkwà ñzo (ñzò's breadfruit tree)

From (a) it is obvious that this class of items does not change their
tone pattern if and only if they are in both first and second positions,
or they are followed by a noun of Tone class 1 (a)

\[ ñdò ñhà (market position) \]

But in combination with other Tone Classes, they assume a non-inherent
tone thus:

13 (a) ñdò ≠ ñhà \( \rightarrow \) ñdò ñhà (Our market position)
(c1.3) (c1.2)

(b) úùù ≠ ìì \( \rightarrow \) úùù ìì (humus)
(c1.3) (c1.4)

(c) ñdhù ≠ jì \( \rightarrow \) ñdhù jì (The tail of yam)
(c1.3) (c1.1 (b))

(d) ñdò ≠ ñhà \( \rightarrow \) ñdò ñhà (Their market position)
(c1.3) (Pron. Cl.1(b))

(e) ñdhù ≠ ñhà \( \rightarrow \) ñdhù ñhà (Their tails)

13 (d) and (e) are exceptions to the rule because of the pronoun ñhà
in second position. With the exception of ñhà (which behaves like
a Tone class 2 noun in second position) all other pronouns maintain
their inherent tones if the preceding noun ends on a low tone.

TONE CLASS 4 - This class is made up of low-low tone nouns such as:

- ìì - ground, soil
- ììha - jaw
- ììhù - curse

They have the underlying phonological structure

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
+5 \\
-R
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
+5 \\
-R
\end{bmatrix}
\]

In first or pregenitival position, their final low tone becomes high;
in second or genitival position, they maintain their inherent tones.
TONE CLASSES 5 (a) & (b)

This class has been set up to account for Proper names or Personified nouns of Tone Class 1 (a) such as Anya, Onu, as well as those Tone Class 3 - such as Ibe, Ugbci, which form the 5 (b) class. As we pointed out earlier on (p. 137) these nouns do not behave like members of their classes probably because of the semantic contrasts which must be maintained between such pairs as:

14 (a) iši anya vs iši Anya

the head of the eye Anya's head

(b) iši onu " iši Onu

smelling mouth Onu's (body) smell

(c) nkwu uzo " nkwu Uzo

roadside palm tree Uzo's palm tree

Tone Class 5 (c)

To be considered as submembers of this idiosyncratic class are those nominals in Igbo which begin with the bilabial nasal /m/. These include:

* mai wine
* manu oil
* miri water
* muo spirit, ghost

Their number is very small, indeed; in second or genitival position, they behave exactly like personified (Proper names) of Tone Class 1 (a), as the following data show:

15 (a) ézigbo manu genuine oil, good oil
(b) otile mai the bottom of wine, the drap of wine
(c) iši miri the head stream
(d) ala miri river/sea bed

We decided, on phonological grounds, to group them separately from members of 5 (a) with which they share identical tonal behaviour in second position.
3.5 APPLICATION OF TONE-RULES TO IGBO NOMINAL CONSTRUCTION

The importance of tone in the syntax of the Igbo language has been repeatedly pointed out in this thesis as well as in all descriptions of the language. In this section, we shall examine, taking each tone class in turn, the series of phonological rules necessary for the generation of the tone patterns of what has been variously described as Genitival Structures or Igbo Completive Phrase. The evidence from Igbo syntax suggests that the same rules which generate the tone patterns of nouns in Genitival structures could also account for the tone patterns of most, if not all, Nominal constructions in the Igbo language. In particular, Relativized Clauses seem to be the underlying form of some of these structures, and it is possible to demonstrate that these structures derive from a certain category of Relative clauses via Relative Clause Reduction.

First of all, let us observe that there is nothing radically new in what we are about to say concerning Igbo genitival constructions. These have been fairly adequately treated by Green and Igwe (1963, p. 20-23). Their findings on this subject hold good for Ohuhu dialect as for most, if not all, dialects of Igbo. But the wider implications of this tonal phenomenon do not seem to have been fully realised in a Grammar such as Green and Igwe's, whose main concern was to observe the data and describe them.

8 Voorhoeve, Jan; A.E. Meusussen and K.F. De Blois refer to the same construction as "Igbo Completive Phrases" in an article "New proposals for the description of Igbo Completive Phrases" in Journal of West African Languages 6, p. 79-84.
Our purpose here is to go beyond the surface nominal constructions, relate them and their characteristic tone patterns to Relative clauses and associated patterns, and in a systematic way show that some Reduction rules have operated on certain Relative clauses to produce such nominal constructions as the Genitival ones being discussed here.

We take the Noun classes in turn, pointing out as we go along how they behave in first or pregenitival and then in second or genitival positions:

TONE CLASS 1 (b)

16

+R
+[S]

Ji

Ci'

+R
+[S]

Ji

I

Ci

(a)

+R

1

-S

2

+S

+R

2

(Ci's yam)

OR

(b)

Ji

Ci

+R

1

+S

+R

2

The detailed derivation of 16 (a) and (b) have been given on page and need not be repeated here. What the above output (16 (a) & (b)) predict is that all nouns of one syllable in second or genitival position will have these two possible surface structures. If a pronoun (monosyllabic) is substituted in second position for Ci, there is only the (b) possibility,(cf 3.3 p. 136 ).

Thus we find:

(c) Di ha but not *Dii ha

husband their:

(d) Ji ya but not *Jii ya

His yam
This fact therefore requires that Ton Simplification rule apply immediately after Downdrift rules have applied, if the second or genitival noun is a pronoun, in order to avoid the deviant output.

**TONE CLASS 1(a)** (high-high-nouns).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
+ R \\
\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
+ R \\
\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}
- S \\
- R \\
\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
+ R \\
\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
+ R \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
+ R \\
1 \\
\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
+ R \\
1 \\
\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
+ R \\
2 \\
\end{array} \rightarrow \text{(b)}
\]

(For the details of derivation, see 3.3 p.136)

As 17(b) shows, all Tone class 1(a) nouns in genitival position have a downstep on their final high tone; and maintain their inherent tone in pregenitival position. As a matter of fact, all classes of nouns maintain their inherent tones before high-high-tone nouns; a few more representative examples illustrate this fact.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
Oke \\
Uzo \\
\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}
\text{ghya} \\
\text{ahya} \\
\text{enyi} \\
\end{array} (\text{cl.2 & lb}) & & \begin{array}{c}
\text{ghya} \\
\text{ahya} \\
\text{enyi} \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\]

(For the details of derivation, see 3.3 p.136)

Extending these facts about Tone class 1(a) nouns to other structures in this dialect, one can predict that in all subject verb forms, main (Initiating and Non-initiating)\(^9\), all nouns in Subject relation to the verb maintain their inherent tone. Hence we have the following tonal structure in contrast with the dialect described by Green and Igwe:

---

\(^9\) These terms are due to Green and Igwe (1963) A Descriptive Grammar of Igbo.
18(a) Anya zara ezhi (our own dialect)
(b) Anya zara ezhi (Green and Igwe's dialect)

Anya swept the compound.

In our dialect, glides feature only in Subordinate, and never in Main, clauses.

TONE CLASS 2 - (Low-high)

As with Tone classes 1(a) and (b), Tone class 2 nouns maintain their inherent tones in first or pregenitival position, but acquire non-inherent tones in genitival position:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\
+S & +R & -S & -R \\
+S & +R & +S & +R \\
&S & +R & +R & +R \\
\end{array}
\]

(a) by Tone Simplification

1 1 2 2 " Downtone rules

1 1 2 2 " Tone Assimilation

(b) i\'shi oke (rat's head)

1 1 2 2

We do, however, have glides in proper names, which might be structurally seen as Independent or main clauses:

(CHUKUṢAMA) God/Heaven knows.

(Eluma)

Chinyere. This is probably due to the need to distinguish the name from the sentence

Chukwu m\' nw\'a ni\'le. God knows everything.
Chi\'\' ny\'ere n\'gpezi:- God gave the blessing.

It seems, therefore, that whenever a name is a shortened form of an indicative sentence, its subject NP (if it is a Tone class 1 item) will have a falling glide. Note also the name Cidi from Cidi: God exists.
20 With Tone Class 3 Nouns in First position

(a) \(\begin{array}{c}
p\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \\
+S & +S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
dhu \\
+S & -S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \ 2 \\
+S & -S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\)

Metathesis does not apply.

(b) \(\begin{array}{c}
p\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \\
+S & +S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
dhu \\
+S & -S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \ 2 \\
+S & -S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\)

by Tone Raising (Tn-R.4)

(c) \(\begin{array}{c}
p\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \\
+S & +S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
dhu \\
+S & -S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \ 2 \\
+S & -S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\)

by Downdrift Rules Tn-Rules5.

(a), (b), (c).

(d) \(\begin{array}{c}
p\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \\
+S & +S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
dhu \\
+S & -S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \\
+S & -S \\
+R & -R \\
\end{array}\)

by Tone Simplification, Tn-Rule 7.

1 2 3 3

" Assimilation, Tn-Rule 8.

(e) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \ 2 \\
dhu & oke \\
\ 1 \ 2 \\
\end{array}\)

(rat's tail)

If we increase this nominal structure by the addition of a Relative clause, the result will be 18(e) with its progressive downsteps represented by the following pitch values:

(f) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \\
dhu & oke & nu\u0103ru & mny\u0103 \\
\ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \\
\end{array}\)

the tail of a dead rat

1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5

21 With Tone Class 4 nouns in First position:

(a) \(\begin{array}{c}
p\ 1 \ 2 \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
LA \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\)

by Tn-Rule 2

(b) \(\begin{array}{c}
p\ 1 \ 2 \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
LA \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\)

by Tone Raising

(c) \(\begin{array}{c}
p\ 1 \ 2 \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
LA \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{c}
\ 1 \\
+R & -R \\
+R & +R \\
\end{array}\)

by Tone Simplification

3 1 4 2

" Downdrift rules

3 1 2 2

" Tone Assimilation.
21 (e)  aia  anyi - our land/country

The same set of rules that generate 20 (e) and 21 (e) also account
for the following: noun and demonstrative structure (Deictic Structure)
22 (a)  eza  # A  ---->  ez  e  this chief
(b)  madhu  # A  ---->  madhu  o  "person"

It will be recalled (3.4. p.137-38) that whenever two nouns of
Tone class 3 are in a Genitival construction, they maintain their
inherent tones provided that the genitival (second) noun is neither
personified nor is it a proper name. This rather important condition
accounts for the following structures:
23 (a)  ykhwa  # yzo  ---->  ykhwa  yzo
   breadfruit tree by the road side
(b)  eze  # Ahi  ---->  eze  ahi  (that chief)
(c)  madhu  # Ahi  ---->  madhu  ohi  (that person)

TONE CLASS 3 NOUNS WITH TONE CLASS 1(b) IN SECOND POSITION

Before we go on to the other Tone classes, let us look at the tone
pattern of class 3 nouns whenever they are followed by Monosyllabic
high-tone items. The following illustrate the tone patterns to be
discovered:
24 (a)  otu  # nwa  ---->  otu  nwa
   one child, an only child
(b)  uce  # Ci  ---->  uce  Ci
   God's will
(d)  ng'wug'wu  # shi  ---->  ng'wug'wu  shi
   a parcel of excreta
(d)  uce  # \{ m' \}  ---->  uce  # \{ m' \}  my opinion
(d)  uce  # \{ ya \}  ---->  uce  # \{ ya \}  his/her opinion
(d)  uce  # \{ ha \}  ---->  uce  # \{ ha \}  their opinion
In all these examples, except (d), the need for a downstep on the monosyllabic nouns in second position has entailed the development of a rising glide on the preceding nouns. As example 24 (d) shows, the development of this glide is blocked if the second element is a pronoun. (Cf with an identical phenomenon involving monosyllabic nouns in pre-genitival position. In 16(c-d) as here, the alternative structure
dii Chi (Chi's husband) is blocked before pronouns; hence *dii ya is unacceptable. It is a general rule of Igbo phonology that glides never occur before pronouns.

The question to be asked is this: Can the phonological rules cope with structures such as 24 (a), (b) and (c)? The answer is yes, provided that some essential addition is made. The addition (or condition) is this: In order to provide for Tone incorporation, two non-segmental low tones must be present in underlying form, otherwise there is no way of generating glide tones on Tone classes 3 and 4 nouns.

Now, let us consider the following underlying form for (a)-(c) nominal structures:

25(a) \[
\begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ R \\
\hline \\
+ R \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
- R \\
\hline \\
- R \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

The underlying form, 25(a) does not meet the structural description for any of the relevant rules such as Metathesis or Tone Raising rule. It does not therefore advance the generation of the desired tone pattern. But 25(b) with two non-segmental low tones does:

25(b) \[
\begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ R \\
\hline \\
+ R \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
- R \\
\hline \\
- R \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

by Tone Raising.

(c) \[
\begin{array}{c}
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ R \\
\hline \\
+ R \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
+ S \\
\hline \\
- R \\
\hline \\
- R \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

by Tone Incorporation

(d) \[
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\hline \\
4 \\
\hline \\
2 \\
\hline \\
5 \\
\hline \\
3 \\
\hline \\
\end{array}
\]

" Downdrift rules

1 4 2 3 " Tone Simplification
Now, for some speakers, there is an alternative form - urchi.

This is equally derivable from 25(c) via the following rules:

By Downdrift rule

Tone Simplification

Simplification

Whichever form one decides to generate, it will be necessary to stipulate two underlying non-segmental low tones. The addition or modification provides the bridge between Nominal structures such as Noun + noun and Noun + Relative clauses. In both types of structures, Tone classes 3 and 4 nouns (and any lexical item with a final low tone) behave identically:

Examples:

26(a) Ogu zuru chi...: Ogu who stole......
(b) Ibe gara nkpor...: Ibe who went to prison......
(c) Nkata gburu madhu...: Nkata the murderer......

27(a) 交易中心 uru vuru: the chicken which the fox carried away.
(b) Uwe Ibe tirile... the clothes which Ibe has worn.
(c) Ebe aia ruryu since the soil has been desecrated.
(d) Otho Diskhu mere... how Diskhu acted......

The two categories of Relative Clauses involved in the above data have been discussed in detail 2.4.8.

TONE CLASS 4 NOUNS (LOW - LOW NOUNS)

As is now obvious from the discussion of Tone Class 3 Nouns, Tone class 4 nouns retain their inherent tones in second position, but acquire a non-inherent tone pattern in first position if they are followed by
either Tone Class 2 or 4 nouns. With the other Tone classes, they maintain their inherent tones:

28(a) 'ala ɪŋkwu → 'ala ɪŋkwu (no change)

   palm tree plantation

(b) 'ala ji' → 'ala ji'

   (yam mound)

(c) 'ala ʊke → 'ala ʊke

   (rat land)

(d) 'ala Ngwuru → 'ala Ngwuru

   the land belonging to Ngwuru

The derivation of 28(b)-(d) is similar to that of 25(el). Only one example is given here to illustrate the point.

29(a) 'ala

   la

   N

   gbu

   ru

   by Tone Raising

   3 1 4 4 4 4 2 by Downdrift

29(b) 'ala

   la

   N

   gbu

   ru

   by Tone Raising

   3 1 4 4 4 4 2 " Tone Simplification

(c) → 'ala Ngwuru

   3 1 4 4 2

   In a Relative clause structure, 'ala will become 'ala or 'ala, hence two non-segmental low tones will be necessary in underlying form thus:

30(a) 'ala

   la

   gbu

   ru

   by Tone Raising

30(b) 'ala

   la

   gbu

   ru

   by Tone Incorporation

30(c) 'ala

   la

   gbu

   ru

   by Downdrift

30(d) 'ala

   la

   gbu

   ru

   by Tone Simplification
The nature of the object NP, X will determine whether a downstep is necessary or not. If it is a Tone Class 1(a) noun (high-high), then it will have a downstep on its final syllable, and this will entail a non-segmental low tone in underlying form. These details are reserved till the appropriate section 3.6 on Relativization.

**TONE CLASS 5(a) Personified/Proper names of Tone Classes 1(a)**

First Tone Class 1(a) (high-high).

These are very easy and straightforward to generate:

31(a) Nwa’ + Anya → Nwa’ Anya

(b) $\begin{pmatrix} +S \\ +R \end{pmatrix} \# \begin{pmatrix} -S \\ -R \end{pmatrix} \# \begin{pmatrix} +S \\ +R \end{pmatrix}$

1 4 2 2 by Downdrift

1 $\emptyset$ 2 2 " Tone Simplification

→ Nwa’ Anya : Anya’s son.

What is peculiar about these proper names or personified nouns is the fact that, although their underlying form meets the structural index for Metathesis rule, this rule, in fact, does not apply. The non-segmental low tone is present only to lower the following high tone and is deleted after the application of Downdrift rules. A few more examples include:

32(a) ìg’o * Dikhe → ìg’o Dikhe

Dikhe’s money

(b) akwu’ * Ègbe → akwu’ Ègbe

the nest of Ègbe (Hawk personified or proper name)

(c) ulo * Èg’u → ulo Èg’u

Èg’u’s house
32(d) ọrụ # ọkhe → ọrụ ọkhe

Ikhe's farm.

**TONE CLASS 5(b)**

Secondly, Tone Class 3 nouns - (high-low)

In second or genitival position, proper names of Tone Class 3 behave like Tone class 4 nouns in changing from high-low to low-low tone pattern.

The generation of their tone pattern is as follows:

33(a)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(b) +S +S -S +S +S -R +R -R by Tone Raising Rule

(c) +S +S +S -S +S -R +R -R by Metathesis Rule

(d) +S +S +S -S +S -R -R -R by P-Rule 9. Tone Reduction

(e) → ọla ọbe

Admittedly, our reduction rule is ad hoc; this is because it is intended to handle a rather peculiar situation which is not widespread in the language, but limited only to proper names of Tone class 3 in second or genitival position. What the rule does is delete the initial segmental high tone whenever it is followed in this type of structure by two consecutive low tones, and give segmental status to the non-segmental low tone. This rule has to be added if we are to get the right tone pattern (33(e)) in surface structure.

It will be observed that the Tone Raising rule applied here before the Metathesis rule. This is contrary to the order established by Williamson.

that Metathesis Rule must precede Tone-Raising Rule. If Metathesis had
applied before Tone Raising to 31(b), the output would have been

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
+R & +S & -R & -S & +S & -R \\
\end{array}
\]

This output does not meet the structure index for Tone Raising Rule, which
requires that the low tone to be raised must be flanked by low tones.

It seems to us that no hard and fast rule must be imposed on the order
of these Tone rules be they in syntax or phonology - until more facts
about the Igbo Languages are known. Apparently, the Ibadan workshop did
not consider proper names of Tone class 3 in second or genitival position
and in subordinate Relative clauses where the phonological rules being
discussed ought to apply also.

**TONE CLASS 5(c)**

This class comprises those lexical items in Igbo, generally of two
or three syllable, which begin with the bilabial nasal /m/. These include
mènu (oil) mìrì (water) mài (wine) et cetera.

Tonomally, these nouns behave exactly like members of 5(a):

34(a) èzhigbo mài → èzhigbo mài
genuine wine very good wine
(b) ̀ihu mò → èhu mò (a shrine)
(c) ̀abha mìrì → ̀abha mìrì
bottle water water bottle

They have been set up as a separate sub-class on the purely semantic
ground that 5(a) and (b) deal with proper names where this sub-class 5(c)
does not, moreover, phonologically members of 5 (c) form a set.

In the noun classification we have been discussing here in section
4.3, we have discussed only one-syllable or two-syllable nouns as if
poly-syllabic nouns are non-existent in Igbo. The fact is that the tone
changes being discussed here operate regularly with one-syllable and
two-syllable nouns. For example, downsteps do not generally occur with
trisyllabic nouns, except proper names, as in ọrụ Anyanwu (Anyanwu's farm).

They never occur at all with a word of more than three syllables unless such a polysyllabic word is also a proper name: Hence the following two examples:

35(a)  ibe ọshishi  →  ibe ọshishi

plank or piece of wood

(b) nwụnye ọshishi  →  nwụnye ọshishi

(c) " ọdọemene  →  " ọdọemene

the wife of Mr Oshishi

No exceptions have so far been discovered with proper names (or personified nouns): Once the structural description is met, (i.e. a sequence of high-high .... n where n ≥ 3) the expected downstep on the first syllable of the second or genitival noun will occur. This seems to us a sufficient justification for setting up a separate class for proper names and personifications.

With nouns of Tone classes 3 and 4 in first or pregenitival position (as well as in Relative structures) one of these two tone patterns in 36(b) will always occur according to the dialect or speaker regardless of the number of syllables of the item.

36(a)  \{ Àlụgulu \} ù  \{ ūzuru ohi ù\}  Rel.5 \text{Rel.5}  Úgụ

36(b)  \{ Àlụgulu \}  ūzuru ohi; Àlụgulu who stole

\{ ñkata \} " " ñkata " "

\{ ògù \} " " ògù " "

\{ ògù \}
The operation of this tone change does not depend on the number of syllables present, but on the nature of the adjacent syllables across word boundary.

In the following section (3.6), we shall examine the application of some of these Tone rules to Relative Clause formation in Igbo.

3.6 Relativization and the Tone Rules

In this section, we shall trace the transformational relationship existing between certain relative clauses in Igbo and certain NPs of the N + N structure which can be shown to represent a reduced form of these relativized clauses.

Examples of relative clause constructions in Igbo have been given in 2.4.2 and 2.4.5, although the basis for the distinction into Relatives A & B due to Green and Igwe (1963) was not made very explicit. Briefly, Relative A represents that category of relative clauses whose formation does not entail any NP movement rule, while Relative B stands for those whose formation entails the movement of an NP from an object position to a subject one. As we pointed out in 2.4.2, in Relative A, all verbs, regardless of their tone class, have their stem on a downstep, whereas in Relative B, only class 1 verbs have their stem on downstep, while those of classes 2 & 3 are on low tone. Were it not for this difference in the tonal behaviour of verbs in Relative B, it would have been unnecessary to distinguish between two categories of relative clauses in Igbo, since a simple NP movement rule would be sufficient to relate the two. But since tone forms an integral part of Igbo syntax, this tonal distinction between the same verbs in the two categories of relative clauses in this language must be recognised as a valid justification for such a distinction into Relatives A & B.

The following examples are given to illustrate this tonal difference.

Relative A

37(a) Ŭgu gara ahyə faa lọro faa (vb igung cl.3)

Ogu who went to market early returned early.
37(b)  Ekhe dhara elu  agbakhele  (vb  idha  cl.2)
Ekhe who had a fall has recovered.

(c)  Gnye  nnyru  mai  m  gâ  akwu  mygwâ  (vb  iâ  cl.1)
Whoever drank my wine will pay me the cost.

Relative B
38(a)  Ahya  Ogu  gâra  di  ythí  (vb  ige  cl.3)
The market which Ogu went is far.
(b)  Èlú  nkwé  Ekhe  dhara  ehi.  (vb  idha  cl.2)
The oil palm tree which Ekhe fell is much;
(c)  Nâi  o  nnyru  -  na  egbo  yâ  (vb  iñu  cl.1)
The wine which he drank is intoxicating him.

While all the verbs in Relative A are on downstep, only class 1 verbs
are on the same downstep in Relative B. Only Relative A clauses are
relevant in the reduction transformation which derives N  N from
relative clauses.

It is the similarity between certain Igbo relative clauses on the
one hand and certain NPs of the N  N structure on the other that we now
want to examine in detail. It seems that such NPs are derivable from
certain relative clauses via the Relative clause Reduction rule. As an
example, consider the following sentence - 39(a) and its transform 39(b)
39(a)  Nwanyi  râghe  mâny  n̂  n'odò  yâ
The woman who is selling oil is in her shade/stall.
(b)  Nwanyi  mâny  n̂  n'odò  yâ
The woman oil is in her stall; The woman oil dealer is
in her stall.

The above 39 derive from a deep structure such as is represented
in Fig. 1.
Let us assume that the rules of Relative deletion, Affix hopping and the phonological rule of vowel harmony have applied to Fig. 1 to produce 39 (c).

39 (c) Nwanyi re ghe many nọ na ọdọ ya.

In order to become the input to the Tone rules which produces the tone pattern of 39(a), the above 39(c) has to be decomposed into a set of
phonological features by Tn-Rules 1 and 2; hence 39(c) becomes 39(d)
with the boundary symbols introduced.

39(d) becomes 39(e) by Tone Raising Rule (Tn-Rule 4)

39(e) becomes 39(f) by Tone Incorporation.

39(f) becomes 39(g) by Downdrift Rules.

Observe here in 39(f) that, although the structural description for
Metathesis rule (Tn-Rule 3) application has been met at two places, viz: at
The rule must be blocked in Relative clause formation in order to ensure that downsteps are on the right syllables in surface structure, that is at reghe; and for its non-application before mánú, it has to be recalled that mánú belongs to Tone class 5(c) - words beginning with the bilabial nasal /m/, which in second/genitival position behave like proper names of Tone class 1 in having their downstep always on the first syllable.

Continuing the derivation, we derive 39(h) from 39(g) by the application of Tone Simplification iteratively until all remaining non-segmental low tones have been deleted.

\[
\begin{align*}
39(h) & \quad | \quad N\tilde{\nu}a & \quad \tilde{\nu} \quad m\tilde{\nu}y & \quad \tilde{n\tilde{\nu}} & \quad \tilde{r} \quad \tilde{g\tilde{h}e} & \quad \tilde{m\tilde{\nu}} & \quad \tilde{n\tilde{\nu}} & \quad \tilde{n\tilde{\nu}} \\
& \quad \# & \quad [+S] & \quad [+S] & \quad [-R] & \quad [-R] \quad [+S] & \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [-R] \\
& \quad \# & \quad [+S] & \quad [-R] & \quad [+S] & \quad [+R] \quad \# & \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad \# \quad [-R] \\
& \quad \# & \quad [+S] & \quad [-R] & \quad [+S] & \quad [+R] \quad \# & \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad [+S] \quad \# \quad \#
\end{align*}
\]

Deleting the boundary symbols, one gets 39(c)

(1) Nwáanyìì reghe mánú nọ ná ọdọ yà
1 4 4 2 3 3 4 4 7 7 5 8 6

By applying the morpheme structure rule 2(a) (2.1.p.37) to 39(i), we derive (j).

(j) Nwáanyìì reghe mánú nọ nọ ọdọ yà

And 39(j) may be orthographically written as (k), which is the same as 39(a).

(k) Nwányìì reghe mánú nọ ọdọ yà

Sentence 39(a) or (k) above is a well-formed Igbo relative clause. If we apply the optional rule of Relative Clause reduction to the above (39(k),
The effect of Relative Clause Reduction is to delete the verb of the Relativized sentence, leaving its object complement as a sort of attributive nominal, a fact which is better brought out in Fig. 2:

**Fig. 2**

It will be observed that the output of a Relative Clause Reduction rule is generally a Nominal structure in which the constituents have the
right tone relationship to each other. This fact provides a strong
syntactic evidence in support of our claim that certain Igbo N  N
structures are/produced by Relative Clause Reduction. More examples
of NP  NP structures deriving from Relative Clause Reduction include:

40(a) Onye na ære eghu  Ònye eghu
One who deals in goats  A goat dealer
(b) Onye na ëty øshi  Ònye øshi
One who tells lies  A liar
(c) Onye nwere ñdìdi  Ònye ñdìdi
One who has patience  A patient person
(d) Onye na onžu onžu  Ònye onžu
One who is stupid  A stupid person
(e) Onye øhì žürü øhì  Ònye øhì øhì
person that who stole  person of theft that
that person who stole  that thief
(f) (Médhù ôrù øshi  médhù-øshi
(a person who told a lie)  A liar)

However, it is not always the case that the output of Relative Clause
Reduction is a well-formed Igbo nominal structure of the N  N type.
As examples, consider the following Relative clauses and the corresponding
N  N structures which derive from them via a reduction rule:

12 The Demonstrative item øhì this/that, is always the last element
of a Nominal structure. So in order to derive Onye øhì øhì from
Onye øhì žürü øhì, in addition to the Relative Clause Reduction rule, one
has got to switch round the determiner element thus:
*Onye øhì øhì  Ònye øhì øhì
  1  2  3  →  1 32

In structures such as Onye øhì øhì, Onye øhì is taken as a unit of structure
which is being modified by øhì. The same is true of the Relative Clause
Nwokho øhì žürü øhì
Rel.S.  Rel.S
where the Rel. Clause modifies the Nom. structure Nwokho øhì: that man.
41(a) NwaNYI toro ogologo → NwaNYI ogologo
A woman who is tall: a tall woman

(b) \{Ìbe' na' akpa\} → Ìbe' ng'ang'a
\{Ìbe' na' akpa\}
who is proud proud Ìbe

(c) \{Ug'o na' agba\} → ìghala áhya
\{Ug'o na' agba\}
Ugo who does petty trading Ugo the petty trader.

In the examples 41(a)-(c) – that is Nominal Structures involving Nouns of Tone Class 3 and 4, in addition to Relative Clause Reduction, one has got to apply some other Tone adjustment transformations in order to get the right surface structure.

In 41(a), we suggest that a Glide Reduction rule is one such Tone change necessary: By this proposed rule,

NwaNYI # ìghologo → NwaNYI ogologo

Condition: The Genitival Noun must begin with a high tone - that is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E
\end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix}
E
\end{bmatrix} \# \begin{bmatrix}
+S
\quad +R
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
+S
\end{bmatrix}
\]

In 41(b), the Glide Reduction could be formulated thus:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E
\end{bmatrix} \quad \rightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix}
E
\end{bmatrix} \# \begin{bmatrix}
+S
\quad -R
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
+S
\quad -R
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Here it is important that the high tone resulting from a reduced glide be immediately followed by a sequence of two low tones, after which any other tone may follow.

Example: ìg'ó n'zogbu: Ugo the crusher or smasher

Apart from exceptions like those given and discussed under 41(a)-(c), Relative clause Reduction with Onye or such Tone classes as 1 and 2, and 5(c) nouns in pre-genitival or first position will generally result in an NP of (N ° N) structure with the appropriate tone pattern at the surface:

Examples:

42(a) Nwókho na ème ìghalama → Nwókho ìghalama
A man who plays tricks A tricky man
42(b) Ọnye na ọme afo ọma  $\rightarrow$ Ọnye afo ọma  
A person who has kind disposition a kind person

(c) Médhyugbá nwere obíebere  $\rightarrow$ Médhyugbá obíebere  
Médhyugbá who has a kind heart Kind-hearted Médhyugbá

(d) Nwókhọ na ọstu ụjọ  $\rightarrow$ Nwókhọ ụjọ  
A man who fears  A cowardly man

There is no doubt whatsoever that Relative Clause Reduction is a prolific source of the so called Igbo Genitival structures. The implication of this finding is that Igbo derives NPs of N # N structure through the same process which in Indo-European languages yields NP # Adjective structures, and this raises the question as to whether there is any lexical category Adjective in Igbo. This question is not pursued any further here since it is not within the scope of our investigation in this thesis.

Summary

In this chapter, it has been demonstrated that the phonological rules as given in Ibadan Research Notes (1971) coupled with the modifications which we have shown to be necessary will generate all the permissible tone patterns in Igbo nominal constructions, whether the structure is of the N1#N2 type, or of a complex nominal of an NP and a relative clause. What these phonological rules have been geared to capture is the tone changes due to some basic syntactic relation as well as to other features of Igbo phonology. Where there is no difference between base and surface forms, no rules have been given to state this, or rather, the rules may be seen to have applied vacuously.

For the purposes of stating the Tone rules, Igbo nominals have been classified into 8 sub-categories thus:

Class 1(a) - high-high - ánya 'eye'

1(b) high - Cí 'God'

2 low-high - oké 'rat'
Class 3 - high-low - ukhwa 'breadfruit'

4 - low-low - ala 'ground'

5(a) high-high - proper names

(b) high-high beginning with the syllabic
   nasal /m/ - ma' 'wine'

(c) high-low - proper names

The surface tone patterns of these classes of items have been illustrated in section 3.5.

From the illustrations given in 3.5, the following facts emerge:

(a) Relative clauses and generally structures which require one of their items to incorporate a non-segmental tone, must have two non-segmental low tones in underlying phonological form, unless the item in question is a monosyllable, in which case only one non-segmental low tone is necessary.

(b) Nouns of classes 5(a-c) in second position constitute an exception to the rule of Metathesis (Tn-rule 3) even though they meet the structural description for it. This rule has to be blocked to ensure that the downstep is on the right syllable. The same situation obtains with relative clauses whose verb-stem is on a downstep in relation to the immediately preceding high tone of the nominal.

(c) In order to derive Igbo NP's such as ala Ibe from ala Ibe, it is necessary to add an extra rule of Tone Reduction (Tn-Rule 9) which is similar to, though not the same thing as Tone Incorporation (Tn-Rule 7).

(d) There is ample syntactic evidence in support of the view that the rule of Relative clause reduction produces in Igbo N1 N2 structures where the same rule in Indo-European languages, especially English, yields NP's of N Adj NP structures.
(a) From the foregoing, the similarity between NPs of N1 N2 structure and relative clauses is very obvious, a fact which explains why the tone patterns of both types of nominal construction can be generated by the same set of Tone rules.
PART II

NOT IN HORIZONTAL COMPLEMENTATION IN 1980.
4.0.0. The Grammatical Model and its Modification

The grammatical model on which this thesis in general is based is the revised version of Aspects model known as Extended Standard Theory, (EST). But the nature of Igbo has dictated certain necessary modifications of this theory so as to allow it to describe the data concerning complementation. Since the examination of complementation is the topic of this thesis, the modifications are important and fundamental, because they constitute a slight departure from EST and a movement in the direction of Generative Semantics although we do not make any of the big claims associated with this brand of T.G. such as the claim that Deep structure is semantic. These departures from EST concern:

(i) The specification of Complementizers in deep structure, and the justification for it is given in 4.3.0.

(ii) The derivation of Pseudo-Cleft sentences from complement structures. The Pseudo-Cleft rule is a well-established transformational process, being a subset of the rules needed in Focus and Topicalisation. Its application to Igbo complement structure (cf p. 261 ff) yields an output with the structure of a relative clause in which the sentence-initial proform Ya is replaced by ǹwa. The substitution of ǹwa for Ya is structurally determined, as we argue on pages 244 ff. We describe this substitution as a kind of morphophonemic rule since it occurs at a superficial level of structure just before the application of relevant phonological rules.

1 See page 172
(ii) In Igbo, Ya' and hwe' have a distributional relation similar to that between some and any in English. Any accurate description of Igbo complementation must account for the fact that there is a transformational relationship between complement constructions and their Pseudo-Cleft counterparts. Such a derivation may belong more to the theory of Generative Semantics than to Established Standard Theory, but we feel that a strict adherence to EST is bound to obscure certain important facts of Igbo, namely that a transformational relationship can be very easily established between complement constructions and their Pseudo-Cleft counterparts. This syntactic relationship must not be given up in favour of a principle which argues against lexical insertions at any other than the deep structure, especially when one realises that such a principle has been arrived at solely from the facts of Indo-European linguistics.

(iii) Derivation of Relative from Complement Structures

It will be seen from the above account that the derivation of relative clauses from underlying complement structures is a syntactic fact of the language for which no apology is needed. This topic has been discussed on pages 261-69. When we invoke the hypothesis of 'Target Structures' on page 265 to try to account for this syntactic phenomenon, we do so because a comparable situation has been observed in Yoruba, a Kwa language just as Igbo is (cf. Awoyale 1974). The occurrence of this syntactic phenomenon in two typologically similar languages implies that it may not be random or isolated, nor should it be described as the analyst's artifact. Again this derivation may not be strictly in keeping with EST, but the facts of Igbo call for it.
(iv) **Nominalisation of Factive Complements**

Similarly, the nominalisation of a factive complement structure in Igbo yields a relative clause. Again, this is a syntactic which any accurate analysis must record, (cf pp. 267 ff.).

Such relative clauses are the Igbo equivalent of the English expression 'the fact that' Thus, when an Igbo speaker uses the following 1 (a)

1 (a) Ọhị Ogu zụrụ wụrere m
Theft (which) Ogu committed pained me.

he means:

The fact that Ogu stole pained me. Constructions such as the above are like other relative clauses in Igbo, but it is nevertheless necessary to show that they are transformationally related to factive complements in the language via the process of nominalisation.

Let us illustrate the process with the following 1 (b) as the derived sentence from which 1 (a) is itself derived:

1 (b) Na Ọgu zụrụ ọhị wụrere m
That Ogu stole theft pained me:

(The fact) that Ogu stole pained me.

Fig 1 is the structure underlying 1 (a) and (b).
From the above, we derive 1 (c) by obligatory Ya Deletion:

1 (c) Na Ogu žuru ohi wuteré m

1 (c) is represented by Fig. 2

From Fig. 2 we derive Fig. 3 by optional Relativization which entails in this case

(i) The object NP Movement Rule (cf. p. 267)
(ii) Comp Deletion, and
(iii) Tone Rules (phonological)
The application of the relevant Tone rules to the surface structure shown in the above Fig. 3 yields the well-formed 1(d) which is the same as 1(a).

1 (d) Ọchị Ọgu ụzurụ ụtete ị 

Theft which Ogu committed pained me: The fact that Ogu stole pained.

Note that what the foregoing process shows is that one type of complex NP can be derived from another type; in this case, a relative clause type has been derived from an underlying factive complement type. This process is consistent with the grammatical model being used here, in so far as transformational rules are allowed to alter structures, but not meaning.

It has been argued that, since that subject NP of sentences such as 1(a) can be any nominal item, they should not be transformationally related to complement structures. But it needs to be emphasised that relative clauses do not subcategorize verbs as complement constructions do. What is involved here is complement-taking verbs: if such a verb is factive, then its complement can be nominalised to yield a factive relative clause. Any accurate account of Igbo complementation must recognise this fact, even if it means some deviation from the principles of EST.

(v) Igbo Equivalents of English WH-Questions i.e.

Kedi Questions

We divide this category of questions into

(a) The Periphrastic Form (with Kedi in Surface form), or

(b) The Non-Periphrastic Form (without Kedi in Surface form.)

All Igbo Kedi Questions of the above (a) type contain relative clause as NP. This is a syntactic fact of the Igbo language, which can be very easily verified by examining the process of relativization in ịgị...
But we do not go into such an examination since relativization is not, strictly speaking, relevant in NP comple mentation. What we do on pages 345 ff is to relate the above two forms transformationally in a manner which is both systematic and consistent with the modified version of Aspects theory that we are following in this thesis. Observe that the rules central to relativization in Igbo are also involved here.

(vi) The Use of Dummy Symbols

The Aspects model also allows for the use of Dummy symbols, which serve as a trigger for relevant transformations, hence the use of Imp(ertive), Cond(itional) and Q in the PS-rules. But their use here has been limited to non-embedded structures. In embedded, structures, their use would be redundant since the specification of the relevant complementizers in deep structure, (cf 4.3) provides all the necessary and sufficient information about the type of structure embedded. The Dummy symbol, is generally deleted after it has triggered the relevant T-rule. In terms of the deep structure sentence modes which this analysis entails, the process may be seen as another modification of the Aspects theory.

(vii) Conditional Constructions

In 2.0. (pp 279 ff ) we treat Igbo conditional constructions with respect to Emotive predicate complementation. Of the two sub-categories of conditional constructions examined, only one sub-category - the Open Conditional Constructions - is involved here. It is only in this sub-category that the frozen expression A sii / Ys wur (if) can be optionally deleted; it is also here that the complementizer may be deleted provided that the tense constraint (cf 239 ) is satisfied.
Because of the semantic equivalence of the pairs of variants, it is necessary to postulate one underlying structure for all open conditional constructions in Igbo, and thus show that surface differences are due to the optional deletions in question; examples 53 (a-k pp.299-300) illustrate this fact.

On pages 314-16, we have argued a case for the transformational relationship which we establish between the following pairs of sentences which have paraphrase relationship:

2(a) Ya n'ụgburu ma m'onye mevọ onwe ya n'ọgha, o j'ụgburu onwe ya.

If a man should disgrace himself in public, it is very bad.

2(b) M'onye imevọ onwe ya n'ọgha j'ụgburu onwe ya: For a man to disgrace himself in public is very bad.

We uphold the constraint (suggested by Katz & Postal 1964) that transformations be meaning-preserving. For us, then, semantic equivalence is a necessary, though not sufficient condition for trying to establish a transformational relation between a pair of sentences. However, if we can establish a transformational relation between a pair of semantically equivalent sentences using one or more of the well-established transformational process, we feel justified to do so provided that our method is systematic and devoid of tinkering.

This is what we have done with regard to sentences like 2(a) & (b) (cf pp.304-9).

It has to be recalled that Igbo is an entirely different language from English, and that the aim of this research is not to produce an analysis which is a mere translation of English grammar into the Igbo language. The two languages differ, and so must their respective analyses. Expectedly, Igbo conditional constructions differ from their English counterparts, and their analysis must not be judged against the background of English.
The analysis presented here is the first attempt, to our knowledge, to apply the transformational generative model to the process of complex sentence formation in Igbo. It may, therefore, have all the shortcomings of a pioneer work. But even when alternative analyses are suggested in future, it is thought that ours is likely to remain a viable alternative. It is only by giving an accurate analysis of the linguistic data in the description of such non-Indo-European languages as Igbo that one can hope to make some contribution to linguistic theory.

Lyons (1970) summarises this transformational generative model thus: "As described in Aspects, the grammar of a language (and grammar must be taken in its widest sense) consists of three sets of rules: Syntactic, Semantic and Phonological. The syntactic rules generate the sentences of the language assigning to each both an underlying Phrase-Marker and a derived Phrase-Marker. The meaning of the sentence is derived (mainly, if not wholly) from its deep structure by means of semantic rules of interpretation; and the phonetic realisation of the sentence derived from its surface structure by means of Phonological rules" (p. 124).

1 For a full discussion of the inadequacies of the Aspects theory and proposals to remedy them, see Chomsky (1972) STUDIES ON SEMANTICS IN GENERATIVE GRAMMAR, Mouton, The Hague. The three essays that make up this book are concerned with the status of deep structure in a transformation grammar, as well as the problem of semantic interpretation. The essays reach the conclusion that a level of deep structure in the sense of the Aspects theory must be postulated, and that such aspects of meaning as cannot be determined from deep structure must be accounted for by late rules of semantic interpretation.
The syntactic component of this grammar is made up of three sets of rules, namely

(i) Base Rules
(ii) Lexical Insertion Rules and
(iii) Transformational (T-) Rules

4.0.1 Base Rules

The base rules together with the lexicon (to be discussed in 4.0.3) form the base of the grammar which is itself a sub-component of the syntactic component. There are two kinds of base rules:

(i) The Phrase structure (PS-) Rules and
(ii) Rules forming complex symbols (CS) on major lexical items.

PS-Rules or Branching Rules are of the form

\[ A \longrightarrow XBY \]

where \( X \) and \( Y \) may be null. If null, the rules are context-free (cf). If, however, they are non-null, the rules are context-sensitive (cs), and the above rule means: rewrite \( A \) as \( B \) whenever it is preceded by \( X \) and followed by \( Y \). All our PS-rules are of the context free type.

4.0.2 Rules Forming Complex Symbol on Major Lexical Categories

Rules forming complex symbols on major lexical categories \( N \), and \( \text{Det} \) (though \( \text{Det} \) is not a major lexical category) are not ordinary PS-rules but elementary T-rules of some sort, or 'local transformations (Chomsky 1965 pp. 98-99). There are two types of rule forming CS on major lexical categories. First, we have the context free rules which introduce inherent features such as

\[ [+ \text{Animate}], [+ \text{Count}] \text{ et cetera.} \]

The second kind of rules are context-sensitive, and they are of two types

(i) Strict sub-categorisation rules, and
(ii) Selectional restriction rules.

Strict-subcategorisation rules are of the form

\[ A \longrightarrow CS / Z - W \]
where "A stands for any symbol ready for re-writing through strict subcategorisation rules, such as N or V. CS stands for any partial matrix in the CS of a lexical entry of the form +A, +X-Z. (Seuren 1969 p.41) Z and W are complex, perhaps null strings acting as context restriction, and ZAW is some X category symbol that appears on the left in the rule \( X \rightarrow ZAW \) that introduces A.

In concrete terms, then, if A is a verb, then only the VP which in this case substitutes for X determines the strict subcategorisation of A. If, however, A is an N, then the strict subcategorisation of A is determined by frames dominated by NP.

Selectional Restriction rules "subcategorise a lexical category in terms of syntactic features that appear in specified positions in the sentence; they are of the form

\[ +A \rightarrow CS/\alpha/\beta \]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are variables ranging over a set of specified features. Thus, if +A is +V and \( \alpha \) is N, and \( \beta \) is also N, then the rules abbreviated by the above schemata state simply that each feature of the preceding and following noun is assigned to the verb and determines an appropriate selectional subclassification of it" (Chomsky 1965 p.97).

Selectional Restrictions have come under severe criticism from generative semanticists. For example, Mc Cawley (1970) argues that

(i) Selectional restrictions have no independent status in linguistics, whereas Chomsky (1965) employs them as a form of constraint on deep structure which, for generative semanticists, is not a clearly defined level as it is in Aspects;

(ii) Selectional restrictions are predictable from the meaning of the lexical items in question;

(iii) Many of the so-called selectional violations do, in fact, correspond to "possible messages" in possible worlds.

Mc Cawley, therefore, concludes, rightly it seems, that the deviance of sentences arising from the violation of the so-called selectional
restrictions is in fact a consequence of extra-linguistic factors in the context of situation. (McCawley 1970 pp 166-168). If selectional restrictions are seen as the semantic property of lexical items, then the need to analyse them as a syntactic constraint will cease to exist. It happens that certain property or features of lexical items may have certain syntactic reflexes. For example, the application of Equi-NP deletion happens to be sensitive to the specific property of certain verbs being forward-looking. This is a semantic property which has corresponding syntactic reflex. Kempson (1973) argues along similar lines in a University of London Ph.D. thesis (p.8).

4.0.3 The Lexicon

The Lexicon in a transformational grammar "is a set of lexical entries, each lexical entry being a pair (D,C), where D is a phonological distinctive feature Matrix 'spelling' a certain lexical feature, and C is a collection of specified syntactic features, a complex symbol" (Chomsky 1965 p.84). In addition to phonological and syntactic features, the lexicon will also contain the following information:

(a) features peculiar to a formative which can trigger a transformation or block it;

(b) relevant features for semantic interpretation

In our sample lexicon, we are primarily concerned with syntactic and semantic features.

4.0.4 Lexical Insertion Rules

The PS rules generate strings consisting of grammatical formatives (for example, past, C et cetera. To derive a terminal string from preterminal strings, a lexical insertion rule of the following kind is required:

"If Q is a complex symbol of a preterminal string, and (D,C) is a lexical entry, where C is not distinct from Q, then Q can be replaced by D" (Chomsky op. cit. p. 84).

This rule permits lexical items from the lexicon to be inserted into the
terminal string generated by the PS-and subcategorisation rules 'if
the markers in the lexicon for that item and the markers in the complex
symbol under the particular node do not conflict'. (Grinder and Elgin
1973 p.129). As Seuren (1969 p,38) observes "one notices that this
lexical rule is not so much a rule as a rule schema: it is a cover
formula for a large number of individual rules, each of which would apply
to a particular complex symbol and a particular lexical item." The formal
abstract structure generated by the base rules plus lexical inserti
constitute the deep structure of a sentence and may be represented in
the form of a tree.

4.0.5 Transformational Rules (T-rules)

T-rules, like PS-rules, are re-write rules. But they differ from
PS-rules in certain essential respects, for while "PS-rules operate on
single symbols without being able to take into account any other symbols
from which they have been previously re-written, (their derivational
history), T-rules operate on P-markers", (Seuren 1969 pp.29-30) generated
by the base rules and lexical insertion rules, changing these P-markers
into 'derived P-markers. A surface P-marker is generated when no more
transformations need apply to a P-marker. Stockwell et al (1973 p.14)
give an adequate account of T-rules, which is quoted in part below:

"Transformational (T-) rules change underlying P-markers into derived
P-markers. That is, the rules effect a restructuring of trees.

Each T-rule consists of

(i) a structure Index/Description (SI)/(SD)

(ii) " " change (SC)

(iii) " set of conditions.

The SD/Sl indicates the set of P-markers to which the transformation
can apply, hence it is stated in terms of PS-symbols (eg #, NP,
Det. etc), syntactic features (eg + def , + loc etc), morphemes,
and a variable X, which stands for an arbitrary string of symbols.
The SC indicates the restructuring which the T effects."
Transformational operations include, among other things, such elementary operation as Deletion, Substitution, Adjunction and Permutation. A Sister Adjunction rule, for example, can be formulated as follows:

\[
SD \quad X \ A \quad B \quad C \quad Y \\
1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5
\]

Process: Attach 2 as the right sister of 4

\[
SC \quad 1 \neq 3 \ 4 \ + \ 2 \ 5 \\
\text{Condition: (specified)}
\]

where X and & are variables, possibly null, XABCY, an underlying P-marker, and conditions, if any, specified.

The output or derived P-marker will be XBCAY. Thus, a transformation defines a relationship between a pair of successive P-markers by altering the underlying or subsequent P-marker in one way or another.

Optional/Obligatory Rules

Transformational rules are either optional or obligatory. Optional transformations may or may not apply even though the relevant structural description has been met, but an obligatory transformation must apply once its structural description has been met and relevant condition/conditions satisfied. An obligatory T-rule may, however, be blocked where the deep structure is ill-formed, or underlies no sentence of the language under description. This is done by means of deep structure constraints (cf 4.C.7). In this way, a transformation may act as a 'filter' to ensure well-formedness, (Chomsky 1965, p. 139).

4.0.6 Explanation of Linguistic Terms

(i) Derivation: Where Ps-rules are applied sequentially, a derivation results, as in

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow \ B \ C \\
B & \rightarrow \ \{ \ D \ \} \\
& \quad \{ \ E \ \} \\
C & \rightarrow \ F \ (G)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{PS-Rules} & \quad \quad \text{Derivation} \\
S & \quad \rightarrow \ B \ C \\
B & \quad \rightarrow \ \{ \ D \ \} \\
& \quad \{ \ E \ \} \\
C & \quad \rightarrow \ F \ (G) \\
& \quad \rightarrow \ D \ F \ G
\end{align*}
\]
(ii) **Tree** Any derivation is convertible into a tree as in

![Tree Diagram]

or

(iii) **Labelled Bracketing** as in $S[B[D][F,G]]$

Trees have been used here for illustrative purposes only, while labelled bracketing is used in the structural description of transformation.

(iv) **Dominance**: A string of symbols which is uniquely traceable to a single symbol $X$ is an $X$. Thus, in (ii) $F,G$ is a $C$, and $BC$ is a $S$.

In (ii), $D,F, & G$ are strings in an $S$, therefore they are said to be dominated by or under the dominance of $S$. Because there is no intermediate symbol between $S$ and $B, C$, $B, C$ are said to be immediately dominated by $S$.

Within structures of immediate dominance, there are four particular relations which have been used here. "$A$ is left (right) sister of $B$ if both $A$ and $B$ are immediately dominated by the same node and if $A$ is left (right) of $B$, there being no node in between them, viz:

(v) **Left Sister**

![Left Sister Diagram]

$A$ is left (right) daughter of $M$ if $M$ immediately dominates $A$ and there is no node dominated by $M$ to the left (right) of $A$. Viz

(vi) **Left Daughter**

![Left Daughter Diagram]

(Stockwell et al. 1973 p.13)

**4.0.7 Deep and Surface Structure Constraints**

Perlmutter (1971, p.XI) has shown that along with the T-rules, a grammar of a language can have an additional mechanism for getting rid
of ill-formed sentences. The mechanism is called deep structure (DS) and surface structure (SS) constraints. DS constraints are defined as "well-formedness conditions on generalised phrase markers that apply prior to the application of transformations and 'filter out' certain generalised phrase markers generated by the base as ill-formed". The need for such constraints, according to Perlmutter, is to be able to handle certain cases of ill-formed phrase markers generated by the base component, where such ill-formed P-markers cannot be characterised by the blocking of obligatory transformations. These constraints have not been found necessary in our analysis.

Surface structure constraints are also well-formedness conditions, not on deep structure, but on the output of the transformational sub-component which, together with the base, constitutes the syntactic component of grammar. We have had no need for these constraints.

4.0.8 Semantic and Phonological Components

These components are not central to the grammatical analysis presented in this thesis, consequently, we have not treated them in any detail. We do, however, devote chapter 3 to the discussion of tone and the tone rules that generate the various tone patterns required by different nominal construction types in the language. We do so because tone is the mediating factor between syntax and phonology, and certain tone rules in Igbo must refer to specific grammatical structures, though the tone rules themselves belong to Phonology, a fact which shows the inter-relationship of grammatical components.

The semantic component is interpretive in the sense that the rules of this component depend on the semantic specification of lexical items in the lexicon and the syntactic information provided by the underlying structure of a sentence, (cf Bierwisch 1969 & 1971).
The fact that this model distinguishes between two levels of deep structure and semantic representation marks the point of departure between interpretive syntax on the one hand and generative semantics on the other.

4.0.9 Interpretive Syntax Versus Generative Semantics

Generative semanticists (Mc Cauley, Lakoff and Postal and others) reject the now familiar dichotomy between deep structure and semantic representation; the question whether there is a level of deep structure distinct from semantic representation is for them a fundamental issue. For them, too, the mapping which relates surface structures to semantic representation is effected by one type of rule-derivational constraints and grammatical transformations, essentially as defined in Extended standard theory, form but a subcase of these derivational constraints.

One of the claims of generative semanticists is that semantic trees are not different in kind from syntactic trees.

It is, however, necessary to justify a level of syntactic deep structure from purely formal grounds without any recourse to semantics. For example, Chomsky (1969) has provided evidence that a syntactically defined concept of deep structure as in Aspects (1965) provides a natural account of the distinction between derived nominals such as destruction, belief, death etc. and the corresponding gerundive construction. He has shown that gerundives are sentential in origin, while derived nominals are not. Kampson (19732 pp.11-23) also argues for the maintenance of the distinction between syntax and semantics for the simple reason that "the constraints on syntactic structure are not co-extensive with those of semantics."

Within the generative semantics theory, lexical insertion can take place after the application of certain transformations, an aspect of the theory which makes it incompatible with Extended standard theory, for the

2 A University of London Ph.D thesis which has been published by Cambridge University Press under the title Presupposition and the Delimitation of Semantics.
latter requires that all lexical insertion be pre-transformational.
As an example of pre-lexical transformation, Mc Cawley (1971) cites the rule of Predicates - Raising which creates complex predicates from elementary ones. Such a rule, it is claimed, produces kill from the following elementary predicates (cause (become (not alive))) and remind from (strike (similar)). It is the case that this rule does not produce in English derived verbs which are bimorphemic on inspection, although the output of the same rule is bimorphemic in some languages such as Japanese.

In Igbo, as in Japanese, there is a host of compound verbs which are clearly bimorphemic in structure, but whether they are the product of morphological lexical compounding or of Predicate-Raising remains an open question. However, there is yet a second category of compound verbs analysable as V-stem + suffix, an analysis which argues in favour of morphological/affixal derivation. Since the analysis of this category of compound verbs must be related to the analysis of V-stem + V-stem compound verbs in the same language, it seems that the over-all consideration of Igbo grammar would favour a derivational, morphological approach rather than the transformational analysis via Predicate-Raising.

The following are examples of the two categories of Igbo compound verbs:

(i) Compounds of two verb stems
1(a) idhāba from idha to fall
   'to fall into' and igha to enter, get into
(b) ighāda from igha, hold, grab
   'to throw as in wrestle' and idha, to fall

   Georgetown Series on Language and Linguistics, end
Throughout this thesis, we have maintained the existence of a deep level of analysis distinct from semantic representation, a level where categorial relation and order of constituents are given along with information necessary for semantic interpretation which cannot be predicted from other elements of structure nor accounted for by late rules of semantics, as suggested by Chomsky (1972). One such item is the complementizer, the deep structure specification of which is justified in 4.3. Thus, the data have always shaped the analysis, and no attempt has been made at any stage to force Igbo language data into a descriptive mould that may not necessarily fit it.

4.1.0 Categories of Igbo NP Complements: The Na Indicative Complements

The following Igbo sentences contain instances of structures traditionally described as Noun clauses and phrases, collectively referred to
here as Noun Phrase (NP) Sentential Complements:

3(a) Ogu gwara anyi (sí) na ule gawu eci

Ogu told us saying that exam will be tomorrow:
Ogu informed us that the examination would take place tomorrow.

(b) Njoku kwuru (sí) na ñhyà aqachaala

Njoku said (saying) that market go complete have:
Njoku said that people had all gone to market.

(c) Ëmumghoto tiri mkpu ñ na ala arùgala

The young unmarried girls shouted shout saying that land has defiled.
The young unmarried girls shouted out that the land had been desecrated.

(d) Ózhi ñma kwuru (sí) na iñwunanyà di mkpà n'etiti ëmùmádhy

Gospel says (saying) that love is important in midst of people:
The gospel says that love is necessary among men.

(e) Nà ñshì jì ëgbù mádhù òwà ñyà.

That poison does kill person accustoms eyes.
That poison kills is obvious.

(f) Ëyìrì nà ñyà ñwùlà gà akwà ñmà n'Orùkùwù

One scheduled that person who it be will clean road on Orukwu market:
It is scheduled that everybody will clean the roads on Orukwu market day.

(g) Ëkwàra m ñkhù sì ñ ñhyà ìñyù gù dylà eci.

Promised I promise saying will I drink finish medicine this reach
tomorrow: I promised to finish drinking this medicine by tomorrow.

(h) Òwà ñwù ñyà nà ñwù ñyà ñyì

It accustom you(pl.) eyes that he is person of theft:
It is obvious to you that he is a thief.

(i) Ênù ma nù ñwù ñyì ënì ñwù ñyì?

You know that woman that died?
Do you know that that woman died?
(j) Ana m ele anya nà o qa abya

Am I looking eye that he will come: I am expecting that he will come.

(k) Qwu ñwe inhore nà i na emo leethi ṣbɔci níila

It is thing of shame that you do make late day all:
It is shameful that you always come late.

(l) Qwutere m’ nà qwu onye aparị

It pains me that he is person of stupidity:
It pains me that he is an idiot.

(m) Isofuta na q wuhii onye azhi-okwu nà agbawa m’ obi

Finding out that he is not person of truth does break me heart:
Discovering that he is not an honest/truthful person causes me heart-break.

In the foregoing examples, the NP sentential complements have been underlined. In all these examples, nà is the complementiser which may be optionally preceded by si’, hence the above sub-heading - Na NP Complements. Although si’ is an optional element in these examples, it can be used alone as the complementiser in place of nà. This is what happens in colloquial usage in this Eznihitte dialect, as the following 4 show:

4(a) Ekwuru ṣi’ si’ unu wụ nde mmegbu mādhụ

Said they saying you people are the ones of cheating people:
They said that you people are a dishonest lot.

(b) O tiri si’ aya’5 anwuona4

He shouted saying he has died: He shouted that he is dead.

(c) O cara si’ em’ noro ya

He thought that I was there

4 (4b) is a cry of indignation by a person who feels humiliated and helpless. 'Anwuona’ simply means 'I am dead or finished; if I were a man, I would not tolerate the situation.

5 The form of pronouns used in examples 4 & 5 is the emphatic form which is generally associated with reported speech.
He heard that you are among those who betrayed him.
He heard that you were among those who betrayed him.

Compare the foregoing with the following examples which show varying degrees of colloquiality:

5(a) kwuru si nà ayà ga abya (very formal)
(b) __________ na ____________ (less "  ")
(c) __________ si' ____________ (colloquial)
(d) __________ sa _____________ (  "  )

He said that he would come

The form of the pronoun in 5(d) is due to regressive assimilation which has been fully discussed in 2.2.1.

It is because si' is substitutable for nà in the everyday usage of Ezinikhitte speakers that a complementiser is rarely, if ever, optional in NP-complements in the dialect. The behaviour of the complementiser si' in Na complements is typical of its behaviour in all other categories of NP-complements in the dialect: it can be substituted for any complementizers of dialect. This unique characteristic of si' will be examined in 4.1.5.

In this category of complements, there is no restriction whatsoever on the tense that can occur in the verb of the Na clause. This is because the matrix (main clause) verbs involved here do not impose any tense constraints on the verb of the embedded complement sentence. Such matrix verbs include verbs of saying, hearing, thinking, feeling, fantasizing and declaring generally. These are verbs which state some facts about the speaker's knowledge of the world around him or make some claim about the truth or falsity of the propositional content of their complements. Na complements have almost the status of independent sentences in the sense they make assertions and claims just like independent sentences without any restrictions on the form of their verb. It is for these reasons that Na complements are described throughout this thesis as Indicative or Declarative complements.
The matrix verbs involved in indicative complementation have embedded under them sentences in which pronoun subjects are on high-tones if they are monosyllabic, or high-low tones, if they are disyllabic. The following examples illustrate this fact:

6(a) Ibe mewere nna ya anya na m wu onye na ekpe udho
6(b) ______________________________________________________
6(c) ______________________________________________________
6(d) ______________________________________________________
6(e) ______________________________________________________
6(f) ______________________________________________________
6(g) ______________________________________________________

Ibe made accustom father his eyes that I/you/he/she/etc be person who makes peace:

Ibe convinced his father that I am a person who makes peace.

yor are _____________________
he/she is ____________________
we are people who make peace
you are people who make peace
they (inclusive) are people who make peace
they (non-inclusive) are people who make peace.

We shall contrast the tone patterns of these pronoun subjects in this complement type with their tone patterns in the next category of complements - the Interrogative (Yes/No Question) complements.

6 Two forms of third person plural pronoun have been used here to distinguish between the inclusive and non-inclusive meaning whenever a third person subject is present in the matrix (main) sentence. Thus, we have the inclusive form nh/ha and the non-inclusive form umu, as in the following sentences:

(a) Ogu si nh gawa ngwanga
Ogu said that they (including Ogu) should set out quickly.

(b) Ogu si umu gawa ngwanga
Ogu said that they (excluding Ogu) should set out quickly.
4.1.1 Ma2 Interrogative (Embedded Yes/No Question) Complements

Now, let us examine the following examples with special attention to the tone patterns of the pronoun subjects of ma2 complements and the semantic properties of the matrix (main clause) predicates:

7(a) Ogu ma nwe re eg' o ma mu enwéhii
(b) i
(c) o
(d) anyi
(e) unu
(f) ha
(g) umú

Ogu knows whether I have money or whether I have not:

Ogu knows whether or not I have money.

you

he/she have money

we

you(pl)

they

8(a) Eceghekwe m na unu ga ejhë

Think am still I whether you will go: I am still wondering whether you will go.

(b) Du y a ma Ogu nwúry anwú n'ezhi-okwu

Ask him whether Ogu died in truth:

Ask him whether Ogu actually died.

(c) Gwa anyi ma na gi wú onye nshi.

Tell us whether father your is person of poison:

Tell us whether your father is a poison maker.

In contrast to the examples of 6, all pronoun subjects in this complement type are on low tones. (We have deviated from our tone-marking
convention - which marks only the first of a sequence of tones on the same pitch - in order to emphasize the low-tone patterns of pronoun subjects in ma interrogative complements). Again, in contrast with the matrix verbs in Na complements, all of which admit an optional si morpheme, only a handful of ma interrogative complements do permit si to precede ma. The verbs which may take a preceding si before ma include:

- iju to ask
- ihe to think
- ikpe to assume, wonder

Thus, we have the grammatical and acceptable sentences of 8(d-f) with or without si.

8(d) Ajugha m (si) ma o n'ura

Asking am I whether he is in sleep: I am asking whether he is asleep.

(e) Ecn ci (si) ma a nyi wu ndi yjo

Think they whether we are the ones of fear. They are wondering whether we are cowards.

(f) Ikpo (si) m m wu ebiri g?

You think whether I am age mate of you? Are you wondering whether I am your age?

It is possible that there may be one or two more interrogative matrix verbs admitting of an optional si, nevertheless, it is true to say that the great majority of them do not.

Igbo Equivalents of Embedded English wh- Questions

The following 9(a)-(f) contain the Igbo equivalents of English wh- questions, they are embedded as NP and the relevant clauses have been underlined. They are not NP complements, but relative clauses, as we shall show in chapter 7 where this clause type is examined in detail.
9(a) Ibe gwara anyi \( \{ \text{og'ë} \} \) \( \text{og'ë abya} \) \( \text{mgbu} \)

Ibe told us (the time) when he will come.

(b) Anyi kweshiri im\(\^\)a ebe o shi

We ought to know place he come from:

We ought to know from where he has come.

(c) Ked\(\^\)u \(\text{Iwue} \) Ogu kwuru?

What (is) thing Ogu said: What is it that Ogu said?

What did Ogu say?

(d) Gwa\(\wedge\) \(\text{Iwue} \) oke riri

Tell me thing rat ate: Tell me what the rat ate.

(e) Ogu \(\text{Iwue} \) \(\text{nwokho juchara m otho i} \)

Inlaw my male asked complete me manner you are:

My father-in-law asked me how you are.

(f) I\(\wedge\) \(\text{m\(\wedge\)a} \) \(\text{uj\(\wedge\)a jiri m\(\wedge\)a ya} \) \(\text{otho} \)

You know way/manner I used do it: You know how I did it.

All that we want to point out at this stage is that the above underlined surface structures are relative clauses with nominal heads, rather than NP complements which they may appear on the surface.

4.1.2 K\(\wedge\)a/m\(\wedge\)a Subjunctive Complements

This category of NP complements is characterised by the following underlined constructions:

10(a) Acorc m \(\{\text{si}\} \) k\(\wedge\) \(\text{anyi qa} \) n'og'ë

Want I that we go in time:

I want us to be in time.

(b) \(\text{Ogu} \) coro \(\{\text{si}\} \) ka ya rie \(\text{hwe faa thaa} \)

Ogu wants that he (Ogu) eat thing early today:

Ogu wants to have his meals early today.
10(d) Ḥkhwo m  (ṣi) m' anyi  ṕwu ya bya

Have in mind I saying that we see him (and) come.
I have come so that we may see him.

(e) O nyere m  miri  (ṣi) ke m' ṕwu

He gave me water that I drink.
He gave me water to drink.

(f) O mere  ngwaṅwa  (ṣi)  ma  rie  ṕwu

He did quick-quick saying that he eat thing.
He hurried up so that he (somebody else) might eat.

(g) Anyi gawara n'ụtụtụ  si  ma  anyi  gadụ

We set out in the morning saying that we reach
in bend of day of night: We set out in the morning so as to
reach in the evening.

(h) Byakwá faa  ma  I' nyere  m  aka

Come do early that you give me hand:
Do come early so as to give me a hand.

(i) Gushie akwukwo  gi  ikhe  ma  i' pasia

Read book your hard that you pass:
Study hard so as to pass (your exams).

11(a) 'قة  sǐ  ka'm  ṕwu  qụ  thāa?

(b) __________________________ i________________________

(c) __________________________ o________________________

(d) __________________________ anyi_______________________

(e) __________________________ ụnu______________________

(f) __________________________  Ḥa_______________________
Do you wish that I work today?

_______________________ you(eg) _____________
_______________________ ha/she _____________
_______________________ we _____________
_______________________ you (pl) _____________
_______________________ they _____________

Observe that as with Na complements, sị is an optional element with ka or ma in all the examples of 10, except (h) and (i) where the matrix (main clause) verbs are in the imperative mood. As a general rule, the optional sị is excluded from this type of construction whenever the matrix verb is in the imperative. Secondly, as in Na complements sị can be substituted for either ka or ma. Here again, the same principle of stylistic variation can be invoked to account for the following:

12(a) Akọrọ m sị kà anyị lawa (very formal)
(b) " kà (formal)
(c) " \{ sị \} (colloquial)

Want I that we start to go: I want us to start going.

Thirdly, ka and ma can be used interchangeably, except in 10 (a-c) where the matrix verbs are

i科 - to want/wish, and
ikhwọ - to intend, have regard for, have in mind.

In the dialect being described here, i科 is marked for kà, while ikhwọ takes ma, although in more complex constructions, such as 10(d-i) they are in free variation. The reason for their being in free variation in Igbo purpose construction will become obvious when the subjunctive complementation is described in detail in chapter 8.

Note also the tone pattern of pronoun subjects in 11(a-f): all pronoun subjects in this complement type are on high-tones in contrast to their low-tones in interrogative complements. Next, consider the semantic
properties of the matrix verbs involved in ka/ma complementation, for
examples, verbs such as icq and ikhwo. These are verbs which express
desires and wishes, the realisation of which is an open question; consequently,
such verbs do not make any assertions like the Na-complement verbs; they do
not ask for information, nor express doubt like ma-interrogative comple­
ment verbs. Because of these semantic properties, there is a dependency
relationship between such verbs and the verbs of their sentential complements
in the sense that the time expressed by the complement verbs can never be
anything but future in relation to that of the main clause verb. Such matrix
verbs are said to be verbs of forward-looking aspect, where the term, forward-
looking aspect, is used to describe inter-clause time relationship. For
example, in the following 13, the form and time meaning of the ka-complement
verb do not vary, although those of the matrix verb do vary:

13.(a) Ana'm akwado ka anyi ga(a) ahya
Am I preparing so that we go market:
I am preparing for us to go to market.
(b) Age m akwado ka anyi ga(a) ahya.
Shall I prepare that we go market: I shall prepare
for us to go to market.
(c) Anaara m akwado ka anyi ga(a) ahya
(d) Akwadole m " " "
I was preparing for us to go to market
I have prepared

Whatever the time expressed by the main clause verb, the form of the verb
of the ka complement is invariable, and its time is always determined from
that of the main clause. We describe this complement type as the subjunctive,
where subjunctive (+ subjunctive) means
- indicative
- interrogative
- imperative
We have already observed that the matrix verbs in 10(a-d) - *ico* and *ikhwa* are complementizable predicates, that is, predicates which can take NP-sentential complements. But in the rest of the data we have such verbs as

*inye*  (10 e)           to give
*ima*  (10 f)           to do
*iga*  (10 g)           to go
*ibya*  (10 h)           to come
*ígushikhe*  (10 i)           to study hard

The verbs are not complement-taking predicates in Igbo, yet in these examples, they seem to function as complementizable predicates. This calls for some explanation of the structure underlying such sentences as 10(e-i) because their superficial form hides their true nature. Our investigation shows that the verbs to which the ka\(\mathfrak{m}a\) clauses are complements in these examples have been optionally deleted. What these verbs are, their semantic and syntactic properties and their optionality in surface structures are discussed in 9.1.0.

4.1.3 Sí Imperative Complements

This is the last and smallest (in scope) category of NP-complements in Igbo, and needs only a brief discussion at this stage. Consider the following as examples of *sí* complements:

14(a) *ó kàra sí anyį lèwà*

He said saying we start go: He said that we should go away.

(b) *Ogu tikìshìrì sí yìmìákà mèchìe qon*

Ogu shouted out saying children close mouth: Ogu shouted at the children to stop talking.

(c) *Fàda sìrí anyį lèwà*

The priest said we go away: The priest ordered us to go away.

(d) *ó sìrí anyį rìchee ìwà byà ìlàwàdì*

He told us eat finish thing come start going: He told us to finish eating before going.
14(e) O kwuru unu faa si unu ṣẹtọ
He said to you early saying you hide. He told you in time to hide.

14(a-e) highlight the specialised function of si as the exclusive marker of embedded imperative sentences. In this function, si is mutually exclusive with Na, ka/me and ma interrogative, and the deviance of the following 15(a) is due to a violation of the above constraint.

15(a) O tiwere si na anyi lawa
(b) a si anyi lawa
He shouted that we should go.

Apart from the violation of the above constraint, it should also be pointed out that Igbo verbs have their imperative division or mood which is formally distinct thus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affirmative</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16(a) Ṣi'e : eat (vb cl.1)</td>
<td>Ọrile : Don't eat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Fu'o : go out (&quot; &quot; 2)</td>
<td>Afụla : Don't go out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Byaa : come (&quot; &quot; 3)</td>
<td>Abyala : Don't come</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These imperative forms can be introduced only by the complementizer si, the status of which is soon to be examined in the following section 4.2.0.

That it is possible in Igbo to embed an imperative sentence in the structure of an NP is due to the nature of the complementizer itself.

4.1.4 Igbo Complementizer morphemes

From the data so far examined, and others which we shall see in the course of this analysis, the following complementizers are functional in Igbo Noun phrase sentential complementation, they are set out in the following table for ease of reference:
From the above table, it will be observed that Igbo complementizers correspond each to a sentence type-mood. The table also highlights the exclusive function of  \( si' \) as the sole complementizer to imperative complements, as well as its optional presence before the others.

The term, mood, has been used here in its traditional sense. For example, the imperative mood belongs to commands and orders, the interrogative mood is associated with questions, the indicative/declarative mood with declarative sentences. It thus follows that the imperative and interrogative moods belong to non-declarative sentences, while the indicative mood belongs to declarative sentences. The subjunctive mood is not very easy to characterise, though it is definitely associated with non-declarative sentences, and in this regard belongs to the same class as the imperative and interrogative moods. But whereas the imperative and interrogative moods, like the indicative, are associated with independent and dependent sentences, the subjunctive mood seems to be associated only with dependent (embedded) sentences. The following are illustrative examples:
The Interrogative Mood

17(a) Ogu o no n'ulo? (Independent Clause)

Ogu he is at home?: Is Ogu at home?

(b) Acor m ima ma Ogu (o)no n'ulo (Dependent Clause)

Want I to know if Ogu he is at home:

I want to know whether (or not) Ogu is at home.

The Imperative Mood

18(a) Unu fuo tupu my ashaa anya (Independent Clause)

You go out before I open eyes: You go out before I open my eyes.

(b) Esi unu fuo tupu ya ashaa anya (Dependent Clause)

He says you go out before he opens eyes: He orders you to go out before he opens his eyes.

The Indicative Mood

19(a) Ala oru nnas ha fughara (Independent Clause)

Land of farm father their coming out for them trouble: Their father's land is causing some misunderstanding among them.

(b) Unya owula ma na ala oru nnas ha fughara he yka.

Everybody knows that their father's land is causing some misunderstanding among them.

It will be observed from these examples that Noun phrase complementation is a structure-preserving process in the sense that the process of embedding independent sentences as NP-complements does not alter their form, except for such pronoun changes as from mu 'I' in 18(a) to ya 'he' in 18(b). Apart from this morpheme change which becomes necessary in indirect (as opposed to direct) speech, word-order and the diagnostic tone pattern of the sentence

7 This term was originally used by Emonds (1972) in a different sense from its use here. Emonds "structure-preserving constraint essentially specifies that constituents cannot be moved into certain structural configurations". See Stanley Peters(ed)(1972) Goals of Linguistic Theory, page 22.
types remain the same in both the independent and dependent clauses.

But with the subjunctive mood, the situation is different. Unlike the above three types of mood, the subjunctive mood does not exist, it seems, independent of the purpose and allied constructions with which it is closely associated. Admittedly, Igbo abounds in the following type of constructions:

20(a)  Kal ci foo

Wish day break: Good night

(b) Ka esesia

Wish one finish: Goodbye, see you later.

(c) Ka anyi kweere yu

Wish we greet him/her: Let us greet him/her.

One might argue that 20(a-c) are independent clauses; if they are, then the presence of the complementizer ka in such independent clauses is odd. It is, at least, an isolated and, therefore, a curious situation that a subordinating conjunction should be sentence-initial. No other subordinating conjunction in the language behaves this way. But if these examples and all other Igbo constructions like them are analysed as subordinate clauses (an approach which we favour) then the presence of ka as the marker of the subjunctive mood along with the tone pattern of the ka clause can be accounted for on syntactic (transformational) grounds. For example, it could be argued that sentences such as 20(a-c) represent a reduced form of more complex underlying structures (Fig 1) whose superordinate (main) clause has been deleted thus:

```
  S
 / \  
NP  VP
   /   
  Verb NP
     /       [+ optative]
    X  Verb Comp S

SC : ∅ ∅ 3 4
Condition: 2 must be an optative verb
```

Fig 1.
This analysis is in keeping with the transformational rule of optative Predicate deletion which we give in 8.1.0 p. 412: Robin Lakoff (1968) argues for a similar analysis when she tries to reconcile the so-called independent subjunctive constructions in Latin, which she shows to be clauses dependent on some abstract verbs of wish. She extends the same analysis to English purpose constructions. The presence of the ka conjunction in the above examples can be accounted for as the reflex of the subjunctive mood of a clause embedded as the direct object of a verb of wish. This analysis thus enables one to explain why ka is the formal distinction in Igbo between a command and a wish or between an order and a polite request as in 21(a) and (b).

21(a) Anyi jhee ahyā: a command

We go market: We should go to market; Let's go to market.

(b) Ka anyi jhee ahyā (a polite request)

Wish we go market: Let us go to market;

Could we go to market

The former has a greater imperative force than the latter.

From the foregoing account, we maintain the view that the subjunctive mood, unlike the others - the indicative, the interrogative and the imperative, is associated only with dependent clauses, in other words, it has a different status from these others.

4.1.5 The Si Complementizer - its status

Consider the function of si in the following examples:

22(a) Jēsō kwuru si: "Onye coro inwe ndhu əbeóba ga ahěfy ŋwe

Jesus talked said: person who wants to have life everlasting will leave

niile o mwere əm

things all he has (and) follow me.

Jesus talked and said "whoever wants eternal life will abandon all

his wealth and follow me".
199

22(b) *Anyị na ọma iwu si: "Umu-nwaanyị ga nae aze*

We are making law saying: Women will keep sweeping

úlo ọkpare na sọtae ọnile

house of prayers on Saturdays all.

We are making it a law that women shall keep sweeping the church every Saturday.

(c) O kara si: "Unu ga ebeke Bishoọpu"

He talked saying "You go place of Bishop:

" " " "You, people, go to the bishop's house"

In 22(a-c) the actual words of the speaker are in quotes. In these examples, si is consistently the second of two verbs of saying in a serial construction. If we wish to make the quotations reportitive, all we need do is change the relevant personal pronouns into the appropriate person, and the imperative mood of the verb in the now reported speech remains unaffected.

For example, 22(a) & (c) will become 22(d) & (e) respectively:

22(d) Jese kwuru si onye cọro inna ndị ọbụ ga ahafụ ọnile o nwere sowe ya;

Jesus said that whoever wants eternal life will abandon all his wealth and follow him.

(e) O kara si ha gaa ebeke bishoọpu

He said that they should go to the bishop's house.

The use of any other complementizer, say, na, will render these examples deviant and unacceptable:

(f) *O kara (si) na Ọ ha gaa ebeke bishoọpu*

The imperative form of the verb - gaa, for example - can co-occur only with the complementizer si.

Now, consider the following:

23(a) Juọ ya si ọ ga abya

(b) soọ

(c) mọ : Ask him whether he will come

8 This form so is due to regressive assimilation.
Ask not him whether he will come: Don't ask him if he will come.

In 20(a-f) the main clause verb iju - is in the imperative mood, and so is si. Similarly, in 22(a-e), si is the second of two verbs of saying in a serial construction, and can thus be considered to be in the Narrative form.

Recall that in an Igbo serial construction, only the first verb of this series bears the tense/aspect marker, leaving the following verbs to copy their tense from the preceding one. Because the verb si always comes second in the type of sentences being considered here, it will always be suffixless for the foregoing reason, and consequently, its mood must be determined in context thus:

24(a) Ọ̀ṣi ya bya' (si Indicative)

He is saying he come: He is asking him to come.

(b) Si ya bya' (si Imperative)

Say he come: Tell him to come.

(c) Ọ̀ tiwere si m gbata (si Narrative in a serial construction)

He shout started said I run up:

He started shouting for ma to run up (to him).

Observe that in the sentences being considered here, only the verbs of saying are involved. Secondly, the verb isi is invariably the second of two such verbs, never the first. It is either used alone as in 24(d), or in second or third position according to the number of the preceding verbs of saying, as in (e)

(d) Ọ̀ si anyị lawa:

He is saying we go away: He is telling us to go away.

(e) Ọ̀ tiwere, kpọwa, si anyị lawa

He started to shout started to yell said we go away: He started shouting and yelling at us to go away.
The function of isi as a complementizer owes its origin to the use of the verb in this type of serial construction. What seems probable is that with time this verb started to be used after verbs other than those of saying in a kind of serialised (verb) construction, which is one prolific method of co-ordination in Igbo. Further more, this verb isi introduces the actual words of the speaker, as though in quotes, necessary pronoun changes having been made. The unique function of isi as the sole complementizer to imperative NP-complements stems from this special character of the verb. In other words, two essential factors are responsible for this verb form being used as a kind of subordinating conjunction, viz: its fixed second/third position in a serial construction, never the first; secondly its ability to introduce the actual words of a speaker, and this is responsible for its being the only permissible marker of embedded imperative constructions. As we shall demonstrate in §2.0, isi as the imperative complementizer (or the second of two verbs of saying in a serial construction) is deletable from surface structure only if the first verb (i.e. the matrix verb) is isi itself. This deletion accounts for the form of 24(d) above.

Let us now relate the function of isi in the foregoing examples to its function in the following:

25(a) Ò kwuru isi aya ga akpọ m na ya

He said that he will call me.

(b) Ìnìrù m (isi) ọmu abyäch*aala

I heard that they have all come.

(c) Ìwọ ọ fi'tara wọ (isi/aisi) nde e wọ ndọ mmegbu n a

thing it comes to is that people these are people of cheating:

What it amounts to is that these people are a dishonest lot.
25(d) I huju {sì} asegha hì anyì?

Do you see that they are waiting for us?

(e) Àcorọ m {sì} anyì hafu hì

I want that we leave/us pardon them; I want us to pardon/leave them.

(f) Èkhù m {sì} unu richefuo ya

I intend that you eat/be able it; I intend that you will be able to finish (eating) it.

In all the above examples, sì and its variant forms are substitutable for any of the other complementizers - Na, kà/mà, and mà (that is mà interrogative), given the right matrix verb. Since all these forms have the same privilege of occurrence, they must all belong to the same form class. Our grammar must, therefore, show that sì the complementizer is associated with sì the verb. How to capture this relationship between certain Igbo predicates and certain function words is discussed in Chapter 10 in Appendix 1.

We must, however, point out one difference between the two complementizers Na and sì. This difference is brought out in the following examples:

26(a) Na o wụ onye apari were ọnụa.

That he is person of stupidity accustoms eye:

That he is an idiot is obvious.

(b) ?Sì o wụ onye apari were ọnụa.

(same as 26(a)).

(c) Onye apari o wụ were ọnụa.

Person of stupidity which he is is obvious; The fact that he is an idiot is obvious.
26(a) is grammatical, though not very popular; its popular equivalent is 25(c).

But 26(b) is very questionable because the *si* complementizer is sentence-initial. It seems to be the case that the two complementizers are in free variation only in clause-initial but not sentence-initial position.

Summary

Igbo complementizers constitute a set of mutually exclusive morphemes, each complementizer corresponding to a specific sentence type or mood viz: *Na* for the indicative, *ka* and *ma_1* for the subjunctive, *ma_2* for the interrogative and *si* for the imperative mood. Although *si* may precede any of the others, or be substituted for it in colloquial usage, it is nevertheless the only permissible complementizer for embedded imperative construction. This specialised function of *si* stems from the fact that it is associated with the verb *isi* 'to say' which permits the speaker's actual words, as if in quotes, as its NP object. From the point of view of mood, the subjunctive *ka* & *ma_1* complements stand in contrast with the other complement types, being the only mood which is restricted to dependent (embedded) clauses, whereas the rest are associated with independent as with dependent clauses. From the viewpoint of meaning, *Na* complements contrast with the others including the subjunctive complements, since *Na* introduces declarative complements while the others introduce non-declarative ones; this explains why only *Na* complements can be factive, given that the relevant matrix predicate is one which entails the truth of its complement.

4.2. Igbo Base (PS-) Rules

1. \[ S \rightarrow (Q) \left( \begin{array}{l} \{ \text{Emph} \} \\ \{ \text{Imp} \} \end{array} \right) S \text{ (Conj S Conj S ... n)} \]

The declarative sentence is taken to be the norm; it can be turned into a question or a command, emphasized or negated, hence the specification of the category symbols *Q*, Imp(artive) and Emph(asis) which trigger the relevant transformations. The category Neg(ative) has not been specified.
here since it stands for a number of suffixes corresponding to various
tenses/aspects in Igbo (cf 2.3.4). The use of the trigger Q in Igbo
question formation (both Yes/No and Kedu Questions) is illustrated in
chapter 6; that of Imp. in Imperative Complementation in chapter 7, while
the T-rules\(^9\) triggered off by Emph. are incidentally illustrated in the
Appendix (10.4.3). Note that Q and Imp. may co-occur in this language.

2. \[ S \rightarrow NP \quad VP \]

3. \[ VP \rightarrow (Aux) \quad \text{Verb}\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{Interrog.} \\
(NP) \quad (Reason) \quad (Manner) \quad (Time) \\
(Place) \quad (Adv) \\
\end{array} \right\} \\
(Prep. Phr.) \]

Ps-rule 2 states that every Igbo sentence is composed of a subject
(NP) and a predicate phrase (VP), while Ps-rule 3 indicates that VP
consists of an obligatory element, the verb, and a number of other
optional elements (in parentheses) selected according to a number of
semantic and syntactic considerations. The element, Aux, is the first
element of a compound verb directly dominated by VP and it is always
deleted whenever such compound verb are infinitivized.\(^10\)

4. \[ \text{Verb} \rightarrow (Prefix) \quad (+stem) \quad + \text{suffix} + (compl.) \]

Observe from the above rule 4 that verb consists of the verb-stem,
the inflectional affixes (prefix and suffixes), plus a complement, which
is optional. The justification for this analysis lies in the fact that
Igbo is full of verbs whose citation form is incomplete without an
inherent object which specifies the meaning; these include

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{itu} & \text{anya} \quad \text{'to expect'} \\
\text{ony} & \text{to burrow} \\
\text{mai} & \text{to pour libation} \\
\end{array}
\]

9  See also Carrell (1970) pp.48-49 for more illustration of emphatic
sentences in Igbo.

10  Infinitivization involving a compound verb in Igbo, ie, an aux + verb,
is discussed in 5.2 and 6.4 respectively where it is shown that only the
main verb bears the infinitive prefix I while the auxiliary is deleted as
a consequence.
Although these verbs obligatorily take an inherent object in order to specify their meaning, they also take other objects, if they are transitive, thus:

27(a) Anyị turụ anya ọghị echi ọghara ọga
We expected you day that past:
We expected you yesterday.

(b) Ya' cheere ọghị ekpe
He beg you beg: Let him ask for your pardon.

From these examples, it will be observed that for some of these verbs the object comes after the inherent complement as in 27(a), for others, it intervenes between the neutral verb\(^\text{11}\) and its inherent complement, as 27(b) shows.

The purpose of setting up a verb-complement string as a single unit is thus to give formal expression to the traditional distinction between nuclear elements, such as the above verb-complement string, and peripheral or non-nuclear ones, for instance, an adverbial modifier.

5 Verb \[\Rightarrow\] CS (a complex symbol)

Rule 5 is a rule schema in which the category symbol verb is subcategorised in terms of the contexts in which it appears under the dominance of VP. For example, verbs taking sentential complements such as ọghọ will have the following entries:

\(^{11}\) We have used the term, neutral, because items such as itu, although they can be inflected like other Igbo verbs, lack any meaning until the relevant complement has been supplied. The relevant complement is thus the meaning-specifying element. We have also used 'inherent' rather than 'cognate' to describe these complements since they are not necessarily cognate with the neutral verb.
PS-rules 6-8 are similar to those given by Carrell (1970, p. 18-19), but they avoid the rather confusing intermediate stage between the category Nom (her rule 2.17) and N as a member of this category (her rule 2.19). There seems to be no need for the intermediate rule 2.18 which expands Nom as Nom ---^ Nominal (Det) (# S).

It is sufficient to show that Nom(inal) is a syntactic category with the constituent structure shown by rule 8 above, and that N is one of those constituents and a complex symbol (CS).

However, the advantage of rules 6-8 lies in the fact that they provide a necessary distinction in Igbo between two types of NP.

(i) a sentential complement of the structure

\[
N \stackrel{\text{Comp}}{\rightarrow} S
\]

[+ pro]
[+ def]
[+ abs]
[ - loc]

ya'

and
(b) a (complex) Nominal which is the domain of relative clauses and other deictic elements such as Numerals, quantifiers, and determiners.

As with the strict subcategorisation of verbs, nouns are subclassified by rule schema 9 in terms of the contexts in which they occur. Thus nouns (nominals) like

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ọma} \\
\text{ọjọ} \\
\text{ọkwọ}
\end{align*}
\]

in addition to having the feature +N, will also be marked for the following:

+ N
+ attrib.
+ 2nd position

to show that they never occur alone, but as a sort of attributive adjective to a preceding nominal.

12 Lexical items such as these are classified as adjectives by scholars of the Igbo language, notably Green and Igwe (1963) and Dr Igwe (1974). There is no denying the fact that they translate the English adjectives, good, bad and new. But there are thousand and one other nouns which also translate English adjectives, and which never occur alone, though they can be in first or second position in a nominal construction. Given this situation, and the absence of any diagnostic syntactic or morphological criteria for adjectives in Igbo, we suggest a neutral term, nominal, for these items and all well-established nouns of the language. It is the task of the grammarian to determine which of these nominals can function alone as NP, which must require another nominal \[N_1 N_2 \] NP, those with fixed structural position, and those without fixed structural position. We have not considered a lexical category, Adjective a necessary pre-requisite for an accurate description of the Igbo language.
There are two types of Reason or Purpose constructions in Igbo. The first is of the structure:

```
Reason  
  Prep  N  NP
  {  Nà  }  {  ihi  }  {  Nom  }
  {  Màka  }
```

From the above figure, we get the following Igbo examples according to the items selected:

28(a) N'ihi nwókhọ abuọ: For sake of males two: For the sake of the two men.

(b) N'ihi ònà ñà: For the sake of their father

(c) N'ihi ónya ñà
Sake of person the: For the sake of the person
(about whom we have spoken)

(d) Màka mkpuru obi Osuagwu nwụrụ n'ahụ
For the sake of soul of Osuagwu who died: For the soul of the deceased Osuagwu.

(e) Màka (ihi) gi: For your sake.

The second type of Reason or Purpose construction involves ka and mà NP-complements as in the following 29.

29(a) Abụnyere m ka dokita leọ m anya n'ahụ
Come I so that doctor looks me eye on body:
I came for the doctor to examine me.
Purpose Clauses in Subjunctive complementation is the subject of chapter 8 where the structure underlying sentences such as 29(a-c) is examined in detail.

Thus, we have come across all the Ps-rules relevant to the formation of Igbo NP-sentential complements, the specific rules being Ps-rules 6, 7, and 10.

11 Manner

\[
\text{Manner} \rightarrow \{ \text{Prep. N} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{otho} \}
\]

Igbo manner constructions involve either, simple manner adverbs such as nwayọ, ọsiọ, ngwangwa et cetera or

\[
\{ \text{otho} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{n'uzo} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{relative clause} \}
\]

The following are illustrative examples

30(a) Ò nwuru { ọtho } tụrụ n'anya

He died in manner which strikes in eye: He died in a mysterious/surprising manner.

(b) Òtho i mere toro m obi ụto

Way you did sweetened me heart sweet: How you behaved/

What you did delighted me.

12 Place

\[
\text{Place} \rightarrow \{ \text{Prep NP} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{ya} \}
\]

Locative constructions in Igbo involve the preposition na and a locative nominal:
Ogu is at home today.

(b) bì na Lọ́gọ́si: He lives at Lagos.

(c) Ógu bìkọ́bọ́jì: Ogu lives there, too.

(d) Ógu bìchere ma (na) Lọ́gọ́si, Abá mà (na) Jọ́ọ̀si.

Ogu lived complete both at Lagos, Aba, and Jos.

(e) Mà Lọ́gọ́si, Abá, mà (na) Jọ́ọ̀si, Ógu bìchere ọ̀rù.

At Lagos, Aba, and Jos, Ogu once lived in each of them.

From 31(a), (c) and (e), it is obvious that yà 'in it' and hà 'in them' can be substituted for the locative structure, Prep. NP.

Ps-rules 13-15 are as given by Carroll (1970, p.18), and her observation about the optional deletion of the preposition na is correct.
Ps-rules 16-20 reveal that the category determiner, can be subclassified into two sets; demonstratives and definitizers according to their syntactic characteristics. In addition to their normal demonstrative function, the demonstratives may also be used as substantives:

32(a) Ọkpa eghụ nde-ehi khụgburu onwe ǹa na nkhụ
Type of goat those destroy kill self their in destruction.
Goats of that type can be very destructive.

(b) Hötara m ndi ọcha ọhụ
Select for me the ones white those: Select those white ones for me.

In 32(a) above the determiners nde ehii are functioning as demonstratives, in 32(b), they are used as substantives. But definitizers, on the other hand, can only function as definitizers, never as substantives:

(c) Lèkwé ọkụkọ wà nga ọ b hàta
Behold fowl the place it is coming in:
See the fowl (about which we have been talking) as it is coming in.

(d) Ya ma nwa ga ọgafu
He the (naughty) child will get lost travelling: That child will get lost travelling about.

(e) Gi ma nwa wụ onye ọhụ
You this child are person of theft: You child, you are a rogue.

The definitizer wà can be used only to refer to an object or person previously mentioned, and can be used to definitize either a noun or a pronoun. Ma, on the other hand, can only be used after pronouns. The existence of these determiners should convince the reader that contrary to Carrell's observation (Carrell 1970 p.26) there is enough justification for the distinction into definite and indefinite determiners. The zero determiner is the indefinite one while a/ahị, 'this/that' and wà constitute the definite determiners. A native speaker of Igbo will use one of these or any other dialect equivalent to convey the sense of the
definite, but none at all whenever an indefinite meaning is being conveyed.


22. Inflect — — — — Neg.


24. Prefix — — — — A-

24.1 Present Progressive — — — — \{ -ghA \}

24.2 Past Progressive — — — — na + rv + verb

24.3 Simple Present — — — — Ø

24.4 Past — — — — -rv

24.5 Perfect — — — — A- (O) + 1A ~ nA

24.6 Imperative — — — — -Ø

24.7 Habitual — — — — \{ na \} + verb

24.8 Future — — — — ga + verb

24.9 Neg. \{ Simple present \}


24.11 Neg. Past — — — — A + na + ñII/ghI + rv

24.12 " Perfect — — — — A - bèle

24.13 Neg. Imperative — — — — A - la ~ nA

13 For a very detailed study of non-inflectional suffixes in Igbo, see G. E. Igwe (1974) chapter 15.
In the negative conjugation of the verb, there are gaps because certain tense forms do not have corresponding negatives. But this gap is filled by the existence in Igbo of periphrastic negative expressions in which all tense/aspect forms can be expressed. These along with verb inflection in Igbo have been amply illustrated in chapter 2(2.3.1-4).

25.1 Assertive $\rightarrow$ -rv
25.2 Benefactive $\rightarrow$ -rv
25.3 Directional $\rightarrow$ -tA
25.4 Inchoative $\rightarrow$ -wa

26 Aux. $\rightarrow$ ìnà, ọjì, ọga
27 Comp. $\rightarrow$ sì, nà kà, mà₁, mà₂
28 Prep. $\rightarrow$ Nà, màka, bhànyére, gbàsara, shí(te)
29 Numerical $\rightarrow$ òtù, àbùjì, ọtọ, ọnnọ et cetera
30 Quantifier $\rightarrow$ níile, dúm, úzugbu, òtutù
31 Adv. $\rightarrow$ fàa, ósisi, ngwańguwa
32 Conj. $\rightarrow$ màna, thùmà, khamà, sì, nà, kà, mà.
33 Interrogative $\rightarrow$ giri/onye· what/who
    ọliyanao· how
    òlé· how many
    (nà) òlé· where

One hopes that the detailed analysis of various tense and aspect forms presented here and in chapter 2 will help to dispel the erroneous view that "the only tense indicators in Igbo are two auxiliary verbs (ìnà and ọga) and a present perfect" (Carrell 1970 p.30). The past time suffix -rv has been very little understood by scholars of the Igbo language, except Green and Igwe (1963) who rightly distinguish between -rv time on the one hand, and -rv time non-time, on the other. Whereas there is only one -rv time, there is definitely more than one type of -rv non-time for example,

-rv benefactive and
-rv assertive
Although the benefactive morpheme can co-occur with most verbs, the -rv assertive is restricted to a semantic class of verbs which we describe tentatively as stative/existential verbs. These include

*ínhọ* to have, own
*úrù* "be useful"
*nji* "bad"
*mma* "good"
*eghe* "cooked"
*íru* "deformed"

With these verbs and others belonging to the same semantic class, the -rv assertive morpheme is generally associated with present tense meaning thus:

33(a) *Ogu* *nweere* *eg'o*

Ogu has money: Ogu is rich.

(b) *Ínhọ* *moto* *bhara urù*

Owning a car is useful.

(c) *Nhọ* *a mare* *jọra njo*

What he did is bad: What he did is bad.

(d) *Nwaàkiri* *à mera mma nwaànyị*

This young girl is beautiful as woman.

(e) *Ukwu* *íru* *ya*

His/her leg is deformed: His/her leg is deformed.

(f) *Any* *ò* *ghere eghe*

This meat is cooked: This meat is well cooked.

Whenever a past time meaning is meant, there is a corresponding change in the form of the underlined verbs, as 34(a-f) show:

34(a) *Ogu* *nweere* *eg'o*:

Ogu used to have money to be rich

(b) *Ínhọ* *moto* *bhara urù* (*n'og'ie éhi*)

Owning a car used to be useful (at that time)
Walls of house this used to be bad to look at before one repaired it.
The walls of this house used to be ugly to look at until they were repaired.

This young girl used to be a pretty woman before she became sick.

He used to be deformed, but now he is alright.

The meat was cooked when I brought it down from the fire.

The vowel lengthening which is characteristic of these underlined verbs has been analysed by Green and Igwe (1963 p.76) as due to the presence of -rV time and -rV non-time in one and the same verb: "... when both these suffixes are present one of them is usually represented by an alternant, a vowel, which lengthens the vowel that precedes it. This reduplicating vowel suffix must not be confused with the open vowel suffix, which expands a close stem vowel andlengthens an open one and which we have never found with this verb form".

But Carrel (1970 p.29) did not recognise the above facts when she lumps such action verbs as: ịgu to go and

ịgwu (ji) to dig up (yams)
together with members of the stative class and reaches the same conclusion as some of her predecessors¹⁴ that "this 'past tense' frequently refers to present time and that often an adverb of past time must be present if the sentence containing Assert is to have a past time meaning". In support of


the above assertion Carrell quotes the following extracts from Adams (1932, p.51-3) "Thus plain ọgwuru ji means 'he digs yams, it is a statement without qualification or doubt; and therefore does duty for the absolute form of the present tense. ọgwuru ji means 'he dug yams' with any word denoting past or in answer to a question referring to the past." Not surprisingly, Carrell sticks to the above analysis on the grounds that "the data collected from my informants agree with what Adam says. ọgwuru ji may mean either 'He digs yams', or 'He dug yams'."

It is difficult to imagine an Igbo dialect where the above Igbo sentence could have any other than the past tense meaning, the verb involved being an action predicate. Such an ambiguous time meaning is definitely ruled out in the Ezinihitte dialect which we are describing here. But the recognition of the existence of more than one -rV morpheme in Igbo: a -rV time (a one-member class) and a -rV non-time (a multiple-member class), and a study of their distributional characteristics will help to throw a lot more light on past and present meanings in Igbo inflection. What must be emphasized here is that with action verbs, a -rV suffix can only have a past time meaning. Thus,

(a) ọ́gwuru ji can only mean
He dug some yams, while
(b) ọ́guwuru ji means
He dug some yams for himself.

where the duplication of the verb stem vowel indicates the presence of two morphemes

the -rV time and
the -rV non-time/benefactive, as in (c)

(c) ọ́ na ọgwuru atụ́ ji
He is digging one yam for himself.
4.3 Defence of the Base Rules

A look at our base rules shows that they mark a departure from the base rules given in Aspects and all other transformational treatment of complementation based on that theory. In the base rules given here, complementizers are generated in deep structure. This departure constitutes a negation of the transformational hypothesis of complementizer-insertion and complementizer change as exemplified in the works of Rosenbaum (1967) and Robin Lakoff (1968). The specification of comp. as a deep structure node also implies that it is far from the semantically empty morpheme which existing accounts of complementation make it.

The claim that complementizers contribute to meaning has been strongly put forward by Bresnan (1970). Among other things, she asserts that "complementizer selection is the kind of phenomenon characteristic of subcategorisation, and in fact, some aspects of complementizer choice cannot even be described within the transformational hypothesis without extending it in undesirable ways," (Bresnan 1970 pp.306-310). She argues that unless comp. is introduced by base rules, certain conjoined sentences in English would not be derivable, whereas the specification of a deep structure comp. makes the derivation of such sentences from familiar rules easy. Lastly, she demonstrates that WH- is one of the English complementizer morphemes. We must observe here that in Igbo, there is no complementizer corresponding to the English WH-. The Igbo equivalents of English WH- questions, both in their embedded and non-embedded forms, are complex nominals with nominal heads and qualifying clauses. The justification for this claim is presented in chapter 6.


Since this is not a comparative study, though comparison is at some stage inevitable, we shall restrict our attention to Igbo in order to show what evidence there is - syntactic and semantic - in support of the claim that complementizers must be generated in the base rules of this language. Igbo is a tone language, sufficient evidence for this has been given in the introductory part of this thesis (cf 1.3 & 2.0.0).

To a large extent, then, the grammar of Igbo deals with sets of appropriate tone patterns required by various construction types in the language. The extent, if any at all, to which intonation plays a part in meaning modification in a Tone Language such as Igbo is, to say the least, doubtful.

In view of the fact that Transformations as now formulated are meaning preserving the onus on Deep structure is to specify all the necessary information that contributes to meaning.

SYNTACTIC EVIDENCE

Igbo Complements fall into four mutually exclusive sets or categories based on the following syntactic parameters:

(a) the complementizer morpheme present
(b) matrix verb
(c) the sentence type embedded as NP and
(d) the semantic interpretation of the NP complement, and consequently of the whole sentence (matrix and embedded).

These four factors interact in so close a manner that it is difficult to discuss one of them without necessarily discussing the others. For example, the choice of the matrix predicate determines complementizer selection which in turn, determines the mood of the whole sentence. For those verbs which

16 It has been observed (Chomsky 1972) that such meaning changes as are due to Focus and Pseud-Cleft rules can be accounted for by late rule of semantic interpretation. Such a solution to the problem assumes, of course the existence of a syntactic Deep structure distinct from Semantic Representation. The generative semantics position is that the Deep structure is semantic.
may take more than one complementizer, the choice of the complementizer is determined by the complement type. In other words, mood and complementizer choice are interdependent, and both of them affect meaning.

For example, (si) Na' introduces what we have described as Declarative/Indicative complements - that is, those complements which are neither Interrogative, nor Imperative nor Subjunctive in their mood (cf. 4.1.4). In this complement type, Pronoun subjects retain their inherent tones (cf. 4.1.0) and verb stems are high for Class I verbs and low for class 2 and 3 verbs. This tone pattern is constant under NP-complement embedding provided that (si) Na' is the complementizer. But a change of complementizer, for those verbs which are marked in the Lexicon for more than one complement type, entails a corresponding change of tone pattern and a corresponding change in semantic interpretation thus:

35(a) Éce m (si) na' I.gà abya'  
I think that you will come (certainty/conviction)

(b) Éce m (si) mà_2 I.gà abya' (Doubt)  
think I \{ \text{ if } \} you will come \{ \text{ whether } \}

I wonder whether you will come
I am not sure that you will come.

36(a) Ā̂sinî m na' ùnu' aléala (conviction)  
I thought (for certain) that you had gone.

(b) Ā̂sinî m mà_2 ùnu' aléala (Doubt)  
I was wondering if you had gone.

37(a) Écegehekwe m na' o' gà abya' (certainty)  
I am still of the opinion that he will come.

(b) Écegehekwe m mà_2 o' gà abya' (Doubt)  
I am still thinking whether he will come
I am still wondering whether he will come.
38(a)  Èroghækwe m na ọ ga abyé (certainty)

I am still thinking that he will come.

(b)  Èroghækwe m ma₂ ọ ga abyé (Doubt)

I am still doubting whether he will come.

In the foregoing minimal pairs, the difference is due to different complementizers in the (a) and (b) sentences which are responsible for the change in the tone pattern of the pronoun subjects from High and High-Low in Na clauses to Low and Low-Low in m₂ clauses. The cumulative effect of these differences is a radical change in meaning from an assertion and its presupposition of truth in the (a) sentences to doubt and uncertainty and the consequent absence of any presupposition of truth in the (b) sentences.

We can account for this radical semantic and syntactic difference in either of the following ways: First, we can assume that two distinct main clause verbs are involved in each of the pairs of sentences under discussion, and go on to prove that this is really the case. The second alternative is the claim that there is only one and the same verb in the main clauses of each of these pairs, and that the meaning differences is due to the presence of the two distinct complementizers Na and m₂ which in turn depend on the complement types embedded as NP. We examine these alternatives in turn, starting with the first.

To argue for the existence of two distinct main clause verbs in the minimal pairs being investigated here, one needs to show that these purported verbs exist in the language independent of the Igbo complementation system. It is impossible to substantiate a claim such as the above;

---

17 Presupposition is used here in the sense of sincerity condition, that is, a Pragmatic notion. To presuppose something as a speaker is to take its truth value for granted and thus assume that your audience does the same. An Igbo speaker would use Na if he is sure of his facts, m₂ if he is doubtful about them. For more information on this pragmatic notion of presupposition, see Karttunen (1973) L1 Vol. iv, No.2.
on the other hand, it is very easy to show that there are many homonyms in the language, each with a distinct meaning and syntactic functions which establish it as a verb in its own right. Consider the following few examples as an illustration:

39(a) Ṣi’ẹ to backbite, to calumniate
(b) Ṣi’ẹ (aux. verb) - habitual/progressive
(c) Ṣibhá to enter, go in
(d) Ṣibhá to grab, hold
(e) Ọgo to deny
(f) Ọgo (muo) to worship gods, shrines

Each of these verbs will take the inflectional markers of the Igbo verb, and have their Imperative forms thus:

40(a) Nara ẹni ẹni gawa.
Backbite him you people go on.
Go on backbiting him, you lot.

(b) Nà è sà ìrè yà ììyìwè
Keep on sweep for me thing: Go on doing the sweeping for me.

(c) Bhàà ọsììṣà
Go in quickly

(d) Bhàà m ì yà ìgbà
Grab for me him wrestle: Wrestle with him for me.

(e) Ọgó mu ọlé
Deny, I see: Deny, let me see.

(f) Gọkọ́wàa muo n’uhuru ci.
Do worship the gods in decline of day:
Do carry out the rites of worship in the evening.

From these few illustrative examples, it is obvious that, despite their phonetic identity, there are six distinct verbs, not just three, involved in the examples 40(a)-(f). We must point out that the different suffixes used in these examples do not affect the argument; the choice is arbitrary,
and any verb can co-occur with any suffix according to the intended meaning. A detailed study of Igbo affixes (prefixes and suffixes) has been done by Revd. Igwe (1973).

Now let us assume the existence of the following as distinct verbs in the paired examples 35-38:

41(a) \( \text{icie}_1 \)
(b) \( \text{icie}_2 \)
(c) \( \text{isi}_1 \)
(d) \( \text{isi}_2 \)
(e) \( \text{iro}_1 \)
(f) \( \text{iro}_2 \)

With these verbs, it is impossible to establish any case for more than one verb for each pair of the examples, as the following examples show:

42(a) \( \text{icie}_1 \) ya ecie
Think for it thought: Think about it.
(b) \( \text{icie}_2 \) ya ecie: *Wonder about it.

43(a) \( \text{isi}_1 \) ya bya
Say to him come: Tell him to come.
(b) *\( \text{isi}_2 \) maka ya
Wonder about it

44(a) \( \text{iro}_1 \) maka m
Think about me
(b) *\( \text{iro}_2 \) maka m

Observe from 41-44 that, with the exception of 43(b) where the syntactic form is deviant, it is the English glosses which are wrong. This rather unusual phenomenon can be accounted for from the fact that the wrong meanings are being assigned, in the (b) cases, to otherwise well-formed Igbo sentences. In other words, the meaning of 'doubt' and 'wonder' can be attributed to these verbs only in the Igbo complementation system; outside this construction type, such a meaning has no raison d'être.
This same argument holds for any suggestion that these verbs in question may well be polysemous, in which case, they have the feature [+ Dubitative] as one of their lexical entries. Such a feature specification can only be motivated by none other than the consideration of Igbo complementation. We therefore dismiss as adhoc the hypothesis that two distinct verbs are involved in each pair of the above examples. Such an approach would leave many questions unanswered.

The alternative argument, which we uphold in the rest of this section, is that there is one and only one main clause verb in each of the paired examples 35(a, b) - 38(a, b), that these verbs may take either Na Indicative or ma^2 Interrogative complements (isi will, in addition take a Si Imperative complement) according to the intended meaning of the complements. Recall that we have established (cf 4.1.0-4) that each complementizer marks a specific complement type -

| Si   | goes with       | Imperative complements |
| Na   | " "             | Indicative/Declarative |
| ma^2 | " "             | Interrogative          |
| ka/ma^1 | " "           | Subjunctive           |

Since some superordinate (main clause) verbs can introduce any of two or three complement types according to the intended meaning, it follows, therefore, that what we have been discussing here is a case of one of these main clause verbs taking two different complement types: a Na Indicative in the (a) and a ma^2 Interrogative in the (b) sentences of 35-38, respectively. These two mutually exclusive sentence types account for the meaning difference between the above (a) and (b) sentences. From this fact it naturally follows that the subcategorisation of verbs according to the complement types they may take is a necessary, though not sufficient condition for predicting the meaning and, consequently, the complement type embedded as NP: reference to the complement type is also necessary. Unless the Base structure provides
this information about the type of lower sentence, it will be difficult, if not impossible, in cases of verbs such as ọchị, ọhị, and ọrị, to determine which of two or three possible surface structures one may derive. To ensure the right combination of main clause predicates and complement types, the following two conditions need be satisfied:

(a) verbs must be subcategorised according to the complementizers they take;
(b) the complement type must be specified in the Base.

Bonney (1974)\(^{18}\) argues that the above two conditions are necessary, but not sufficient to ensure the correct combinations of main clause and complement, maintaining that "complement types are selected relative, not only to main clause verbs, but also to other factors in the main clause" (p.53-54). He supports his stand with the following English example:

\[
\text{For him to eat cabbage: } \bigg\{ \begin{array}{l}
\{ \text{would} \} \\
\{ \text{could} \} \\
\{ \text{may} \} \\
\{ \text{often} \} \\
\{ \text{meant} \} \\
\{ \text{mean} \} \\
\{ \text{that he} \} \\
\{ \text{is} \} \\
\{ \text{was} \} \\
\end{array} \bigg\} \text{ hungry}
\]

and insists that "it is hard to see how even an elaborated system of syntactic features could be sufficient to handle data such as these" (ibid). He concludes from this evidence that subcategorisation features are unnecessary, and consequently, Bresnan's\(^{19}\) specification of Comp as a Deep structure node is uncalled for.

In Igbo, the situation is different; it happens to be the case in this language that the above mentioned conditions suffice to ensure the right combinations of main and complement clauses. Secondly, the Igbo equivalents of the above English examples do not raise any such problems in Igbo that Bonney points out in the English language, for the simple reason that all

---

19 Bresnan, Joan (1970) op.cit.
infinitival complements in Igbo (which are the Igbo equivalents of the above English examples) are transforms of sentential complements introduced by a specific semantic class of verbs - the Emotive predicates, which are discussed in chapter 5.2.0. One of Bonney's English examples quoted above has a factive interpretation; for such factive complements Igbo has a very unambiguous base representation. For example, the Igbo equivalent of the following English example from Bonney's data cited above:

For him to eat cabbage often meant that he was hungry, is 45(a)

45(a) Iri ede'iri futara na agyuyu jiri ya.

To eat cocoyam which he ate meant that hunger held him

For him to eat cocoyam meant that he was hungry.

A sentence such as 45(a) can only derive from a factive Na clause of the following type

45(b) Na o riri ede futara na agyuyu jiri ya.

The fact that he ate cocoyam meant that he was hungry. The relative clause in 45(a) can be optionally deleted to yield 45(c) which superficially looks like the type of infinitives associated with Emotive predicates.

45(c) Iri ede ya futara na agyuyu jiri ya.

It is for this reason that we have insisted on the important distinction between the underlined homonyms in the following 46(a) and (b), a distinction based on syntactic grounds and borne out by their respective meanings in these and similar examples:

46(a) Dimka imevo onwe ya na eme ihe mere.

For a man to disgrace himself is a shame.

46(b) Ogwu imevo onwe ya nocha mere m ihe mere.

For Ogwu to disgrace himself in public shamed me.

The fact that Ogwu disgraced himself in public shamed me.
This distinction is discussed at length in 5.2.0 where we bring evidence to show that whereas sentences such as 46(a) are derived from conditional clauses functioning as NP subject to Emotive predicates, those like 46(b) derive from Na Factive complements also functioning as Subject NP to some Factive Emotive predicates. In other words, Emotivity and Factivity are not necessarily mutually exclusive because there are some Factive Emotive verbs.

Granted, then, that Igbo does not run into the same or similar problems as English in subcategorising verbs according to the complement types which they may take, and that the complement type needs to be specified in the Base, the question arises as to how best to mark this distinction of complement types. Bonney contends that it is not a distinction that can be marked by the complementizer alone because, although "for - to is confined to non-propositional complements, that, on the other hand, occurs with both propositional and non-propositional complements, and in consequence fails to mark the distinction between the two types." Bonney's argument that complementizers in English fail to mark the above distinction is a consequence of his too much reliance on semantics. Because his Base structure is heavily biased in favour of semantic trees, he runs into the problem of not being able to represent all his semantic information in terms of his semantic trees: the case in point is the problem of how to represent the propositions expressed by Indicative complements in his semantic Base structure. Although he comes off nicely with his Causative analysis for Factive predicates (p.77-79) and the systematic account of Like-Subject predicates and their interaction with EQUI and SUBJECT and OBJECT RAISING,

20 Bonney 1974: (p.55) holds a different view; he does not agree that this necessary distinction must be marked in the Base, but that it must be represented at some stage in the derivation, since such a distinction depends crucially on what he terms the propositional and non-propositional complements. With a Deep structure which is semantic and an analysis that draws so much from McCawley's Predicate Raising, which creates complex surface predicates (lexical items) from more basic ones, this distinction comes out well, especially in the Causative analysis (for Factive complements p.63 ff).
he fails, not surprisingly, to give any such systematic analysis for the Propositional - non-propositional distinction, apart from the vague suggestion that verbs of saying (Expositives) and those of knowing (Cognitives) may be analysed respectively as [\text{SAY, TRUE}] and [\text{HOLD, TRUE}]. At the present state of our knowledge of semantics, it seems to us sufficient to point out whatever systematic correlation there might be between the syntax and semantics of natural language, leaving its formalisation to such a time that we can have more information about semantic structures. This is what we have tried to do for Igbo, a little known language and relatively very little written about.

To answer the question raised at the beginning of the preceding paragraph as to how to mark the distinction into Proposition and non-proposition, we have to point out that this could be done in either of two ways in Igbo:

(a) by either specifying the complementizer, or

(b) by specifying the complement type.

By specifying the particular complementizer, given that verbs are subcategorised according to the complementizers they may take, we are in effect pinpointing the only complement type that can guarantee the desired semantic interpretation. This is so because no complementizer may introduce more than one complement type, that is, one proposition/non-propositional type. What happens in Igbo is that some verbs may be marked for as many as three complements, but each complementizer is confined to only one complement type, a fact which contrasts with the situation in English where \text{that} can occur with either the Indicative (Propositional) or with the Subjunctive (non-propositional) complement. So for the Igbo language, specifying the complementizer means specifying the type of lower sentence or complement.

\text{21} The exception to this rule is \text{si} which, it has been pointed out (cf 4.1.0-4.1.3 & 4.1.5), may be substituted for any of the others - \text{Na, ma, } and \text{kå/må} in colloquial usage of this dialect, or precede them optionally, and all this is in addition to its specialised function as the sole Imperative complementizer.
Alternatively, one can specify the sentence type embedded as complement and, thus, predict the complementizer from it. This is the position that Bonney advocates when he argues that complementizer choice 'depends directly on some internal property of the complement and only indirectly on the main clause verb.' Each of these two methods will involve some re-write rules of the following type:

\[
(a) \quad S \rightarrow \text{comp.} S \\
(b)(i) \quad S \rightarrow \text{Pre } S \\
(ii) \quad \text{Pre} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 
\text{IMP(ERATIVE)} \\
\text{INDIC(ATIVE)} \\
\text{INTERROGATIVE)} \\
\text{SUBJUNCT(IVE)}
\end{cases}
\]

To specify the complementizer, given the main clause verb, entails something like \( N_e S \) or \( N_e S \) at cetera.

Equally, specifying \( Q \rightarrow S \) or \( \text{SUBJUNCT. } S \) can only entail \( N_e S \) or \( k\alpha \) respectively, and nothing else.

The question that remains, then, to be answered is how we get \( N_e S \) or \( k\alpha \) from \( Q \rightarrow S \) and \( \text{SUBJUNCT. } S \) respectively. Two methods suggest themselves: the first is a Context-sensitive re-write rule of the following form:

\[
Q \rightarrow S \rightarrow N_e S \quad \text{if both } Q \text{ and } S \text{ are directly dominated by } S \text{ which can be traced uniquely to an NP. The second method consists of a substitution transformation which inserts the appropriate complementizer for the particular pre-sentence node. But we reject this complementizer insertion rule since we have shown that complementizers alone do mark the type of distinction necessary to ensure the correct combination of main clause verbs and complement sentences. This leaves} \]
us with the first method which re-writes the pre-sentence nodes $Q$, Indic.
et cetera as $ma^2$ and $Na^*$ respectively. This rule is in itself a Deep
structure specification of complementizer and has nothing to recommend it
over and above the direct introduction of the complementizer by re-writing
$\tilde{S}$ as, say, $Na^* S$. Moreover, we would not like to lexicalise these nodes
through a context-sensitive rule because elsewhere in Igbo transformational
grammar (cf. 6.1-6.2) they serve only as triggers to set off the appropriate
T-rule applications. From all the evidence so far given, we conclude that
there is a strong case for a Base specification of the node, Comp in Igbo.
If Bresnan’s argument for a Deep structure Comp node fails in English, there
is a strong motivation for it in Igbo.

Moreover, it can be shown that complementizers in Igbo are far from
the semantically empty morphemes which they are supposed to be in English.
On purely synchronic evidence, some of these complementizers are verb forms
of some existing verbs, the most obvious case being $si$ from the verb $isi^*$
to say - cf. 4.1.3). Not only complementizers, but also other function
words or morphemes of Igbo are known to be verb forms taking such suffixes
as are associated only with verbs in the language. On the basis of this
synchronic evidence, we make the claim that other complementizers and
function words in Igbo must be erstwhile verbs. This case is argued at
length with supporting syntactic evidence in the following chapter 5.
Igbo complementizers have definite semantic role to play in NP-complementa-
tion.

We have argued that Igbo verbs can be very conveniently subcategorised
according to the type of complements they can occur with. This sub-cate-
gorisation of verbs is not an arbitrary one; subcategories of verbs
generally belong to semantic groups, and these semantic groupings may have
their syntactic reflexes. For example, verbs which take $Na^*$ complements are
the only verbs in Igbo which make some claim about the truth value of their
complements. This claim may or may not be true, but it is a claim all the
same - an explicit claim by either the superordinate subject or somebody mentioned in the main clause that what he says, hears, thinks et cetera is either true or false. No other category of complements in Igbo makes such a claim. If such a claim is factive, that is, if the matrix or main clause verb happens to be one that entails the truth of what it claims, then the complement of such a verb may be optionally relativized to give what we have described in chapter 6 (cf 5.1.2) as Factive Relative clauses. As we make abundantly clear in the above chapter, such relative clauses (the output of the T-rule Relativization-Relat\textsubscript{2} which is exclusive to factive Na\textsuperscript{0} complements) constitute the syntactic exponent of factivity in Igbo. Only factive Na\textsuperscript{0} complements may undergo this rule of relativization, T-Relat\textsubscript{2}, to yield an acceptable Igbo surface structure. By this statement, we do not imply that only factive complements meet the structural index for Relat\textsubscript{2}; no, all Na complements do meet the requirement for this rule application, but only factive predicates guarantee the grammaticality of the output sentence.

The verbs which co-occur with m\textsubscript{a} complements, on the other hand, are those verbs which ask for information, rather than make a claim about the truth value or otherwise of the propositional content of their complements. Admittedly, there will be a lot of cross-classification between Na and m\textsubscript{a\textsuperscript{2}} complement verbs for the simple reason that subcategorisation is not hierarchic - a phenomenon that is natural in human language. This cross-classification involves those verbs with such semantic characteristics that they can either express a claim about the truth value of their complements, or doubt and, consequently, ask for some information about them.

22 The implication is that there is another rule of Relativization T-Relat\textsubscript{1}; this is the case. The rule of Pseudo-cleft sentence formation in Igbo entails an obligatory relativization of the output structure from the insertion of wue - 'thing/what' and the copula wu before the complement sentence. Unless this is done, the resultant surface structure will not bear the normal tone pattern associated with relative clauses in Igbo. This rule Relat\textsubscript{1} is therefore contingent on Pseudo-Cleft which is a general rule in any language, and consequently is not the marker of factivity, as Relat\textsubscript{2} is.
But in spite of the semantic differences between Na and ma complement verbs, they share the following syntactic characteristics:

(a) they do not impose any tense restrictions on the verb of their complements and

(b) these complements are never subject to Equi NP Deletion.

The first of these properties can be explained on the grounds that there is no dependency relation between these main clause verbs and the verbs of their complement such as one sees between ka/ma Subjunctive verbs and the verbs of their complements.

The verbs associated with the subjunctive (ka/ma) complements on the other hand, are generally those that express wishes, requests, expectation and such non-propositional predicates as designate efforts and determination. All these verbs have one thing in common - they always introduce complements whose propositions are mere expectations, and the expectation of an event does not necessarily guarantee its realisation. Because of this semantic constraint, the verb of a ka/ma complement is always future in relation to the time expressed by the main clause verb, hence the verbs which can introduce the subjunctive complements have been variously described as verbs of 'forward-looking aspect' (Aijmer, K. 1972) 'forward-looking' verbs (Bonney (1974), and 'futurist verbs' (Josephs, 1974).

In Igbo, where the non-infinitival (ka S) and the infinitival forms exist side by side and the latter can be shown to be a transform of the former, the future tense marker ga never occurs in the ka form. It is this futurity of the ka complement verbs and the identity of the superordinate and subordinate NP's that guarantee the application of EQUI, given that the other condition has been met. In other words, only the Subjunctive Complements in Igbo may undergo the Equi-NP Deletion rule to yield

---

23 The other condition is that the main clause verb must not be a two-place predicate - that is one that appears in this type of structure VP → V NP1 NP2; this constraint is discussed in chapter 8.
infinitival complements which are always in object position in contrast to those of emotive predicates which are invariably in subject position. It will thus be seen that the semantic attributes of these verbs are reflected in the type of T-rule to which they are subject:

On no account will Na$ or ma$ complement-taking verbs satisfy these two requirements of identical NP’s in both clauses as well as future tense (be it marked or unmarked) in the complement clause. Since verbs can only be subcategorised according to the type of complements they can take, and since this subcategorisation can be effectively marked by complementizers, there is no reason why complementizers should not be introduced in the Base by re-write rules.

CONCLUDING SUMMARY:

The subcategorisation of verbs on the basis of the complementizers each subgroup may take is necessary, but not sufficient to ensure the right combinations of main clause verbs and complement types in view of the fact that some of these verbs are capable of taking more than one complementizer. Therefore the specification of the particular complement from a list of two or three possible complement types is needed to supplement the above subdivision of verbs according to possible complementizers. But in Igbo, it happens to be the case that each complementizer corresponds to one and only one complement type (witness the fact that infinitivals are transforms of the basic complement types, and hence the Igbo equivalents of English for-to complements are transforms of ka subjunctive and other NP subject complements to emotive predicates). This fact means that complementizers alone can effectively mark complement types in the language, and this argues for their specification in Base structures in preference to the alternative method which re-writes such pre-sentence nodes as Q S as ma$ S in specific contexts. We reject this method from the over-all consideration of Igbo grammar where nodes such as Q, Cond(itional) Subj(unctive) et cetera are no more than ordinary triggers for the application of specific T-rules.
We also argue that Igbo complementizers as well as other function words such as prepositions and conjunctions can be shown, from synchronic evidence, to be verb forms, a phenomenon which leads one to hypothesize that those function words in the language for which there is no synchronic evidence of relationship with existing verbs must be associated with some erstwhile verbs. Thompson and Li (1973) come out with a similar conclusion about Chinese co-verbs and prepositions, while Josephs (1974) reaches the conclusion that the distributional characteristics of Japanese complementizers and their close association with some Japanese verbs do support their specification in the Deep structure of complement constructions in the language. That there is as yet no cross-linguistic evidence from other members of the kwa-family of languages to which Igbo belongs in support of our hypothesis is a reflection of the present state of the study of this language group. If the so-called function words are verb forms of some sort, then they have a semantic role to play in the grammar of these languages, unlike their counterparts in English which may be devoid of such a role. From the evidence presented here, we assume, henceforth, a Deep structure specification of the node Comp, which dominates the appropriate complementizer selected from a group of possible complementizers according to the complement sentence embedded as NP.
Chapter 5  Na' Indicative Complementation

5.0.0  Introduction

This is the first of four chapters devoted to the mechanics of Noun Phrase Sentential Complementation in Igbo - that is, the transformational processes involved in the construction of Noun Phrase Sentential complements in the language, and the constraints on the applicability of these transformational rules (T-rules).

It will be recalled (cf 4.1.0 - 4.1.3) that from our investigation, we have been able to distinguish the following categories of NP - complements in Igbo:

(a)  Na' (Indicative)  Complements
(b)  Ma'2 (Interrogative)  "
(c)  Si' (Imperative)  "
(d)  \{Ka'1, Ma'1\} (Subjunctive)  "

Igbo Infinitival complements are not Deep Structure complements, but transforms of some, but not all, of the above complement types. For example, some Emotive Verbs, which may take Na' or Ma'2 complements as subject are a source of Subject NP infinitival complements, (see 5.1.3, and 5.2.0), and Ka'/Ma'1 subjunctive complements provide yet another source of Igbo Infinitival object complements, given a special subclass of verbs in the main clause; subjunctive complementation is fully discussed in chapter 8. Whenever we refer to Infinitival Complements in Igbo, it should always be borne in mind that these are derived rather than underlying forms as is the case with the English for - to complement which is basic and not a transform.

We have also observed that the Igbo equivalents of English WH-Questions, functioning as NP to complement-taking verbs, are not instances of NP - complements, but of complex Nominals (a kind of Referential NP) with a nominal head and definitizing or relative clause.
In view of the relationship between Yes/no and Keëtù (WH-) Questions, we have decided to discuss their analysis in one and the same chapter 6 in order to facilitate comparison and contrast.

Chapter 7 is devoted to the discussion of Imperative complementation, while chapter 8 discusses the Subjunctive Ka/Ma complement complements. In the final section of this chapter 8, we critically examine and reject the distinction between Noun Phrase and Verb Phrase complements as having no justification in the Phrase Structure of the Igbo language. In the final chapter 9, we try to piece together the observations and conclusions that can be drawn from this investigation with regard to the Igbo language in particular and general linguistic theory. After each chapter, a sample list of verbs which can function in the main clause of that complement type is given.

Chapter 5 is solely concerned with Na complementation and related questions. Let us recall that this is the only category of Igbo NP-complements which can be factive. The terms factive/non-factive and emotive and non-emotive are discussed in detail in 5.1.2 where they will be shown to have syntactic justification.

This chapter is divided into the following sub-sections:

Section 5.0.1 argues the case for the primacy of complementation as opposed to Nominalisation, thus disagreeing with the contrary views expressed in Stockwell et al. (1973: 526-527). We also justify in this section, the Phrase-Structure (P-S-) rule

\[(i) \text{NP} \rightarrow N \text{S}, \text{that is, the ya comp S analysis.}\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{+pro} \\
\text{+def} \\
\text{+abs} \\
\text{-loc}
\end{array}
\]

which specifies the complementizer as a Base node. Recall that the defence for this Deep Structure specification of comp. has been defended in 4.3 (p.217f).
In sections 5.1.0-5.1.3, we discuss in detail the transformational rules which \( \text{Na} \) complements undergo, critically examining such parameters of distinguishing among complement-taking verbs as factivity and non-factivity and Emotivity versus non-Emotivity. We come to the conclusion that such distinctions are well-motivated in the analysis of Igbo complement constructions, although emotivity and factivity are not necessarily mutually exclusive, since some emotive verbs are, in fact, factive.

Section 5.2.0 establishes what we have argued elsewhere (\( \alpha.3.1 \)) namely, that surface \( \text{ma}_2 \) complements can originate from an underlying Yes/No Question or from a Conditional Clause of either the (a) or (b) type below:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(a)} & \quad \left\{ \text{ya'wuru } \text{ma}_2 \right\} \quad \text{If it is that } S \\
\text{(b)} & \quad \left\{ \text{a'} \quad \text{s}_1 \quad \text{na}_1 \right\} \quad \text{If one says that } S
\end{align*}
\]

A thorough examination of these conditional clauses shows that, when they function as Subject NP to Emotive verbs, they are one of the sources of Igbo infinitival complements in the language.

The final section of this chapter, 5.3.0 gives a sample list of matrix verbs involved in Na complements.

5.0.1 Complementation Or Nominalisation

Recently, it has been argued (Stockwell et al. (1973: 516-29)) that what Rosenbaum (1967) analyses as Noun Phrase complementation is better treated as Nominalisation. They argue, very convincingly, that the distinction between NP and VP complements has no solid syntactic justification for English, a view which we uphold for the Igbo language (see chapter \( 8 \), \( \alpha.4.0 \)). Stockwell, Schachter and Partee (ibid) also question and reject Rosenbaum's analysis of

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NP} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \{ \text{Det} \quad \mathrm{It} \quad \text{S} \} \quad \text{in favour of their own analysis of} \\
\text{NP} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{S} \quad \text{, maintaining that Nominalisation, rather than} \text{complementation, is primary to the analysis of such English constructions as described by Rosenbaum (1967).}
\end{align*}
\]
In characterising the system of complementation in Igbo, we take complementation to be primary, since there is sufficient syntactic evidence from the language in support of an analysis of the following type in which the abstract pronominal form - ya’ (it’ in English) precedes the sentential complement thus:

Recall that our analysis differs from Rosenbaums in that we introduce our complementizers in the Base, while he inserts his transformationally. Since equivalent Igbo sentences such as are being described here are directly dominated in Deep Structure by the node, NP, the argument is not whether these sentential structures function as NP’s (Stockwell et al. Ibid 529), but whether our preferred analysis of \[ NP[Ya’ \text{ comp } S ]_{NP} \] is justified for the Igbo language.

We have demonstrated (4.3 p.217 -233 ) that the choice of the one rather than the other of the Igbo complementizer morphemes has such important syntactic and semantic consequences that a Base generation of the complementizer is imperative. In the rest of this section, we shall show why the presence of the abstract proform ya’ (it, thing) is necessary for an adequate characterisation of Noun Phrase complementation in Igbo. In order to justify the above analysis, we offer the following reasons:
(i) Ya is the pronominal form to which the constituent S is a complement; its Deep structure presence is justified by its presence in Surface Structure, especially after Verbs of Saying, whenever emphasis is intended. In the absence of the complement sentence, Ya is the proform.

We illustrate these points with the following few examples:

1. (a) Ogu kwuru (ya) okwu na okokpa eboe. Ogu said (it) that the cock has crowed.
   Ogu said (it) that the cock has crowed.

(b) Anu na di ya aloba aloba boke. I have heard (it) that her husband is back from overseas.

(c) Unu anukwale ya ... ... You (pl) heard have also it: You have also heard it.

(d) I kwale (ya) na madhu ji anwu anwu? Do you believe that a man can die?
   You believe have it that person does die death?

(e) Ewele m (ya) nthury ..... (na S) I have come to believe (it) at last ... ...
   Believe have I it at last

It will be observed from (e) above that the proform Ya can be separated from its complement structure by the intervention of such lexical items as nthury (then).

1 (f) Asi m ya mechie onu
   Tell I him/her shut up mouth
   I am telling him to shut up.

(g) I gwamaara ya ya.
   You tell well to him it: You did well to tell him so.

If the ya' proform is optional (as shown by the use of the circular brackets) in most of the foregoing examples of Na complements, it is definitely obligatory in the following examples of Mo^ Interrogative complements; the obligatoriness of ya' in (2a-c) is due to the fact that the matrix (main clause) verbs are those which have cognate/inherent complements in Igbo:

2 (a) Hwe' anyi cège écìce ya' wụ mo^ 0 dikwa ndhu
   What we are thinking it is whether he is alive:
   What we are puzzled about is whether he is still alive.

(b) Hwe' anyi na atụ anya ya wụ ma' ń he gedykwa.
   What we expecting it is whether they did reach:
   What we are expecting to hear is whether they did arrive.

(c) Hwe' Ibe tugha ìjo ya wụ na ndi ohi ga' abaya.
   What Ibe is afraid it is that thieves will come:
   What Ibe is afraid of is that thieves will come.

In the above examples, (which are Pseudo-Cleft sentences) each of the verbs has a cognate complement which is part of its meaning as well as syntactic characteristics thus:
ice écice - to think
ità anya - to expect, anticipate
ità ujo - to fear, be afraid.

For verbs such as these, the ya proform is obligatorily present in Cleft sentences of the above type. From these few illustrations, it is obvious that the Deep Structure proform ya is not merely the analyst’s construct, at least, in Na and Ma complement structures. The same could be shown to be true of Si Imperative complements.

It is true that a similar case for ya is not easy to establish for such categories of NP complements as the Subjunctive ones introduced by Kà/Na. However, the need for such a Deep Structure ya becomes obvious when one considers the second reason for the ya comp. S analysis:

(ii) The presence of a Deep Structure ya enables one to capture some paraphrase relationship among some Igbo sentences. The two rather general rules involved are:

(a) The ya to 0 conversion, and
(b) The ya to hwe conversion rules

Both of these are morphophonemic rules. We discuss them one after the other:

(a) The ya to 0 conversion entails the following transformational processes in the generation of (3a) from (3b).

(3a) 0 di mkpa: It is necessary.
(3b) Ya di mkpa
(3c) 0 di mkpa (by ya to 0 conversion oblig.)

What the above rules do is as follows: the first rule converts an underlying proform ya into the phonological from 0 which now becomes the input to the Phonological rule of Vowel Harmony (cf 2.1.1.).
(b) The ya' to ḥwe' conversion

This rule which substitutes ḥwe' for ya' is needed after the optional rule of Extraposition has moved the complement to the end of the entire sentence, as the following (4a-c) show:

4 (a) Ya' na Ōgù ga ejhe di mkpa. (Base)
(b) Ya' di mkpa na Ōgù ga ejhe (By Extraposition)
(c) 0 di mkpa na Ōgù ga ejhe. (by Ya' to 0)

It is necessary that Ōgu should go.

(d) ḥwe' di mkpa ṣi na Ōgù ga ejhe. (by Pseudo-Cleft)
(e) N[hapus di mkpa] ṣi na Ōgù ga ejhe (by Relativization)

What is important is that Ōgu should go.

It will be observed from the foregoing derivation that Extraposition creates an input structure for either Ya' to 0 conversion or for Ya' to ḥwe' conversion. This means that whenever the proform Ya' is sentence-initial, it must either be changed to the harmonising 0 or to the proform ḥwe'. The item ḥwe' - 'thing' is a special member of an open class of items, and it can stand for any inanimate object and share the same distributional relationship with Ya'. It is used in place of Ya' whenever Ya' is followed by either a relative clause or a definitizing (deictic) item, as in (4e) above where the complex NP is made up of the head ḥwe' followed by a qualifying clause di mkpa (hapus di mkpa). We shall discuss in detail the fact that the output of Pseudo-Cleft in Igbo is a relative clause NP in section 5.1.0: p. 262-66.
Let us go back to the main argument for a Deep Structure Ya' from which the harmonising 0 is derivable and for which ñwe is substitutable in the appropriate contexts. Since it can be shown that Ya' is morphophonemically related to 0 and ñwe, and since all categories of Igbo NP complements are subject to at least one or the other of the above rules (some are in fact subject to both of them), it therefore makes for economy without detracting from descriptive adequacy to generate Ya' in the Base and relate these other forms to it by means of morphophonemic rules.

Now consider the third reason, which is an important one.

(iii) The rule of Ya' to 0 conversion has its motivation independent of NP complementation, being a general rule of Igbo syntax; therefore its application in Noun Phrase complementation is but an exemplification of its general use.

This third reason needs some explanation. Both Ya' and 0 are in this same system of one term (a system of one term in the sense that it is ya' and ya' alone that can function as the head of Igbo sentential complements). It thus shares this characteristic with the third person singular pronoun Ya' he/she, as the following Igbo pronominal system shows. In Igbo pronominal system, there is such a distinction as between Separable and Inseparable pronouns thus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEPARABLE</th>
<th>INSEPARABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Sing.</td>
<td>My</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>Gi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>Ya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is no such distinction in the plural. Except for the first person singular, all other inseparable forms harmonise with the vowel of the following verb thus:
The quality of the 0 therefore depends on the quality of the vowel of the verb stem, this is what we mean by vowel harmony. Such facts as these must be reflected in a transformational account of the language. There is no other choice than to derive the inseparable forms from underlying separable ones by means of phonological rules. 6(a-) must be seen as deriving from either underlying or intermediate structures in which the NP is Ya thus:

6 (a) Ya gbūrụ ẹke ————> 0 gbūrụ ẹke
(b) " ǹhwụrụ ẹke ————> 0 ǹhwụrụ ẹke
(c) " riri any ————> 0 riri any
(d) " shiri ʻashi ————> 0 shiri ʻashi

These two forms of Ya are positionally determined:
The harmonising 0 is always in subject relation to the verb, never in object relation, whereas the Ya form can be in either relation depending on the type of construction concerned. In other words, whereas the Ya form may be either subject or object, the 0 form can only be subject as the following examples illustrate:

7 (a) Ahụnụ m... ya...
I have seen him/her/it
(b) Ya bya, anyị agwụ.
If he comes we shall set out.
(c) 0 byara faa.
He came early.
(d) *Ya byara faa : He came early.

The ungrammaticality of 7(d) above is due to the non-application of the obligatory rule of Ya to 0 conversion.
The Ya form can function as subject only in the following construction types.

(i) Imperative Construction

(ii) Conditional "

(iii) Narrative "

We give only one example of each construction type:

8 (a) Imperative : Ya bya : Let him come.

(b) Conditional : Ya h'anya m, ya ogbea
If/whenever he sees me, he runs away.

(c) Narrative : Anyi gakhwuru ya, ya ekwe ibyan'i
We met him and he consented to come.

In 8(c), we see Ya (him) as object of gakhwuru and Ya2 as the co-referential subject of the conjunct - Ya ekwe ibyan'i.

Sentences such as 7(a) show that Ya is neutral as to gender, there being no grammatical gender in Igbo. This fact, however, leads one to the next important consideration about a Deep Structure Ya.

In Igbo, there is only one homonym, Ya; consider the following sentences:

9 (a) O coro ya
He wants {him} {her} {it}

(b) O no n'ulu Ya
He is at home.

(c) " " in (it).

It will be observed from 9(c) that ya represents the pronominalised form of the locative phrase n'ulu (in the house).

All these uses of ya have a lot of similarities in that ya in all the examples so far given is definite and pronominal; these seem to be the core meaning shared by ya and other personal pronouns in Igbo. Whether ya stands for a person or thing depends on its anaphoric relationship in any sentence.
We therefore regard other attributes such as personal, abstract and locative as distinctive. For these reasons, we analyse Ya as a complex symbol (cs) of the following features:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ \text{pro} \\
+ \text{def} \\
+ \text{hum} \\
- \text{loc}
\end{array}
\]

From this feature analysis, it follows that Ya in (9a) will have the following matching reading:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ \text{pro} \\
+ \text{def} \\
+ \text{hum} \\
+ 3rd \text{ pers} \\
- \text{loc}
\end{array}
\]

for him/her

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ \text{pro} \\
+ \text{def} \\
- \text{hum} \\
- \text{abs} \\
- \text{loc}
\end{array}
\]

for the reading 'it' standing for animate but non-human objects

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ \text{pro} \\
+ \text{def} \\
+ \text{abs} \\
- \text{loc}
\end{array}
\]

for the Ya head of a sentential complement, where + abs means - hum, but not vice versa

\[1\] The above feature analysis applies to Ya as much as to other personal pronouns. For example, all personal pronouns can be fully specified for features as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ \text{pro} \\
+ \text{def} \\
+ \text{hum} \\
+ \text{plural} \\
+ \text{loc}
\end{array}
\]

where + hum means - abs and vice versa.

Thus Anyi 'we' will be, and My 'I' will be:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ \text{pro} \\
+ \text{def} \\
+ 1st \text{ pers} \\
+ \text{plural} \\
- \text{loc}
\end{array}
\]

+ hum where - plural

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ \text{pro} \\
+ \text{def} \\
+ 1st \text{ pers} \\
- \text{plural} \\
- \text{loc}
\end{array}
\]

Anyi My

and so on and so forth. We have so far concentrated on Ya because it is the various uses of Ya which ought to be distinguished by their distinctive features.
In Igbo, the distinction between Expletive and Anaphoric
'it' such as is made in English obtains, but under a different set
of constraints, in view of the fact that every sentence of the
language has a subject to which subsequent pronominal forms refer
thus:

10 (a) classpath::

\text{Thing this is thing bad: This is a bad thing.}

(b) classpath::

\text{It is thing bad: It is a bad thing.}

(c) classpath::

\text{Rain\slash water is falling: It is raining.}

(d) classpath::

\text{Sun is shining: It is sunny.}

(e) classpath::

\text{It is cold.}

For 10(b) to make sense, it must be related to 10(a) in a
discourse, or refer to some other item previously mentioned, and
this applies to 3(a) on page 281, but not to 4(c), while 10(c)
and (d) show conclusively that the English Expletive 'it' is
represented in Igbo by distinct lexical subjects.

Summary

In the foregoing section we have argued for a Deep Structure
abstract proform $y^\ast$ in the analysis:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
N \\
\text{Comp} \\
S
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ \text{pro} \\
+ \text{def} \\
+ \text{abs} \\
- \text{loc} \\
\text{Ya}^\ast
\end{array}
\]
on the following grounds:

(i) It remains present in surface structures

(ii) It pronominalises sentences and locatives (prepositional phrases), while ya' personal pronoun pronominalises NPs.

(iii) Its specification in the Base enables one to state some T-rules in Igbo very economically, and thus capture necessary paraphrase relationships among sentences of Igbo.

(iv) The rule which converts ya' to the harmonising D in the appropriate contexts is independently motivated in Igbo, therefore its application to the proform ya' in NP-complementation is not its raison d'être but merely an illustration of its general applicability in Igbo syntax.

In view of the foregoing reasons, we shall stick to the ya' comp S analysis as being suitable to an adequate characterisation of Igbo complementation.

We take no issue with the view that Nominalisation is primary to the analysis of complement sentences; all we say is that complementation is a special case of Nominalisation which deserves a detailed treatment in its own right.

5.0.2. Order And Cycle In Syntax

In order to reduce the excessive power of Transformational theories of language and increase their empirical content, T-G grammarians have proposed that, in addition to placing some constraints on the form of the Base, general restrictions should also be placed on the form and operation of possible transformations. Thus the Boolean condition on analysability (Chomsky, 1965: 143-4), the recoverability of deletions (Chomsky, 1964a: 71), the A-over A principle (Chomsky, 1964 b: 930-1)
And Ross's movement constraints as originally proposed (Ross 1967) are all proposals of this kind. Such constraints as these are described as 'local' in the sense that they restrict the matching of Base and Surface structures by limiting the ways in which successive stages of derivations may differ. In addition, various GLOBAL constraints have also been put forward to block derivations which have been permitted by local constraints if the output of transformations is a derived structure which differs from the corresponding Base structure in certain ways. Thus the Cross-over constraint (Postal 1971) and the Lowering constraints (Lakoff 1971) are examples of this second type. These constraints are global because they make the applicability of a rule at a given stage in the derivation dependent, not only on the structure of the tree at that stage, but on what has happened at earlier stages in the derivation, that is, on the derivational history of the tree.

Another type of derivational constraint considered essential in Transformational theories of language is Extrinsic rule ordering, although a lot of doubt has been recently cast on its validity. If Extrinsic Ordering is a derivational constraint, then one would naturally expect it to be applicable to languages other than English, for instance, the Igbo language.

As of now, too little is known about the Igbo language to warrant any rigid assumptions about the principle of Order and the Cycle in a transformational grammar of the language since, to be valid, such assumptions must await more facts from detailed studies of Igbo. This has to be so in view of the following facts: Any assumption or hypothesis about a phenomenon is bound to interact with a hypothesis about other phenomena in the same language; even an exact formulation of each may depend, to some extent, on the form of other rules.
Add to this, the fact that in any system of ordered rules, the form and order of such rules must reflect the general form and order in the language. We are as yet in no position to give such forms and order: they are still far from clear. But what we are clear about is what the rules do and, approximately, what structures they operate on.

However, the hypothesis of Extrinsic Ordering has been called into question even for English, a language so much described and studied by both native speakers and foreigners. Koutsoudas (1972, 1973) has taken the extreme view that transformational rules are unordered. Having demonstrated that some T-rules are not strictly ordered, he goes on to argue that along with Partial Ordering no order must be taken as two possible alternative hypotheses until there are facts to falsify the No-Order hypothesis.

Twila Lehman (1972) writing in the same vein claims that a Marking convention is as effective as an Ordering one and, in fact, explains certain things which the Order hypothesis fails to explain. Such a marking convention had earlier been advocated by Kenstowicz and Kisseberth (1970). Very recently, Bonney (1974) has argued that invoking the obligatory precedence principle (that is the precedence of obligatory over optional rules) and such well established constraints as Ross's Sentential Subject Constraint along with Intrinsic ordering, one can do without the principle of Extrinsic order.

---

1 Koutsoudas, Andreas (1974) "On the Non-Sufficiency of Extrinsic Ordering, Indiana University Linguistic Club, Mimeo
2 Koutsoudas, Andreas (1972) "The Strict Order Falsity" Language 49, 1
3 Lehman, Twila (1972) "Some Arguments Against Ordered Rules" Language 48
4 Kenstowicz and Kisseberth (1970) "Rule Ordering and the Asymmetry Hypothesis" Papers from the 5th Regional Meeting of Chicago Linguistic Society
5 Bonney, W L (1974) Oxford University D.Phil thesis
However, there is no denying the fact that some order is necessary in generative transformational grammar for the derivation of some sentences and the blocking of some deviant ones. What is generally debated is whether the order is Extrinsic or Intrinsic. Order is extrinsic if it is explicitly stated as part of the formal properties of language. For example, EXTRAPOSITION is extrinsically ordered before PRONOMINALISATION, and this ordering is based on the assumption that there is a rule of pronominalisation which substitutes pronouns for full NPs, and that the rule which moves that clauses is Extraposition and that the movement is rightward.

Bonney (1974: 85ff) points out that if the movement were leftwards, if for example, EXTRAPOSITION were replaced by Emonds rule of INTRAPOSITION (Emonds, 1969) the ordering argument would fail because one can still account for the data of the following 11(a) - (d) without any recourse to extrinsic order, in other words, 11(a) - (c) can be shown to derive from a common Base form, while 11(d) does not.

11 (a) That he was elected surprised Fred.
(b) That Fred was elected surprised him.
(c) It surprised Fred that he was elected.
(d) It surprised him that Fred was elected.

INTRINSIC _Order, on the other hand, is determined by the structure of the relevant trees and the structural description of the rules. For example, in standard analyses, there are many cases where the applicability of a certain rule is contingent on the prior application of some rule that creates an input structure for it. In English, for instance, SUBJECT RAISING creates the input structure for PASSIVE in the derivation of 12(a) from 12(b).
12 (a) Fred is believed by Tom to be sick.

(b) The same applies for the ordering relationship between PASSIVE and AGENT DELETION in the derivation of 13(b) from 13(a):

13 (a) He was caught by the police

(b) He was caught.

So far as our investigation goes for the Igbo language, there has been no case where extrinsic ordering is required to ensure the blocking of some ungrammatical sentences of the language. Rather, it seems that no fixed order, except in the case of intrinsic ordering, as defined above, is necessary in the derivation of Igbo complement sentences. As we show in section 5.1.0 p. 259ff, what happens is that some rules, if applied early in the derivation, result in an early termination of such a derivation, whereas the same rules, if left unapplied, make the derivation of whole paradigms sequentially possible. This situation obtains in cases where the (derived) structure meets the structural description for two possible rules, and the application of one rule yields one type of grammatical sentence, while the application of the other yields a stylistic variant of the same sentence.

But cases of intrinsic order are fairly common in the language. For example, EQUI-NP DELETION is an optional rule for those verbs which take the Subjunctive Complement (see chapter 8.2.0 & 8.2.1.)
But once this optional rule applies, it creates an output structure which becomes the input to the rules of Infinitivization and Complementizer Deletion. These two rules are, therefore, contingent on a prior application of EQUI. Similarly, the application of Pseudo Cleft creates an input structure for the rule of Relativization which has to apply, in some cases, vacuously, or else grammatical deviance is the consequence. Nevertheless, any order which we establish in this investigation can only be tentative, being specific to the construction type being investigated here—Noun Phrase Complementation.

**THE PRINCIPLE OF THE CYCLE**

The formulation of the principle of the Cycle depends crucially on an important fact about Transformational rules, namely, that they (the T-rules) are defined over sentence (s) nodes. Thus REFLEXIVIZATION and PASSIVE can apply only to nodes which are immediately dominated by the same S node, but EQUI, SUBJECT RAISING, and NEG-RAISING are applicable only to structures in which one sentence is embedded in another. In most versions of TG, T-rules are also defined over strings such as NP (cf. Chomsky 1972).

Convincing arguments have been brought forward in support of the principle of the Cycle in syntax—that is, the fact that some transformations, but not all, apply in a block, first, to the most deeply embedded sentence in a tree, then to the next sentence up the tree, and so on up to the main clause—more recently by Ross (1967 & 1969)⁶, Robin Lakoff (1969)⁷.

---

⁷ Lakoff, Robin (1969) "A Syntactic argument for Negative Transportation" 5th Chicago Ling. Soc.
Although Grinder (1972) has challenged this principle on the grounds that there are no primary motivations for it in the syntax of English, he, nevertheless, comes to the following conclusion:

"I wish to point out that while there are no such cases (cases of primary motivation for the cycle) it is not impossible that one could bring forth a number of second order arguments for the cyclic principle of rule application. George Lakoff, for example, has argued (in classes at the California Summer Linguistics Institutes, University of California, Sanata Cruz) that given the cyclic principle, one can dispense entirely with extrinsic rule ordering. If a number of such arguments could be sustained, their cumulative weight could dictate a relatively unambiguous choice between the two alternatives." (Kimball (ed) 1972 p 110). Summing up Grinder's argument for an iterative (bottom to top) principle as a legitimate rival to the cyclic principle, Lakoff observes:

"In short, Grinder's paper provides us with some good reasons for maintaining the cyclic principle, though they are not 'primary motivations' in his sense." (Ibid p. 115) There is, therefore, very little doubt that the principle of the cycle has a strong basis in a transformational theory of language.

But there seems to be no need for such a principle in a transformational grammar of Igbo for the following reasons:

One of the classic cases in favour of the cycle comes from the interaction between the Passive and Raising rules in English. But there is no Passive construction in Igbo, and so there can be no Passive rule in the language.

The nearest that one gets to a Passive construction is found in the following 14(a-c) with Indefinite pronoun subjects:

14 (a) Ebüru madhụ
    One killed person: A person was killed/
    Lives were lost.
(b) A mara iwu: A law was made.
(c) E kwuru sì Q fùri qo'ụ
    One said that it resulted fight:
    It is reported to have resulted in a fight.

Although there is some evidence of Raising in Igbo with a small subset of Emotive verbs, one cannot talk of the interaction of PASSIVE and RAISING in Igbo in the sense that one talks of the interaction of the same rules in the production of the following English sentences (Raising in Igbo is discussed in 5.2.1:316ff).

(d) Lucy believes that Harry kissed Maxine.
(e) That Harry kissed Maxine is believed by Lucy (by PASSIVE)
(f) Maxine is believed by Lucy to have been kissed by Harry.
    (by PASSIVE-RAISING-PASSIVE)

In view of the fact that the notion of derived subject (see 14(f)) where Maxine is the Surface Subject through RAISING though an underlying Object of the that clause in 14(d) and the notion of the cycle establishing the order as PASSIVE-RAISING-PASSIVE - are crucial to the derivation of 14(f), then, these two notions - derived Subject and the Cycle - do not seem to have a place in Igbo transformational grammar. Throughout this investigation, there has been no need to invoke these two notions in order to account for the derivational history of any Igbo sentence.
The other case that argues for the cycle is the interaction of PRONOMINALISATION and EQUI (Ross 1969) in the generation of the following English sentences:

15  (a) Discovering that he was sick disturbed Harry
     (b) Discovering that Harry was sick disturbed him

The chain of co-reference in 15(a) is that the terms he, Harry and the missing subject term of discover refer to the same individual, whereas in 15(b) the same co-referentiality cannot be established between the three terms. And the principle of the cycle is invoked to explain the two readings, though Postal (1972) has shown that PRONOMINALISATION is post cyclic. But unlike English, Igbo has two morphologically distinct third person singular pronouns, one of which is always co-referential thus:

(c) Ogu icofuta na ya turu ashi na awe ya.
    Ogu realising that he told a lie pains him.
(d) Ogu icofuta na turu ashi na awe ya.
    Ogu discovering that he(someone else) told a lie pains him.

In 15(c) the ya pronoun is co-referential with the antecedent noun, Ogu, whereas the 0 form in (d) is not; furthermore the Noun Ogu and the pronouns Ya or 0 cannot be switched. The problem does not therefore arise in Igbo.

While recognising the place of the cycle in the grammar of English, (Lakoff observes that "given the principle of the cycle and independently motivated analysis, all known cases of extrinsic ordering disappear" (Kimball (ed) op. cit. p. 114), such a principle seems to have no basis in Igbo syntax. Since these two rules PASSIVE and RAISING) do not interact in Igbo, one cannot talk of their interaction with such minor rules as Reflexivization and There-Insertion.
5.1.0. NA NP-Complementation

Igbo NP sentential complements can be generally represented by the following Deep structure configuration in Fig. 2.

The above figure shows that an NP-complement in Igbo is dominated by an NP node which is itself directly dominated by S (if it is in subject relation to the verb) or by VP (if it is in object relation to the verb). Let us begin our investigation into the process of Noun Phrase Sentential Complementation in Igbo by examining the steps involved in the formation of the following paradigm of Igbo sentences, beginning first with Subject, and then, Object NP-complements.
16(a) Na umuaka na aga akwukwo bhara uru.
That the children are attending school is useful.

(b) Oh bhara uru na umuaka na aga akwukwo.
It is useful that the children are attending school.

(c) Hwe bhara uru wy nna umuaka na aga akwukwo.
That which is useful is that children are attending school.

(d) Hwe bhara uru wy akwukwo umuaka na aga.
What is useful is the schooling that the children are doing.

(e) Akwukwo umuaka na aga wy hwe bhara uru.
Schooling children are doing is thing that is useful.

17(a) Anyi anuna na nha byara aby.
We have heard that they did come.

(b) Hwe anyi {na anuna} wy nha byara aby.
What we have heard is (the fact) that they came.

(c) Obiybya nha byara wy hwe anyi {na anuna}.
Coming they came is thing we have heard.

The sentences 16 (a-e), like those of 17 (a-c), are derived from one common underlying structure such as Fig. 3. They are stylistic variants of the same Deep Source, being derived sequentially from either derived structures or from well-formed sentences through the application of some optional rules.
In other words, one does not need to go back to the Base Form in order to derive, say, 16(c) or (d), but can do so directly from structures (or at times well-formed sentences) which have been previously derived from the Base Structure. Admittedly, there is some rather subtle difference of meaning between 10(c) and (d) on the one hand, and 10(a) and (b) on the other. This difference is due to focus of emphasis brought about by the application of Pseudo Cleft. But this is a low order difference of meaning which does not disqualify the paradigms 16 and 17 being treated as such.
The application of only one T-rule - the Ya Deletion, and the relevant phonological rules yields the acceptable sentence 16(a).

(i) Ya Deletion

SD:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{N}_1 - \text{Comp} - S - \\
\text{NP} & \text{VP} - X
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
+ \text{pro} & & \\
+ \text{def} & & \\
+ \text{abs} & & \\
- \text{loc} & & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4
\end{array}
\]

SC: \#234 (opt.)

As the name, Ya Deletion, implies, this rule deletes the abstract proform ya', thus yielding 16(a) as the output:

16(a) Na' ụmụaka nà aga' akwụkwọ bha'ara úrụ.

If ya Deletion applies, then no other rule is applicable; if it does not apply, other rules are applicable since their application depends on the presence in the structural description of the proform ya'. Assuming, therefore, that ya' deletion has not applied, we can go on to show how sentences such as 16(b-e) as well as 17(b-c) can be derived via the application of the rule of Extrapolation from subject, the structural description of which is given as follows:

(ii) Extrapolation from Subject

SD:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{N}_1 - \text{Comp} - S - VP
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
+ \text{pro} & & \\
+ \text{def} & & \\
+ \text{abs} & & \\
- \text{loc} & & \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}
\]

Process: attach 2 as the right sister of 3
Condition: optional
SC: 132
The output of the above rule is 18(a), which is not well-formed:

18 (a) Ya’ bhara úrù na úmùåkà nà agà akwúkwo

At this juncture, we are faced with another choice between

(i) Ya’ to 0 conversion, or

(ii) Ya’ to ñwé ” in Pseudo-Cleft sentence formation.

The choice of the first alternative plus the application of the relevant phonological rules yield 16(b), while the second alternative, the Pseudo-Cleft rule — entails the substitution of ñwé for ya’ and this makes the derivation of 16(c) — (e) sequentially possible. As was the case in the first set of choices, the selection of any particular rule depends on the particular member of the paradigm that one wants to derive, and not on any rigid order.

Again, as with ya’ deletion, the application of ya’ to 0 conversion means that ya’ is not available in the structural index for such other rules as Pseudo-Cleft which entail its substitution with ñwé — a process which is productive.

(iii) Ya’ to 0 Conversion

SD: N — VP — Comp S

+ pro
+ def
+ abs
- loc

Ya’

1 2 3 4

SC: [1 0] 234

The output of this rule is 18(b).

18 (b) O’ bhàr’V úrù na úmùåkà nà agà akwúkwo.
18(b) becomes the surface sentence 18(c) by the application of the rule of vowel harmony and other PHONOLOGICAL RULES.

18 (c) Ọ bhàra úrù na ụmùákà nà agá akwukwp.

We now take up the second alternative above which involves the application of Pseudo-Cleft on the derived structure 18 (b).

(iv) Pseudo-Cleft Rule

SD: N VP Comp S
Ya’

1 2 3

Process:
(a) Insert wù before Comp S.
(b) Substitute ṃwé for ya’.

SC: 

The output of this rule is 18(d) which is by no means well-formed.

18 (d) ṃwé’ bhàra úrù wù nà ụmùákà nà agá akwukwp.

Recall that in 5.0.1: p. 247, we justified the substitution of ṃwé for Ya’ in Pseudo-Cleft sentence formation on the grounds that ṃwé is a special member of an open class, and shares the same distributional relationship with ya’, both of them being nominal items. The second reason for this substitution is that Pseudo-Cleft sentences in Igbo are precisely of the same syntactic characteristics as embedded Kẹdy9 questions, (the Igbo equivalent of English WH - Questions):

---

9 Kẹdy® Questions are fully discussed in chapter 6 (6.2.0 p. 347-351) where it is further shown that ṃwé is substitutable for the interrogative word, ṣiiri just in case it is being qualified by a defining or relative clause.
They are all Relative clauses, a conclusion arrived at from the syntactic characteristics of Igbo relative clauses. Since the output of EXTRAPosition is a structural configuration which meets the structural description for Pseudo-Cleft, and since Pseudo-Cleft is intrinsically ordered after Extraposition and entails the change of Ya to Hwe, we feel justified to relate the deep structure ya to Hwe by means of a morphophonemic rule applying after the Pseudo-Cleft rule. Recall from section 5.0.1 p. 239 that a transformationally inserted Hwe in Pseudo-Cleft rule application is optional with such matrix verbs as have inherent object, ụgbakpọ ụgbakpọ 'to doubt' for example, for the simple reason that the deep structure ya is optionally present in the surface. Pseudo-Cleft transforms of their complements. It is generally the case that whenever ya is sentence-initial in the type of construction being considered here, it must undergo one of the following morphophonemic rules:

(i) Ya to 0 Conversion

or

(ii) Ya to Hwe Conversion, if it is followed by a qualifying structure.

Observe that as a result of the insertion of the copula Ṽ in Pseudo-Cleft sentence formation, the relation of the subject Hwe to the following deep structure VP10 bhara ụrụ now becomes that of head and modifier.

10 That the embedded sentence S1 above is realised at the surface as a VP is proved by the fact that this VP can be modified thus: Hwe' bhara ụrụ nke ọkwu ụ ụmụaka na a ga akwukwo. What is very useful is the fact that the children do go to school, where nke ụkwụ is an adverbial modifier.
In other words, the application of the Pseudo-Cleft rule to an Igbo complement structure has the effect of converting the underlying matrix structure into a complex nominal of a head and modifier. This fact is best brought out by the following tree diagram of Fig. 4 which represents the output of the Pseudo-Cleft rule. The obligatory application of the appropriate Tone rules (cf. 3.6 p. 155-163) ensures, among other things, that the tone pattern associated with Igbo relative clauses is established. The output of Pseudo-Cleft rule in Igbo is subject to the Tone rules which belong to the phonological component of grammar. It is the application of such rules that yields 18 (e):

18 (e) Ḋwe bhrara urù wù na úmùàka nà agá əkwụkwọ.

And 18 (e) is the same as 16 (c).

Fig. 4
Although the process of relativization is, strictly speaking, outside the scope of this investigation, yet a word ought to be said about it here, since, at least, some of the rules associated with that process in Igbo are relevant to the output of Pseudo-Cleft. Relativization demands the presence of two co-referential NP's in its structural description; it is the deletion of one of these two co-referential NP's (that dominated by the embedded S) that triggers the following rules:

1. Relative Deletion, then
2. Object NP Movement (the Igbo equivalent of Eng WH-movement)
3. Either Na' Aux Attachment (Morphophonemic rule)
   Or -rV Infixing
4. Tone Rules (Phonological rules)

Rules 1-3 are given and discussed in the following pages 267-74, while Tone rules form the subject of chapter 3, (cf especially 3.5 & 3.6, p. 140-163).

However, not all relative structures are subject to all these rules of relativization, since there are specific conditions for their application, and these are specified after each rule.

But in the derived structure of Fig. 4, there are no co-referential NP's as one would expect from relative structures. Rather, what we have is a tree diagram which corresponds to the output of Relative deletion on a putative structure such as 18 (f).

When Relative Deletion applies to delete hwe_2 under identity with hwe_1, we get the structure represented in Fig. 4.
What seems to happen is that the application of EQUI on a Relative structure and of Pseudo-Cleft on a complement structure produces two derived structures which are similar, if not identical.

Perhaps, the hypothesis of TARGET STRUCTURES might throw some light on this apparently strange coincidence. The phenomenon of target structures has been noted in Generative Phonology (Ross 1969, Kisseberth 1969 (a) & (b), Kim 1969), and in Generative Semantics (Lakoff 1969 (a) & (b), and Green (1970). This hypothesis states that "Each language has a relatively small set of Target Structures - a set of constraints which apply at some relatively superficial level, as a sort of filter on derivations." Awoyale (1974: 1 - ff) tries to account for the multiple ambiguity of Yoruba Gerundive constructions by invoking the above hypothesis. What is interesting about his account is that the two structures relevant to the derivation of Yoruba Reduplicated Gerundives are Relative and complement structures, the same types of structures involved here. In view of the fact that Igbo and Yoruba belong to the Kwa group of Languages, it is possible that the phenomenon being described about Yoruba also obtains in Igbo where EQUI on Relative constructions and Pseudo-Cleft on complements produce identical structures which are subject to the same rules of relativization.

Whatever may be the demerits of the Target Structures hypothesis, it is clear that the output of Pseudo-Cleft on complement structures is subject to the same rules as the output of EQUI on Relative structures, and at the surface they are all relativized clauses inspite of their different deep structure origins. Let us take the well-formed sentence 18 (e), for example:
18 (e)  Hwe bhara uru wu na umuaka na aga akwukwo

Any appropriate lexical item can be substituted for hwe in the above sentence, and the result still remains a well-formed relative construction in Igbo, thus:

(g) Atumatu bhara uru wu na umuaka na aga akwukwo

The plan which is useful is that children are going to school

(h) {Uka
{Okwu} bhara uru wu na umuaka ga 'aga akwukwo

Talk which is useful is that children will attend school:

The useful proposal is that children will attend school.

Although it is a fact that, in some cases such as 18(e), only Rule 4 - the rule which generates relative clause tone patterns - is applicable, (and this may be seen as a phonological rule) in other cases which we shall show shortly, all the other rules consequent on EQUI, that is, Rules 2-4, are applicable. There is, therefore, sufficient syntactic evidence to justify the claim that the output of the Pseudo-Cleft rule in Igbo is the input for obligatory relativization.

Having argued the case for relativization in Igbo NP complementation, let us now complete the derivation of paradigm 16.

To the well-formed sentence 18(e), we may now apply the following two optional rules in the order given, the order being intrinsically determined:

(a) Nominalisation of the Na complement and

(b) Reverse Cleft.

To nominalise 18(e), we need to apply Rule 2 of the Rules of Relativization which entails the movement of the object NP to a position where it functions as head of the resultant relative clause.
As a consequence of this movement rule (which is the Igbo counterpart of English WH-movement) the Complementizer has to be obligatorily deleted.

(v) Nominalisation of Na Complement (T-Relat₂ optional)

Via

Object NP Movement

SD: NP COFULA COMP NP [Vp VERB NP Vp]
1 2 3 4 5 6

Process:
(a) Attach 6 as the right sister of 2
(b) Delete 3

This rule is optional, and lexically specified for factive predicates.

SC: 1 2 6 0 4 5

The output of the above rule is 18(h), which is the same as 16(d)

18(i) Hwe' bhara uru' wu' akwukwo umuka n'agá.

Thing which is useful is schooling children are doing:
What is useful is the fact that the children are schooling.

Thus, the effect of nominalisation on Igbo Na Complements is the same as the application of Pseudo-Cleft as discussed above - both rules result in the formation of relative clauses, and this is why we describe this nominalisation rule as Relativization (Relat₂), which is lexically specified for factive predicates as opposed to Relat₁, which is a consequence of the application of Pseudo-Cleft - a much more general rule. In other words, while all categories of Igbo NP complements may undergo Relat₁, only a small sub-category of Na complements are subject to the optional rule of Relat₂.
The nominalisation of some Na complements bears out our earlier assertion that complementation is but one subset of a general syntactic process called - NOMINALISATION, relativization being another (cf 5.0.1: P. 24). Such nominalised forms as 16(d) and 18(h) are the Igbo equivalent of the English expression 'the fact that'. The syntactic justification for the distinction into Factive and Non-factive Na clauses is given in 5.1.2. P. 299-91.

The next optional rule which 18(t) can undergo is the Reverse Cleft rule, and this accounts for 18(j). We give a tentative formulation of this rule as follows:

(vi) The Reverse Cleft Rule (optional)

SD : NP_1 COPULA NP_2
     1   2   3
SC : 3 2 1

Condition : Both NP_1 and NP_2 must be of the structure NP N S, that is a complex nominal. The output of the above rule is 18(j), which is the same as 16(e)

18(j) Akwukwo umuaka na aga wụ nwe bhara urù.

The fact that the children are schooling is something useful.

In the foregoing examples, we have explored the form and order of application of the T-rules in the generation of sentences such as 16(a-e). The order which has been established from successive well-formed derivations of the language is fundamentally intrinsic, and need not be stated explicitly because a T-rule which depends for its application on the output of a previous rule cannot have its structural description met until the relevant rule has applied. In some cases, we have had to operate on a system of choice of one rule or the other, ....
And we have pointed out how the application of the one rule terminates a derivation, while the selection of the other leads naturally to the derivation of other sentences of the paradigm. The choices involved are the following:

(1) Either (a) Ya' Deletion 
    Or (b) Extraposition 

(2) Either (a) Ya' to 0 Conversion (Morphophonemic) 
    Or (b) Pseudo-Cleft.

The choice of 1(a) results in a derivational 'cul de sac' while the choice of 1(b) opens the way to the second choice - that between 2(a) & (b). As with 1(a), the selection of 2(a) terminates the derivation, while the 2(b) option leads on to the generation of other stylistic variants of the paradigm.

Most of the stylistic variants of 16(a-e) depend crucially for their derivation on the presence of Ya' in their structural description; if this abstract proform is deleted early in the derivation, then it has to be re-introduced transformationally in order for the derivation of other variants to be possible. But to delete it and then re-introduce it through a transformation is not only circular, it constitutes a negation of the gain in economy which its generation in deep structure guarantees. For this reason, we have adopted an ordering system that ensures its presence in the derivation for as long as is necessary.

But the reader must not go away with the impression that the rules given below are strictly ordered. As a matter of fact, the T-rules are unordered; but for intrinsic reasons, the only derivations possible will be those which conform to the following scheme:
Either 1. Ya' Deletion (T - Ya' Del.)
Or 2. Extraposition (T - Extrap.)
If 2. Then
3. Pseudo-Cleft (T - Pseudo-Cleft)
4. Relativization of Matrix S (T - Relat₁) oblig.
5. Nominalisation of Na' Comp. (T - Relat₂) opt.
6. Reverse Cleft (T - Reverse Cleft) opt.
7. Ya' to 0 Conversion (Morphophonemic)
Before we go on to see how many of the above T-rules are applicable in the generation of the Object NP complements given in paradigm (17), let us, first, give more examples of Nà Subject NP complement and their derivational history, the aim being to show how the generation of various types of Subject NP complements results in the application of additional rules.

19(a) \[
\text{Ya' } \left[ \text{s nà yà ẹzūhii ohi} \right] \text{were ẹnya (Base)}
\]

(b) \[
\text{Ya' were ẹnya nà yà ẹzūhii ohi (By T-Extrap)}
\]

(c) \[
\text{Ọ were ẹnya nà o ẹzūhii ohi. (By Ya to 0 applying to It is obvious that he did not steal. both Matrix & Compl. structures)}
\]

(d) \[
\text{Ọ were ẹnya nà o ẹzūhii ohi. (By Phonological rules)}
\]

19(d) is a grammatical sentence of Igbo.

Now starting with 19(b) and applying Pseuds-cleft, we can derive 19(h) through the following stages:

19(a) \[
\text{hwe' were ẹnya wụ nà yà ẹzūhii ohi (By opt. Pseuds Cleft).}
\]

(f) \[
\text{hwe' were ẹnya wụ nà yà ẹzūhii ohi(By T-Relat. oblig.)}
\]

(g) \[
\text{hwe' were anya wụ nà o ẹzūhii ohi. (by T-Ya' to 0) (oblig).}
\]

(h) \[
\text{hwe' were anya wụ nà o ẹzūhii ohi. (By Phonological rules).}
\]

What is obvious is that he did not steal. 19(h) is a well-formed Igbo sentence.

From 19(h) we derive 19(j) through the following stages:

(i) \[
\text{by the application of the Object NP Movement rule given on page 267 we get 19(i) from 19(h).}
\]

19(i) \[
\text{hwe' were anya wụ o ẹzūhii}
\]

19(i) is not well-formed and therefore unacceptable in the dialect being described. To it we must apply Rule 3(a) of the Rules of Relativization.

The Nà aux attachment rule, in order to derive 19(j)

These rules are given as follows:
(a) **NA' AUX. ATTACHMENT**  -  (Morphophonemic)

SD : NP COPULA [NP [NP [NP VERB] NP] NP]  
1 2 3 4 5

Process : attach the Na aux. element as the left sister of 5.

Condition: obligatory, and 5 must be a Negative Verb form.

SC : Either 1 2 3 4 Na' 5

Or 1 2 3 Na 45 if 4 is the monosyllabic Pronoun O or A.

The output to this rule is 19(j), which is provided for by the above structural change.

19(j) ḥwařwere anya wū ohi ḍa na ṭuññi

thing which is obvious is theft which he did not steal:

What is obvious is the fact that he did not commit any theft.

Now, suppose that our underlying verb in the above rule were in the Perfect form, rather than in the Negative. In that case, we would apply the -rV - INFIXING rule as a second alternative to the Na' aux. attachment. Because this -rV - infixing rule presupposes that the rule of Affix Hopping, that is, the rule which organises the constituents of the verb, has not yet applied, we provide for it in the following rule:

(b) **The -rV - INFIXING RULE**  (Morphophonemic)

SD : NP COPULA NP [NP [NP Prefix + V + LA] NP]  
1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Process: Either attach Na as the left sister of 5 6 7 verb

Or insert -rə - as infix before 6 and 7 and delete 5

if the preceding NP is not/0./

Condition: The above rule is obligatory, and the verb must be in the Perfect Form.
The application of this rule yields sentences such as 19(k) in which the verb is in the Perfect form:

19(k) ọhụ were anya ụzọ ọhụ n'ọ ụzọne
      { o ụzọne
         o ụzọne

Note that if the NP of the relativized clause were any nominal rather than the third person pronoun, 0, or the second person I only two variants would be possible as 19(l) shows:

19(l) ọhụ were anya ụzọ ọhụ
      { Ogu n'ọ ụzọne
         Ogu ụzọne

What is obvious is the fact that Ogu has stolen.

To the above 19(k) and (l) and sentences of their type, the optional rule of Reverse Cleft (see page 37) can apply to yield sentences such as 19(m) and (n):

19(m) Ohi n'ọ ụzọne
      { o ụzọne
         Ogu ụzọne

The theft which he has committed is what is obvious.

19(n) Ohi
      { Ogu n'ọ ụzọne
         Ogu ụzọne

The theft which Ogu has committed is what is obvious.

A brief discussion of these rules is necessary here since the derivation of sentences like 19(j-n) introduces some new elements in the structural index of the Nà complement Nominalisation rules. First, we must not confuse the Nà auxiliary element with the Nà complementizer; although they are featurally related, they are, nevertheless, distinct in their syntactic behaviour. A detailed discussion of the Nà's in Igbo and their relationship with one another has been given in 10.4.0-10.4.5. It was also pointed out (cf 2.4.5) that in all Relative Clauses, whose verbs are in the negative, the Nà Aux. element is obligatory. It is facts such as these which the foregoing rules are designed to capture.
Secondly, with these two rules - Na Aux attachment and -rV - INFIXING - now given, we have completed the list of rules necessary for characterising Igbo relative clause formation. As we have previously pointed out, (cf p.264), these rules are consequent on a prior application of Relative Deletion to an underlying relative structure, thus:

1. Relative Deletion (T-rule) (obligatory)
2. Object NP Movement
3. (a) Either Na Aux attachment
   (b) Or -rV - INFIXING
4. Tone Rules - Phonological rules

These rules are intrinsically ordered, since the application of one creates an input structure for the application of the other. Whenever we talk of Relativization with regard to Igbo complementation, we shall specify which of the above set of rules is or are applicable. Bearing in mind that Relative Deletion never applies in the relativization of Igbo NP complements, for reasons which we have given on pages 264-6, we should point out that only rules 2-4 above are relevant here in NP complementation, and from the derivational history of sentences such as 19(j-1), all the rules 2-4 are needed for the following reasons:

(a) the NP to be qualified is in object position and therefore needs the object NP Movement rule which is the Igbo equivalent of the WH-Movement rule in English

(b) the verbs involved in the Na complements are either in the Negative or in the Perfect form, hence the need for either Rule 3(a) or (b);

(c) the Tone-rules are needed in relative clause formation to ensure the right tonal relationship among the constituents of the relative clause. This subject is fully discussed in 3.6(p.155ff ).
5.1.1 Object NP-Complementation

In 5.1. p. 257, we gave the following paradigm of object NP complements:

20(a) Ọgu anụna na ha byara abya

Ogu has heard that they did come.

(b) ṣẹna ṣẹna (Ọgu nuruna) wu na ha byara abya

What Ogu has heard is that they did come.

(c) ṣẹna ṣẹna (Ọgu nuruna) wu obiyaya ha byara

What Ogu has heard is coming they came.

(d) Obiyaya ha byara wu ṣẹna (Ọgu nuruna)

The fact that they came is what Ogu has heard.

The paradigm 20(a)-(d) derive from the following underlying structure - 21.

21. Ọgu anụna yá [na ha byara abya] ụnụ [na ha byara abya]

20(a) derives from 21 by the application of the ya Deletion transformation. But as has been pointed out in 5.1.0, the early application of this rule can only yield sentences of the 20(a), and not any of the other types in 20(b)-(d). For this reason, we move on to show how these other variants can be derived from the same 21.

The underlying form 21 is not subject to ETRAPOSITION, since the NP complement is already in object position. Yet the transformations which produce 20(b-d) from 21 depend crucially on a sentence-initial Ya proform, a fact which necessitates the following movement transformation called Ya Movement rule.
THE YA MOVEMENT RULE

SD : NP VERB \[ \text{YA} \quad \text{Comp} \quad S \] NP

\[ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \]

Process : Move 3 to sentence-initial position.

SC : 3 1 2 4 5.

The output of this rule is 21(a), which is not well-formed.

21(a) Ya Ogu anunya na ha byara abya.

From 21(a) we derive 21(b) by an obligatory application of Pseudo-Cleft, which, with Subject NP complements is an optional rule. (see 5.1.0: 261).

21(b) ñwe Ogu anunya wu na ha byara abya.

Now 21(b) will obligatorily undergo the rule of Relativization - Relat before it can become well-formed. The specific rule of Relativization relevant here are Rules 3 and 4 - that is, the Ne'aux or the -r - INFIXING and Relative clause Tone pattern rules respectively (see 5.1.0: 272).

The sequential application of these two rules yields the sentence 21(c) or 21(d).

21(c) ñwe Ogu na anunya wu na ha byara abya.

21(d) ñwe Ogu nỳrunya wu na ha byara abya.

Both 21(c) and (d), are the same as 20(b).

It is necessary to point out that the same intrinsic order is very much in evidence here. For example, the Ya movement rule creates an output structure to which Pseuds-Cleft is obligatory, and as we have seen in the previous section, relativization is both obligatory and contingent on a prior application of the Pseuds-Cleft rule.

Optionally, Nominalisation may apply to 21(c) or (d) to yield 21(e). But nominalisation in this case will proceed in two stages, viz: first a movement rule like that already given in 5.1.0. p. 267, and the second, a lexical substitution transformation:
The Verb-Complement Movement Rule

\[ \text{SD} : \text{hwé Ogu nýrúna wú na ha byára abýa} \]

\[ \text{N NP Verb copula comp NP verb compl.} \]

\[ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \]

Process: (a) attach 8 as the right sister of 4
(b) delete 5

\[ \text{SC} : \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 8 \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad \rightarrow \quad 21(e) \]

But 21(e) is not a well-formed Igbo sentence because the item abýa is a verb complement which has no existence independent of the intransitive verb to which it is bound in structure. This output would have been perfectly well-formed if 7 in the above structural description were a transitive verb, in which case its noun object would be moved into a position where it becomes the head of the resultant output which is a relative clause. The following lexical substitution rule is therefore necessary to ensure the well-formedness of 21(e).

The Lexical Substitution Rule

\[ \text{SD} : \text{hwé Ogu nýrúna wú abýa ha byára} \]

\[ \text{N NP Verb copula compl. NP Verb} \]

\[ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7 \]

Process: Substitute an appropriate derived nominal for the verb-complement, 5.

\[ \text{SC} : \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad \left[ \text{obyibýa} \right] \quad 6 \quad 7 \quad \rightarrow \quad 21(f) \]

10 cf with the verb-complement string given by the Ps-rule 4 in 4.2.
Two types of verb-complement need be recognised in Igbo:

(a) free verb complements such as those given in 4.2, these are lexical items of Igbo which merely specify the meaning of neutral verbs (cf footnote 11, 4.2 p.205).

(b) bound verb-complement after intransitive verbs such as the underlined in the following examples:

i) o nwúrú anwú' .  He died.
ii) o jhere ejhe'.  He did go
iii) o lqó oló' .  He did return.
21(f) ḅwe Ogu nụrụna ụpụ ọbyịya ịba byara.

thing which Ogu has heard is coming which they came:

What Ogu has heard is the fact that they came.

By applying the optional rule of Reverse Cleft, we derive 21(g) from 21(f).

21(g) Ọbyịya ịba byara ụpụ ḅwe Ogu nụrụna.

The fact that they came is what Ogu has heard.

We must point out that the details of Nominalisation in Igbo are by no means clear yet, since this syntactic process has not been studied in the language. The rules given in this chapter with regard to Nominalisation must be seen as tentative. It seems that there are some semantic constraints on Nominalisation which are not yet clear to us; for example, some matrix verbs do not permit the nominalisation of their sentential complements, while factive verbs generally do so, and the output of such a process is a relative clause. We shall take up the Factive - Non-factive distinction in 5.1.2.

From the foregoing examination of the process of object NP complementation, we establish the following set of intrinsically order rules:

Either

1. Ya Deletion (T-Ya - Del. oblig.)
2. Ya Movement (T-Ya movement) (opt.)
If 2, then
3. Pseudo Cleft (obligatory here, but optional with Subject NP complements)
4. Relativization of Matrix S (T-Relat₁) obligatory
5. " " Complement S (T-Relat₂ optional)
6. Reverse Cleft (optional)

A comparison of this set of rules with that given in 5.1.0: 370 shows some interesting similarities as well as differences. It will be observed from either set that we begin with a choice, an Either - Or - situation. In either case, the first option leads to a derivational blind alley, whereas the second choice opens the way to the generation of other semantically related sentences. It is interesting to note what the Ya movement
rule does for object complements: It moves Ya to such a structural position as to make the derivation of other members of the paradigm 17(a-c) and 20(a-d) possible; in other words, such a movement transformation results in a derived structure similar to the Deep structure of subject NP complements. Coming as it does, as the second of the set of rules, it means that subject NP complements are marked for Extra-position, while Object NP complements meet the structural description for Ya movement.

While Pseude Cleft is an optional rule for Subject complements, it is obligatory for Object complements. After these few differences and similarities, the two sets of rules fell together in their order and conditions of application. We, therefore, set up the following intrinsically ordered rules for both subject and object Na complements in Igbo:

Either (1) Ya Deletion (T-Ya Del) (oblig for Subj NP Compl)

Or (2) Extrapolation (T-Extrap) opt. for subject NP compl.
(3) Ya Movement (T-Ya move opt. for object compl.)
(4) Pseudo Cleft (T-Psuedo Cleft (opt. for subj. NP compl.)

(5) Relativization of Matrix S (T-Relat\_1 oblig)
(6) Nominalisation of Na Compl. (T-Relat\_2 opt)
(7) Reverse cleft (T-Reverse Cleft opt)
(8) Ya to 0 conversion (T-Ya to 0 oblig)

§1.2 The Parameters of NP complements: The Factive and Non-factive distinction.

The Kipersky's (1971) provide a set of syntactic evidence in support of the above distinction for English viz:

(i) Complement-taking predicates divide on semantic grounds into factives and non-factives, and on the strength of this, they assign two distinct base structures to explain the syntactic differences between these two classes.

(ii) The S-ing complementizer occurs only with factive predicates,
and is the result of a transformation which applies only to factives, and not, as Rosenbaum (1967) had assumed, of a Complementizer Placement transformation.

(iii) Although some to + infinitive constructions originate from underlying for-to complements by the deletion of for, this is not the only source of such constructions in English. A lot of to + infinitive constructions are the output of Equi-NP deletion or Subject-Raising.

(iv) The distinction between for-to and that complements reflects the semantic differences between Emotive and Non-Emotive predicates.

The factive and non-factive distinction is a semantic one, though it has its syntactic reflexes: Factive predicates entail the truth of their complements, while non-factives do not. Among the predicates which take object complements, regret and resent are factive, while believe and say are non-factive. Thus, whereas the complements in 22 are presupposed to be true, those in 23 are not.

22(a) I regret that it is raining at this time.
(b) I resent the fact that he is so rude to me.

23(a) I believe that he is guilty of murder.
(b) He says that he has been to his doctor today.

Since this semantic difference is associated with syntactic differences, the Kiparsky's propose to account for these facts by means of two distinct base structures, 24(a) for factive and 24(b) for non-factive complements.

As the Kiparsky's further point out, the factive/non-factive distinction is not the only valid one that can be made. There are also what they describe as emotive and non-emotive verbs, a distinction which cuts across the factive/non-factive one since some emotive verbs are also factive.
Emotive verbs "include in general all predicates which express the subjective value of a proposition, rather than knowledge about it or its truth value", (Paul and Carol Kiparsky 1971 p.363). In view of the fact that such emotive verbs as embarrass and annoy are factive and therefore entail the truth of their complement, the Kiparsky's description of emotives is not strictly true. Emotive predicates are discussed in section 5.1.3.

How do all these distinctions apply to the Igbo language? In order to answer this question, we need to point out that of all the categories of NP-complements which our investigation has revealed, it is only the Na'-complements which can be factive if their matrix predicates are factive. The other three categories - the Interrogative, the Subjunctive and Imperative complements - are all associated with non-factive predicates. This semantic compatibility is to be expected from the fact that, apart from Na'-complements, the other categories lack a propositional contents, in other words, none of them makes any explicit claim, be it true or false, about the truth value of their respective complements. For example, Interrogative complements ask for information, the subjunctives express desires et cetera, and Imperatives issue orders.

If the Na complement is the only category which may be factive, how does one distinguish a factive Na complement from a non-factive one? For one thing there is no such Igbo expression as the fact that, which in English serves to distinguish factive from non-factive complements in base structure (cf 24(a) & (b)).

Nevertheless, this necessary distinction is possible in Igbo since lexical items form part of the deep structure of any language. Because factive predicates will have as part of their lexical entries the feature specification [+ fact], this provides the information as to factivity or absence of it, though not in a configurational way as in English.
In order to discover the syntactic evidence in support of the factive/non-factive distinction in Igbo, let us start by examining the following predicates in 25 and the complements which come after them.

25.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicate</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iwuete</td>
<td>to bother, worry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ime ihwere</td>
<td>shame, embarrass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ikpa yhwa</td>
<td>be pleasant, delight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ito obi uto</td>
<td>give joy, pleasure, delight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>igbagwoju anya</td>
<td>confuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ime n'anya</td>
<td>happen in the presence of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iwe anya</td>
<td>be obvious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iwe iwe</td>
<td>annoy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicate</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ime</td>
<td>know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imata</td>
<td>recognise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>icofuta</td>
<td>discover, find out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>izhi</td>
<td>show, demonstrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>igoshi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>icete</td>
<td>remember, recollect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(25) and (26) above represent two sample lists of factive predicates: Those in (25) take sentential subjects, while those in (26) take sentential object complements. For each of the predicates in (25) the following types of sentence 27-28 are possible:

27(a) Na `era gbara ya wutere m.

That madness ran him/her pained me. That he/she went mad pained me.

27(b) A're gbara ya wutere m.

Madness which ran him/her pained me. The fact that she/he went mad pained me.

28(a) Na dimkpé a turú ashi ga emé ihwere

That responsible man this told lie will be shameful
That this responsible man lied will be shameful.
28(b)  Así dirakpa, a ṭuru ga eme ihwa rè.

Lie which responsible person this told will cause shame:

The fact that this responsible man told a lie will be shameful.

The transformational processes involved in deriving 27-28(a & b) have been discussed in 5.1.0 and 5.1.1. The T-rule responsible for 27(b) and 28(b) is what we have characterised as Nominalisation of Na' complements (T-Relat; page 267ff).

With the verbs of 26, which take object complements, the situation is not as clear cut as it is with those of 25. The reason is that some of these verbs require the item maka in their relativised Na' complements, while some do not. For example, the Epistemic verbs -

- imá  "to know
- imátà  "recognise, realise

behave in this way, as 29(a) and (b) show:

29(a) ONYE ỌWULÁ MA E Ọ WU ONYE OHI.  
Everybody knows that he/sh is a thief.

(b) ONYE ỌWULÁ MA MÁKA ONYE OHI O WU.  
Everybody knows about the fact of his being a thief.

The verb ọcọfụta behaves like the epistemic verbs, too, in requiring an obligatory maka in the relativized form of its Na' complement. But other verbs in the group do not do this, their complements relativize like the complements of the predicates in 25 thus:

30(a) OGÜ EÇETÉLE NÀ NWUG'O kWÁRA IKHO.  
Ogu has remembered that Nwug'o committed adultery.

(b) OGÜ EÇETÉLE IKHO NWUG'O KWÁRA.  
Ogu has recalled the fact that Nwug'o committed adultery.

Now contrast the well-formedness of the foregoing 27(b), 28(b), 29(b) and 30(b) with the deviance of the following 31(b), 32(b), 33(b), & 34(b) in which the Na' complements have been relativized:
31(a) Anyị gütara nà Ogu wụ onye ụma.  
    We read that Ogu is a traitor.
    (b) *Anyị gütara maka onye ụma Ogu wụ.

32(a) Anyị kwere na Ibe mɛryụ ɛla.  
    We believe that Ibe defiled the land.
    (b) *Anyị kwere ɛla Ibe mɛryụ.

33(a) O kwụru na iwu nde bɛkkɛ shiri ikhe.  
    He said that law of white people is strict.
    He said that the whiteman's laws are strict.
    (b) *O kwụru iwu nde bɛkkɛ shiri ikhe.

34(a) Anyị wεrɛ ya na ɛma ya bɛra uba.  
    Let us take it that his father is rich.
    (b) *Anyị wεrɛ ɛma ya bɛra uba.

The deviance of the starred sentences is due to the fact that their matrix predicates:  
    igụta  to read from  
    ikwe  " believe  
    ikwụ  " say  
    iwe  " take

are not factive verbs. Not being factive, these verbs block the relativization of their Na complements. From this fact emerges the first syntactic characteristics of factive predicate complements:

(i) Only factive predicates allow the relativization of their Na complements to form factive relative clauses; non-factive predicates block the application of this rule. Such factive relative clauses are the Igbo equivalent of the English expression 'the fact that'.

11 There are some verbs, such as inụ - to hear, which take object complements and allow the relativization of these complements, although they could not be described as factive in the sense defined here on page 280. Karttunen (1970) argues that "the simple factive/non-factive dichotomy is inadequate to cover all the facts, and that it is necessary to recognise a class of semi-factives! What seems to happen is that there is a class of patently factive verbs, and another class of obviously non-factive verbs, and in between these two classes, there are some verbs which tend to share the qualities of both classes."
In Igbo, or at least in the dialect being described here, the popular method of indicating that the proposition of a Na complement is an accomplished fact is by the use of factive relative clauses transforms, especially with predicates such as those of 25 which take sentential subjects. Thus, one will hear sentences of the following type rather than their Na complement counterparts:

35(a) Ezhi umụ m ọzụ tọọ m obi utọ.
Compound my children swept gladdened me heart glad.
The fact that my children swept the compound pleased me.

(b) Ariryo o ryogha na abya m iwe.
Begging he is begging annoys me annoyance.
The fact that he is begging annoys me.

(c) Ezhi okwu o kwụru tụrụ m'adụ n'ihe n'anya.
Truth he spoke struck everybody in eye:
The fact that he told the truth surprised everybody.

The second characteristic of factives will emerge from an examination of the following predicates and their complements in 37:

36. imewe anya to convince
   ikuzhi to teach
   ọgoshi to show, demonstrate
   izhi to reveal, show
   ifuta to mean

37(a) Na Ogu go goro ago ọmewele ọanyị anya na ọ wuhii
That Ogu denied has convinced us that he is not
ọnyị na a tụkwasa obi.
person that one places heart on.
That Ogu denied has convinced us that he is not a person to be trusted.
37(b) Na ye rụrụ a la a ga akúzhiri unu na that he defiled land this will teach you that o dighi hwe na o mечеfu. not it does/exist thing which he cannot do: The fact that he committed this abomination will teach you that there is nothing he cannot do.

(c) Na nwaanyi a gaghakwa ahya du gbuo egòshìla That woman this is still going market reach now has shown na o gbashiri ikhe. that she is strong:

That this woman still trades till now has shown that she is strong.

(d) Na ha kwuru okwu di otho o futara na That they said word which is like this means that ha coro mmazhi. they want reconciliation:

That they said such words means that they want reconciliation.

Each of the examples of (37) has a sentential subject and a sentential object, and for each of the subject\textsuperscript{12} complements there is a corresponding factive relative clause of the type shown in 35. It has been observed (The Kiparsky’s 1971) that all two-place predicates in English taking subject complements are factive, the same seems to be true of Igbo, as 36 and 37 show. From these examples, we arrive at the second differentiating quality of factives:

(ii) Only factive predicates may take a sentential subject followed by a sentential object.

\textsuperscript{12} We observed on page that whereas all subject NP complements which are factive are nominalisable to yield factive relative clauses, factive object complements are not always so nominalisable, and that some of them, if nominalised require a transformationally inserted preposition maka. The same constraint is at work here with those factive predicates which can take a sentential subject followed by another sentential object.
We have pointed out that EXTRAPOSITION is an optional rule for all factive complements in subject position, but this is not the case with all non-factive complements in the same structural position, hence the third test for the factive/non-factive dichotomy:

(iii) whereas EXTRAPOSITION is an optional rule for all subject factive complements, it is obligatory for some non-factive ones.

The two verbs that easily come to mind are

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \text{id}^\text{i} & \quad (\text{ka}) \} \\
\{ \text{in} & \\n\text{u} & \quad (\text{na}) \}
\end{align*}
\]

seem, be likely, resemble,

in the following examples:

38(a) Ya' ka' Ngozi ga' alo' n'og'e di (m). (Base)
39(a) Ya' na' Ogu' jhere ejhe' nu (m). (Base)

If EXTRAPOSITION were optional with these two verbs, one would expect the alternative rule of ya' Deletion to produce grammatical sentences. But this is not the case, as the deviance of 38(b) and 39(b) shows:

38(b) *Ka' Ngozi ga' alo' n'og'e di m'.
39(b) *Na' Ogu' jhere ejhe' nu m.

But the application of EXTRAPOSITION to the Base structure 38(a) and 39(a) will produce the well-formed sentences 38(d) and 39(d) through 38(c) and 39(c):

38(c) Ya' di m ka' Ngozi ga' alo' n'og'e. (By oblig. EXTRAP)
38(d) 0 di m ka Ngozi ga' alo' n'og'e.

It seems to me that Ngozi will return in time.

39(c) Ya' nu' m na' Ogu' jhere ejhe'. (By oblig. EXTRAP)
39(d) 0 nu' m na' Ogu' jhere ejhe'. (By Ya to 0 Conversion)

It seems to me that Ogu did go.

13 The problem with these two verbs is that it is not clear whether they should be respectively cited along with ka and na as some sort of verb particle, or without them. The disquieting aspect of such a citation form is that it has no other parallel elsewhere in the Language, moreover the concept of verb particle seems foreign to Igbo. For the mean time, we leave the question open.
These same verbs which are marked for obligatory EXTRAPOSITION block the rule of Pseudo Cleft, and they are among the few predicates in Igbo whose complements are subject to the optional rule of Subject-Raising in the language, (cf 5.3.0 for a fuller discussion of RAISING).

Fourthly, only Factive Na complements (which seem to be generally in Subject position) allow the derivation of what we choose to describe as (Factive) Gerunds as opposed to Infinitival nominals which are non Factive. We take up the above distinction in the immediately following section 5.1.3. As evidence in support of this assertion, consider the following:

40(a) Na ọ wọghakwa nwá ya iři du gbuo  zhirí nà that she is still denying child her food reach now show that obì tara ya' akhy.
heart eat her kernel:
That she has continued to deny her child meals shows that she is very unfeeling.

(b) Iři ọ wọghakwa nwá ya du gbuo zhirí nà obì tara ya' akhy (by Relat2)
The food which she has continued to deny her child shows that she is very unfeeling.

(c) ọwá nwá ya iři du gbuo  zhirí nà obì tara Refusing his child food up till now shows that she is very unfeeling.

41(a) Na Uche céduru... nwie ya afọ iři mewere m' That Uche waited for wife his years ten convinced me ènyà nà ọ nwere ǹdidi. that he has patience.
That Uche waited ten years for his wife convinced me that he is patient.
41(b) Nwíe ya Uc’e céduru  afọ irí m’ewere m’anya

His wife that Uche waited for ten years convinced me
nà o nwere  ndidi.

that he is patient.

(c) Icedu nwíe ya afọ irí m’ewere m’anya

Waiting for his wife for ten years convinced me
nà Uc’e nwere  ndidi.

that Uche is patient.

Each of the above sentences is grammatical; 40(c) and 41(c) derive from 40(b) and 41(b) respectively via a transformation rule (details of which are not clear) which derives Factive Gerunds from Factive Na complements; the types of Na complements involved being Subject NP complements. Observe that the relative clauses have been optionally deleted in either case. These forms (called I + verb stem forms) can only have factive interpretation if they derive from a factive Na complements.

Contrast, for example, the foregoing 40(c) and 41(c) with the following 42(a):

42(a) Inye ndi okhe m’adhù nsopuru di mkpa.

\{ To give respect to the elders is important. \\
Respecting

42(a) lacks a factive interpretation; it merely expresses an obligation, and is transformationally related to 42(b).

42(b) Na  anyi’ ga  enye nde okhe m’adhù nsopuru di mkpa.

That we should give elders respect is important. Although 42(b) is an example of Na complement, it differs from the previous examples in the following way

(i) the predicate involved is not a factive one and this is further borne out by

(ii) the use of the modal ga for expressing obligation.
Concluding summary:

In this short section, we have brought syntactic evidence to show that the Factive-Non Factive distinction is valid for the Igbo Language on the following syntactic grounds:

(a) Factive predicates generally permit the optional relativization of their Na' complements to form Factive relative clauses, and it is these Factive relatives which correspond to the English expression - the fact that - ; Non-factive complements block this optional rule.

(b) Only factive predicates may have a sentential subject and a sentential object; when this happens, the Ya\textsuperscript{'} deletion rule, if applied early in the derivation, does not necessary result in a derivational cul de sac since it is possible, with Factive Na' complements, to derive Factive Relative Clauses even after the deletion of Ya'. With non-factive complements on the other hand, an early deletion of ya' makes the derivation of other semantically related sentences difficult, if not impossible.

(c) Although the rule of Extraposition from NP is optional for all Factive Complements, it is obligatory for at least a handful of Non-Factive predicates.

(d) Only Factive complements can be reduced to (Factive) Gerunds by a rule (as yet unclear) of Gerund formation in Igbo. The distinction between Gerunds and Infinitives are made first on syntactic grounds, and then supported with the semantic interpretation of the homonyms involved.

(e) Whereas a handful of non-factive predicates are subject to the rule of Subject-Raising (cf 5.3.0), no factive predicate is subject to the same rule.
Let us now consider the next category of complement-taking predicates, as the syntactic characteristics of members of this category provide additional support for our analysis.

5.1.3. Emotive Predicates

In 5.1.2, we argued that 42(a) is semantically and transformationally related to 42(b) and that such Na complements are not introduced by factive predicates. However, the key factor in sentences such as 42 is not factivity since some emotive predicates are also factive (see page 289), but emotivity.

Having argued for the Factive/Non-factive distinction in section 5.1.2., let us now examine the Emotive/Non-emotive dichotomy. In view of the fact that some emotive verbs are factive, the definition of emotive predicates given by the Kiparskies as "all predicates which express the subjective value of a proposition rather than knowledge about it or its truth value" ought to be modified for Igbo and English as follows "all predicates which express the subjective value of a proposition, which may or may not include knowledge about it or its truth value."

The case we shall present in this and the following sections is as follows:

(i) Igbo is full of constructions such as 45-49.
(ii) These sentences and others like them are associated with emotive predicates.
(iii) The emotive predicates involved are all one-place predicates taking sentential subjects as argument. These sentential NP subjects are either the antecedent of a conditional construction (hence conditional clause) or ñbo-headed complex NP's, these two clause types lack any truth value.
(iv) Factors (i)-(iii) are not random or due to chance, we therefore make the claim that emotivity and the lack of any claim about the truth value of such subject complements constitute both necessary and sufficient conditions for the derivation of Igbo infinitive complements which are the equivalent of English for-to complements.
In support of this hypothesis, we shall show that other non-factive verbs which are not also emotive do not take infinitive complements as subject.

Let us now consider the following list of verbs and the constructions in which they occur in 45-49.

43. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Infinitival Construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>idi mma</td>
<td>- be good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nja</td>
<td>be good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mkpa</td>
<td>important, necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inye aka</td>
<td>be helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iwu ehihi</td>
<td>ominous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ito-shi (etoshi)</td>
<td>appropriate, worthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ikweshi (ekweshi)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

44. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Infinitival Construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>idi mfe</td>
<td>- be easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iro ahu</td>
<td>difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iwu mme mka-ahu</td>
<td>trouble, problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inye nsogbu</td>
<td>the worry, trouble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iwu ishi okwu</td>
<td>crux of the matter, the problem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

45(a) Dimkpa imewa onwe ya n’ochi jo’ghuru onwe yaa.

For a responsible man to disgrace himself in public is very bad.

(b) Ifuru ha ashi di m mma.

To lie to them is acceptable to me.

(c) Madu iwu ewi n’ehihe wu ehihi.

For a man to see the giant rat in broad daylight is a bad omen.

---

14 There are two possible ways of analysing the underlined infinitival constructions: the first method, which is the easier of the two, is to see them as nominals which derive from the verb through the prefixation of the harmonising I. This analysis equates each of the infinitives to such English nominals as dancing in 'Dancing is a form of entertainment'. We are not interested in this type of analysis; though it is possible, it can only explain half the truth. The second alternative is the one relevant here - this is the analysis which links these surface infinitives with some underlying conditional construction, an analysis which is examined and justified in the following 5.2.0.
For a child to talk very much is bad.

To pay tax is appropriate.

To eat food in time gives hand.

Eating regularly is good for the body.

From our analysis of the Igbo language, there can only be one type of sentential source from which 45(a-f) are derived: this is the antecedent of a conditional construction, especially the generalised conditional construction of the 46 type:

46(a) It is very bad if a responsible person should disgrace himself in public.

46(b) It would please me if one told them a lie.

46(c) It is a bad omen for a person to see a giant rat in broad day-light.

46(d) It is bad for a child to talk very much.

46(e) It is appropriate for one to pay tax.

46(f) It does help one's health for one to eat regularly.

The claim we are making here and which we shall substantiate in the following section 5.2.0 is that 45 and 46 are transformationally related and derive from a base structure of the following type in which the predicate of the consequent is emotive, thus:
The second type of subject infinitival complements with emotive predicates is illustrated by 47(a)-(e)

47(a) Ịrucha ọrụ ọ ga ara ọnyị ahu.
To finish this piece of work will be difficult for us.

(b) Ịmechilahu uzọ ọ wụkwani ishi okwu
To close afterwards this door is really head of matter.
Re-closing this door is the real problem.

(c) Ig'idhe ya wụ mmekpị ạhu unu.
Catching it is your headache.

(d) Icota uzọ ębe ke ịhi adịhị mfe.
Tracing the way to their home is not easy.

(e) Ipochi ọla ke ọ nyere ọsọgbụ.
Refilling hole this gives trouble.
Refilling this hole is a problem.

What are the most likely paraphrases to 47(a-e)? It would be far-fetched to relate any of the above to conditional constructions of any type, since there is a wide gulf-syntactic and semantic-between these on the one hand and conditional constructions on the other. The proposition expressed in them is not conditional, but one that is associated with clauses beginning with 'how' or otho (manner) in Igbo. We therefore propose the following paraphrases for 47(a-e):

48(a) Otho anyị ga eji ịrucha ọrụ ọ ga ara ọnyị ahu.
Manner we shall employ finish work this will be for us difficult.
How we shall complete this piece of work is the difficulty.

(b) Otho anyị ga eji mechilahu uzọ ọ wụkwani ishi okwu.
How we shall reclose this door is really the problem.
These paraphrases 48(a-e) match perfectly well the meanings expressed in 47(a-e). We therefore maintain that manner NP structures are the appropriate source of this subset of what has been described as Igbo Infinitives. In support of this claim, we cite the following additional examples:

49(a) Ọtho ę ji ejhe ahyā

Manner one uses going market

ijklē ahyā

How to go to market.

[g]{Going}

(b) Ọtho ę ji akpu ūzu

How to blacksmith

ijklpū ūzu

Blacksmithing

(c) Ọtho ę ji akhwa ǭzu

How to mourn the dead.

ijklwā ǭzu

Burial ceremony.

(d) Ọtho ę ji agba igwe

How to ride a bicycle.

ijklgbā igwe

Riding.

There is no doubt whatsoever about the transformational relationship existing between 47 and 48 as well as between members of 49 above. Infinitives, in so far as they can be shown to originate from a sentential source, are always associated with emotive predicates in general and, in particular, with
non-factive and non-indicative complements. By non-indicative complements we mean such conditional clauses (the antecedent clauses) and *otho*-headed (Manner) NPs as we have seen in the foregoing examples; other non-indicative complements also include such complement types as we have described in chapter 4 (4.1.1-4.1.5): The Interrogative *mà* Complements, the Imperative *si* complements and the Subjunctive *kà/mà* complements. As we have pointed out earlier on, none of these complement types makes any explicit claim about the truth or otherwise of its proposition. Only *Na* complements make such a claim, and this explains why infinitives are never associated with *Na* complements in Igbo, except in the very few cases like 50(a)-(b) where the emotive predicate *idì mkpa* 'be necessary' is involved and where the indicative mood has been cancelled by the use of the model auxiliary *ga* - 'should, must, ought.'

50(a) *Na* *ényé* ga *enye* ndi okhe *madhu* *n'ospuru* di *mkpa*.
That we should give the elder people respect *di* *mkpa*.

is necessary: That we should respect the elders is necessary.

(b) *Inye* ndi okhe *madhu* *n'ospuru* *di* *mkpa*.
Respecting the elderly is necessary.

In view of the fact that chapter 6 (364-376) of this thesis is devoted to the Igbo equivalents of embedded English WH-Questions of which *otho*-headed Manner NP's are a subset, we defer further discussion of it till that chapter, while we take up conditional (the antecedent) clauses in emotive predicate complementation in the following section 5.2.0.

Briefly, what we have argued here is that infinitival complements are associated with emotive verbs and that their underlying sources are either of the following:

(a) the antecedent of generalised conditional constructions, or

(b) *Otho*-headed Manner NP's. Infinitive complements, including those
with ka/ma₁ Subjunctive complements (cf chapter 8), must be distinguished from factive nominals or gerunds such as are associated with factive relative clauses (cf p. 288-9). Although both infinitives and nominals have the same phonetic shape, their different origins and semantic interpretations justify the distinction we are making here.

5.2.0 Emotive Predicates with Conditional Clause Subject NP complements

At the moment, there is no detailed account of conditional construction in Igbo, except a more or less passing reference to them in Green and Igwe (1963 p. 78ff). This being the case, it is necessary here to give a brief analysis of this construction type in view of the fact that subsequent reference will be made to it in the rest of this section.

The Green and Igwe account of Conditional expressions in Igbo cites sentences of the following type:

51(a) Yar byala, {kọgi {akọgi} m.}

If/when he has come, (you) call me: Call me when he has come.

(b) Ọg'e méchee ngwa'ngwa, anyi agáwa faa.

If Og'e finishes quickly, we set out early.

(c) Ọnye nwọ, e lie yà.

If person dies one buries him: Anyone who dies will be buried.

Sentences of the above type represent but one subset of conditional constructions in Igbo - what we describe as the Open Condition with a dependency relation between the conditional clause (the antecedent) and the main clause (the consequent). However, any analysis of conditional constructions in Igbo which is limited to the above data tells but half the story, since there are two distinct types of conditional expressions in the language, viz:

(a) the Open Condition, and

(b) the Unfulfilled Condition.

In order to appreciate the form of 51 sentences and their relationship
with 52 it is necessary to give the full forms thus:

52(a) \( A \text{ si} \text{ na} \) yá bya, agà m añwú yá.
(b) \( Ya \text{ wuru} \text{ ma} \)

If one says: If it be that he comes, I shall see him.

If he comes, I shall see him.

52(a) and (b) are exactly synonymous in spite of the formal difference between them: 52(a) is introduced by the matrix sentence \( A \text{ si} (i) \), while (b) is introduced by \( Ya \text{ wuru} \). But this formal difference has no corresponding semantic difference, the two matrix sentences being frozen expressions which simply amount to the English conjunction 'if'. In both examples, the two verbs involved are complement-taking verbs - isi in the one case, and the copula īwú in the other.

It is, however, customary for the above 52(a) and (b) to be given in the form of 52(c) in which both the matrix sentence and the complementizer to the antecedent have been optionally deleted thus:

52(c) Ya bya, agà m añwú yá.

If he comes, I shall see him.

With the Open Conditional Construction, the deletion of the matrix sentence is always optional whatever tense of the verbs of the antecedent and consequent clauses. But the deletion of the complementizer ma, though optional, is determined by the tense of the verbs of the entire construction: the optional deletion of comp. in type 1 (open) conditional construction is subject to the constraint that the tense of both the antecedent and consequent clause verbs be simple present/future. 52(c) above with both the matrix sentence and the complementizer deleted is grammatical because the verbs of the construction satisfy the above tense constraint.

From the examples of conditional constructions given by Green and Igwe (1963, p. 78-83) and by Swift et.al (1962 p. 274-280), it has been
wrongly inferred that the conjunction ma is an optional element whenever the consequent is in sentence initial position in Igbo conditional constructions, but obligatory only if the consequent is preposed. What really happens is that the conjunction ma is generally deleted (more often than not) whenever the above tense constraints are met. The same conjunction cannot be deleted if the tense of the verbs in both the consequent and antecedent clauses is not the simple present or future.

Consider the following 53(a-k) as examples:

53(a) (Ya wuru) ma unu jhere ejhe, o magburu onwe ye.
   If it be that you went it be good kill self it is.
   If you went, it was very commendable.
(b) (Ya wuru) ma o kwule okwu ojoo, uk a emebiele.
   If it be that he has spoken words bad matter has spoilt
   If he has spoken in harsh term, the talk is ruined.
(c) (Ya wuru) ma unu agahii, unu ga mere onwe unu.
   If it be that you went not you did selves your.
   If you did not go, you have yourselves to blame.
(d) (Ya wuru) ma Ogu arubele ulo du thaa,
   If it be that Ogu has not built house reach today,
   O gahii iyu odo.
   he will not build another: If Ogu has not put up a
   building till now, he will not build any.
(e) (Ya wuru) ma erighe hi hwe, loghakwa.
   If it be that eating they thing, do come back.
   If they are having their meal, do come back.
(f) (Ya wuru ma) gi mechee ngwargwa, byakhwute m n’oju.
   If it be that you finish quickly come join me in farm.
   If you finish quickly, come and join me in the farm.
Observe from 53(a-e) that the matrix sentence Ya’s wuru is optional; secondly note that in (f) both the above matrix sentence and the following conjunction ma are optional because the tense of the relevant verbs is the simple present. By contrast, (g-i) which are respectively (f), (a) & (b) with the conjunction deleted are ill-formed. However the deviance is rectified in (j) & (k) (which are respectively (g) & (h) by the presence of the conjunction ma. From these data, it is obvious that the optional deletion of the deep-structure comp (what turns up in surface structure as a conjunction) is strictly governed by the tense of the relevant verbs.

There is, therefore, some syntactic motivation for establishing a transformational relationship between any pair of the examples 53(a-e) on the one hand and 53(j) & (k) on the other. While (j) & (k) can be accounted for in terms of the optional deletion of the antecedent-matrix sentence, forms such as (f), are related to the paradigm through the optional comp. Deletion rule which must be sensitive to the tense of the verbs in the conditional construction. Moreover, the paraphrase relationship between these examples is thus captured elegantly.

Observe also that only the Ya’s wuru matrix S has been consistently used throughout 53 for the simple reason that the other form, A s(i) does not co-occur with the open conditional constructions once any other than the simple present or future tense is involved.
But with Type 2 - the Improbable and Unfulfilled Conditional construction, the picture is different. To begin with, the only permissible matrix sentence is \( A \, si(i) \), and it is never deletable. Secondly, the verb of the consequent in this type 2 conditional construction is restricted to an unvarying form which corresponds to the English modal construction "... would (not) have been ...". There is also another formal difference - the presence of the function word \( \{ \text{ama} \} \) which is a kind of clause marker to the consequent. Consequently, there is very little, if any, formal link between the two types of conditional constructions, and no effort is being made here to derive both types from one underlying source. We give some examples of Type 2 below only to complete the picture because it is type 1 conditional construction that is relevant to emotive predicate complementation.

54(a) \( A \, si \, na \, \text{Ogu} \, nwuru \, anwu, \, nwie \, ya \, gaara \, \text{ama} \) .

If one were to say that Ogu died, his wife his would know:

If Ogu had died \( \{ \text{would know} \} \), his wife \( \{ \text{have known} \} \).

(b) \( A \, si \, na \, \text{ofe agbaala \, uka, \, I \, gaara \, any \, ishi \, ya} \)

If soup has gone sour, you would hear smell of it:

If the soup had gone sour, you would have smelt it.

(c) \( A \, si \, na \, miri \, edoole, \, \text{ama} \, ^{15} \) \( \{ \text{ama} \} \) \( \text{ala} \, eruruole \).

(d) \( \, \text{ala} \, gaara \, \{ \text{eruru} \} \, \text{aka} \, ruru \).

The meaning of \( \text{ama} \) is not clear; it seems tied up with the entire consequent clause meaning in this category of conditional construction. The function of the morpheme in the above examples is related to its use in the following expression in which an antecedent clause meaning is generally understood, thus:

\( ...... \, \text{ama} \, gi \, \text{aghukpoo ya} \, \text{anya}. \, \text{you would have blinded him. The} \)

The full form of the above example could be something like

\( A \, si \, na \, \text{i kpachahii \, anya, \, mm\, gi \, \text{aghukpoo \, ya} \, \text{anya}.} \)

If you had not been careful, you would have blinded him. The antecedent clause is generally omitted, being understood from the context. Note that English Pluperfect is achieved by a juxtaposition of Igbo Perfect and a clause introduced by \( \text{ama} \).
If rain has fallen, soil would have softened:
If it had rained, the soil would have become soft.

54(e) A si na ánwụ áchaala, o gaara mgbako agbakọ,
{ \{ mma ya agbakọla \} \}
{ \{ amọ \} \}

If sun has shone, it would have dried:
If it had shone, it would have dried.

(f) A si na mmehye ádii, mmehi agahiri idini.
If offence were not reconciliation would not be:
If there were no misunderstanding, there would be no need for reconciliation.

(g) *Ánwụ áchaala, o gaara mgbako.
{ \{ mma ya agbakọla \} \}

(h) *Ofe agbaala ñke, i gaara any ishi ya.

From the deviance of 54(g) & (h) in which the matrix sentence of (e) and (b) respectively has been deleted, it is obvious that in type 2 conditional construction, the matrix sentence to the antecedent is obligatory. The verb of the consequent clause is restricted to the form given in 54.

Having given a complete picture of Igbo conditional constructions, we leave conditional Type 2 alone and concentrate on the Open Conditional Type 1 which is immediately relevant to emotive predicate complementation. But before we do this, we shall give what we consider the deep structures underlying both types.

Observe, too, that throughout these examples, we have assumed as primitive the order of the clauses given as the antecedent (conditional clause) followed by the consequent (main clause). The assumption is arbitrary, and no crucial argument is based on it; it just happens to tally with the order of constituents in Predicate calculus.
We suggest the following deep structure (Fig. 5) for the antecedent of the Open Conditional Construction (Type 1) which is introduced by Ya wuru, the deep structure underlying the entire conditional construction, with an emotive verb as the matrix predicate, is shown in Fig. 7.
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Fig. 5
The above represents the deep structure of conditional constructions beginning with Ya wuru. To structures such as these, an obligatory rule - the Copula Movement rule - applies to produce 55 (a).

**The Copula Movement Rule (obligatory)**

5D : Cond - Ya - Comp S - Copula - Ya - Verb

$\text{[ma]}$ $\text{[wur]}$ $\text{[+ emot]}$

1 2 3 4 5 6

Process : Attach 4 as the right sister of 2.

5C : 1 2 + 4 3 5 6

The output of this obligatory rule is 55 (a)

55 (a) Ya wuru mä S, S

If it be that S, then S.

The second type of conditional construction introduced by the antecedent matrix sentence, A si na is an instance of Object-NP complement, and has the deep structure shown in the following Fig 6.
The above structure must undergo the Ya Deletion rule thus:

SC : 1 2 3 Ø 5 6

The output is 55 (b)

55 (b) ́si na S, S.

If one says that S, then S.

The presence of the node Cond(ition) serves as a trigger for these obligatory transformations.

The two transformations may seem ad hoc, and such a charge is inevitable in the absence of any previous transformational account of Igbo complementation in relation to Conditional constructions. However, it seems that if Conditional constructions are to be captured within the framework of NP complementation in the language, and this seems valid from the syntactic evidence at our disposal, then certain transformations must be made sensitive to the presence of the node Cond. in deep structure. Such T-rules are the obligatory Copula Movement and Ya Deletion rules.

From now onwards, we assume that a case has been made for the analysis of conditional clauses as an instance of NP complements.

In 5.1.3 (p.293ff), we pointed out that the Subject NP complements of emotive verbs may be conditional clauses. What we are going to argue in the following section is that the antecedent of Conditional Construction Type I functions as subject NP to emotive verbs. In other words, we mean to show that 56 (a) and (b) are transformationally related.

56 (a) Ya wuru ma dimkpa mevoo onwe ya

If it be that a responsible person disgrace self his
n' ôna, 0' jögbaru onwe ya.

in public it is bad kill self it: If a responsible person disgraces himself in public, it is very bad.
For a responsible person to disgrace himself in public jogburu onwe ya is very bad.

It could be argued that the relation between 56(a) & (b) is better left to semantic equivalence rules rather than captured transformationally. Before we counter this objection, let us first examine the transformational process involved since part of the justification for our analysis will emerge from such a process.

The deep structure of 56 is shown in Fig. 7 below – which represents the full underlying structure of Fig. 5 to which reference was made on page 303.
To the underlying structure represented by Fig. 7, the obligatory Copula Movement rule given on page 305 applies to produce 56 (c) thus:

\[
\text{SD : } \begin{array}{c}
\text{COND} \\
\text{N} \\
\text{Comp S} \\
\text{Copula} \\
\text{N} \\
\text{Verb}
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text{[ + pro ]} \\
\text{[ def ]} \\
\text{[ abs ]} \\
\text{[ loc ]} \\
\text{[ Ya' ]} \\
\text{[ wuru ]} \\
\text{[ + pro ]} \\
\text{[ def ]} \\
\text{[ abs ]} \\
\text{[ loc ]} \\
\text{[ Ya' ]}
\end{array}
\]

\[
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4 \\
5 \\
6
\]

Process: Attach 4 as the right sister of 2

\[
\text{SC : 1 2 + 4 3 } \emptyset 5 6 \quad \text{56 (c)}
\]

56 (c) Cond. Ya wuru ma dîmkpa mèvôp onue ya n'ôna, Ya jogburu onwe ya.

If the morphophonemic rule which converts Ya to 0 and appropriate phonological rules were to apply to 56 (c), the output would be the well-formed sentence 56 (a) on page 305. Note that the node, Cond. is deleted only after the application of the above rules, since its presence ensures that the first Ya is not affected by the rule of Ya to 0 conversion. In other words, Cond Ya never becomes Cond 0 by this rule as far as this dialect is concerned.

However, 56 (c) meets the structural description for the optional rule Antecedent Matrix S Deletion, thus:

The Antecedent Matrix S Deletion

\[
\text{SD: } \begin{array}{c}
\text{COND} \\
\text{N} \\
\text{Copula} \\
\text{comp NP} \\
\text{Verb}_1 (NP) \\
\text{s}_1 \\
\text{Verb}_2 \\
\text{s}_2 \\
\text{emot} \\
\text{s}_3
\end{array} \begin{array}{c}
\text{[ Ya' ]} \\
\text{[ Ya' ]} \\
\text{[ Ya' ]}
\end{array}
\]

\[
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4
\]

Process : Delete 2

Condition : The tense of Verb$_1$ & Verb$_2$ must be future/present.
SC: (1) ø 3 4

The output of the above rule is 56 (d)

56 (d) (Ma')dimkpa mëvo mop onwe ya ni'õha,

yá jogburu onwe ya.

Observe that the node COND. may be deleted along with the antecedent matrix sentence or later after all relevant T-rules have applied.

Now 56 (d) in its present form is subject to either of two optional rules, namely:

(a) Infinitivization or
(b) The Consequent-Preposing rule.

If none of these two rules applies, then the application of phonological rules to 56 (d) yields the sentence 56 (e):

56 (e) (Ma')dimkpa mëvo mop onwe ya ni'õha,

0 jogburu onwe ya.

Since the complementizer ma in 56 (e) is optional because it is sentence-initial, let us apply the Consequent Preposing rule, which makes ma obligatory:

The Consequent Preposing Rule

SD: COND Comp S₁ S₂

1 2 3 4

SC: 1 + 4 2 3 ø

The output is 56 (f) which becomes 56 (g) by the Ya to 0 conversion and relevant phonological rules:

56 (f) Ya jogburu onwe ya ma dimkpa mëvo mop onwe ya ni'õha

56 (g) 0 jogburu onwe ya ma dimkpa mëvo mop onwe ya ni'õha.

56 (g) is well-formed.
Alternatively, we can apply the other optional rule of Infinitivization to the same 56 (e); there is no order relationship between these two rules.

**Infinitivization (optional)**

**SD**: 

\[ \text{Comp NP Verb (NP) (PP) Ya Verb (NP)} \]

\[ [\text{+ emot}] \]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

**Process**: 

(a) Prefix the Infinitive marker 1\(^6\) to 3 and delete any time suffix on the verb.

(b) Delete 1 and 6

(c) Delete 2 obligatorily, if it is the Indefinite pronoun A, optionally otherwise.

**Condition**: The tense of the verbs 3 & 7 must be simple present/future.

**SC**: \[ \emptyset 2 1+3(4)(5) \emptyset 7 8 \]

The output of the above rule is 56 (h) in which the necessary phonological rules have also applied.

56 (h) 

\[ \text{Dimkpa i'mevo onwe ya n'ọhịa} \]

For a responsible person to disgrace himself in public

\[ \text{jogburu onwe ya.} \]

is very bad.

Observe that Infinitivization here does not depend on a prior application of Equi-NP deletion, as is the case with subjunctive complements (cf 8.2.0). This explains the syntactic difference between the two types of Infinitives in this as in the English language, namely:

(a) Subjectless Infinitives deriving from Subjunctive Complements via Equi-NP deletion, and

---

16 The Infinitive prefix is given in its phonological form I, and has two possible phonetic realisations based on vowel harmony, (cf 2.1.1. p.35). For a detailed account of Vowel Harmony in Igbo, see Carnochan 1960 p. 155-163.
(b) Infinitives with Subject deriving from ma\^ emotive predicate complements.

The subject of the (b) type of Infinitive is obligatorily deleted only if it is the indefinite pronoun A. As examples of those sentences where the Indefinite pronoun A must be deleted, consider the following 57 (a-d).

57 (a) Ya' wreckage ma e mercury ya ahu, o ga' enye nsogbu' If it be that one wounds him it will give trouble: If he is injured, it will complicate matters.

(b) Ma' e mercury ya ahu, o ga' enye nsogbu' (By opt. Antecedent Matrix S del.)

(c) O ga' enye nsogbu' ma' e mercury ya ahu. (By Consequent It will complicate matters if he is injured. Preposing)

(d) Imeru ya ahu ga' enye nsogbu. (By Infinitivization)

To injure him will complicate matters.

The deletion of this third person indefinite pronoun a/e is obligatory in the process of infinitivization, whereas that of other indefinite or unspecified nominals is optional thus:

(e) (Madhu) ihwu ewi n'ehihe wu ehihi. 

(for a person) to see the giant rat in broad daylight is a bad omen.

It is necessary to point out that the rule of Antecedent Matrix Sentence - deletion is ordered before both the Consequent Preposing and Infinitivization rules, if deviant sentences such as 57 (f) are to be blocked.

17 Like the Infinitive prefix, the phonological A for the Indefinite pronoun singular is harmonising, and has two phonetic realisations as in:

- E kwuru okwu : One talked/someone talked
- A' luru ogu : One fought/some people fought.

See 2.1.1 for more details.
Observe that Infinitivization with emotive predicate complements does not depend on a prior application of Equi-NP deletion, but crucially on

(a) The emotivity of the predicate and

(b) The tense of both antecedent and consequent clauses.

We shall take up the issue of tense constraints in chapter 8 (8.2.0 p. 317-323) where we discuss the applicability of Equi-NP deletion in subjunctive (Ke'ma̱) complementation.

Although the rule of Infinitivization applies, in these examples, after Consequent Preposing, ordering is not necessary, as we have observed earlier (cf 5.1.0 p. 269). As a further illustration of this fact, let us examine the following sequence of derivations in which the subject NP of the antecedent clause is an unspecified agent nominal. The application of relevant phonological rules is taken for granted.

(a) Ya wuru ma madhu hwu ewi n'ehihe, ya wu ehihi.

(By oblig. T-Copula Evt.)

(b) Ya wuru ma madhu hwu ewi n'ehihe, 0 wuru ehihi

(By bolig Ya to 0 conversion)
If a person should see the giant rat in broad daylight, it is a bad omen.

-58 (b) is well-formed.

(c) Ma madhu hwu ewi n'ehihe, 0 wu ehihi.

(By opt. Antecedent Matrix S deletion)

(d) 0 wu ehihi ma madhu hwu ewi n'ehihe.

(By opt. Consequent Preposing)

It is a bad omen if a person should see the giant rat in broad daylight.

Both 58 (c) & (d) are well-formed.

(a) Hwe wu ehihi wu ma madhu hwu ewi n'ehihe.

(By opt. Pseudo-Cleft followed by obligRelat_1)

What is a bad omen is for a person to see the giant rat in broad daylight.

(f) Hwe wu ehihi wu (madhu) ihwu ewi n'ehihe.

(By opt. Infinitivization)

(g) (Madhu) ihwu ewi n'ehihe wu hwe wu ehihi.

(By opt Reverse Cleft)

(h) (Madhu) ihwu ewi n'ehihe wu hwe wu ehihi.

(By opt. De-cleft)

To see the giant rat in broad daylight is a bad omen.

Each of 58 (c)-(h) is well-formed, and their generation follows a different sequence of rule application thus confirming that rules are simply intrinsically ordered. Observe also that the unspecified nominal, madhu, is optionally deletable, as 58 (f-h) show.

If the unspecified agent nominal madhu is optional in sentences such as 58 (f-h), the indefinite Pronoun, A, is obligatorily deleted in 59 (f-h):
It would create a lot of problems if he should be missing from this family.

What will create a lot of problem is for him to be found missing from this family.

To find him missing from this family will create a lot of problems.

Each of 59 (b-h) is well-formed, and the deletion of the indefinite pronoun, A, is obligatory, as otherwise we would derive the ill-formed 59(i) which is 59 (f) with the indefinite pronoun undeleted.
Having shown how open conditional constructions with emotive verbs in the consequent (main) clause can be transformationally related to their infinitival counterparts, let us now try to answer the question raised on page 306 as to why we should relate infinitives and conditionals transformationally, especially as only a small sub-category of conditional constructions is involved in the examples under examination: if the T-rule which relates sentences such as 58 (b) & (f) or 61 (a) & (b) does not apply to all open conditional constructions, then the gain of such a transformational relationship is minimal, and the paraphrase relationship existing between such pairs as 61 (a) & (b) is better left to semantic equivalence rules.

In order to counter the above argument, it is necessary to point out that the verbs involved in the consequent clause of the conditional constructions and their infinitival transforms are complement-taking predicates belonging to the sub-category of emotive verbs, a semantic class which has been justified on syntactic grounds (cf 5.1.3.) Secondly, it will be observed from Figs. 5 & 7 and other relevant examples that the co-referentiality of the abstract proform Y in the antecedent and its morphophonemé O in the consequent is a unique characteristic of this type of conditional construction, and is possible only with emotive verbs which take sentential subject. As in other subject-NP complements, Y is deletable along with the antecedent - matrix sentence, but only obligatorily converted to O, if it is the subject of the consequent (cf examples 57 (b), 58 (c) & 59 (c)). Thirdly, there is the very important consideration of the tense constraint on the applicability of the rule of Infinitivization: Very similar, if not the same tense constraint on the applicability of Equi-NP deletion and Infinitivization on the complements of forward-looking predicates (cf 8.2.0) are at work here in the infinitivization of the verb of the antecedent clause which, .....
we have argued, is a subject NP to the emotive verb in the consequent. Just as the verb of a ka/ma subjunctive complement is always future in relation to the tense of the matrix sentence, so is the verb of the antecedent always future in relation to that of the consequent, which is generally future or simply present. The only difference in the two situations is this: The matrix verbs to ka/ma subjunctive complements are generally inherently forward-looking predicates such as

- to wish, desire
- prepare, plan
- promise

while those involved in emotive predicate complementation here are not inherently forward-looking, but must be future in order for Infinitivization to apply. Consequently, the paraphrase and transformational relationship between the following 60(a) & (b) parallels the relation between 61(a) & (b), except in so far as 60(a) has a subjectless infinitive complement, while 61 (b) has an infinitive complement with subject.18

60 (a) Ogu coro { ka ya luwa } adha gi
(b) { iluwa }

61 (a) Dimkpa { kwuwe coro coro, 0 } wu hwe ihwere
(b) { ikwuwe } n

If a responsible person starts talking too much, it is a shame.

For a " " to start talking too much is a shame.

---

18 See the rule of infinitivization (p. 310) where it is argued that only indefinite nominals (nouns or the pronoun A 'one') can be deleted. Infinitivization in emotive predicate complementation is not triggered by Equi-NP deletion as is the case with ka/ma subjunctive complements.
It seems, therefore, that by capturing transformationally the paraphrase relationship between pairs of sentences such as 61 (a) & (b), we are merely invoking an independently motivated constraint, and this, coupled with the first two reasons given above, constitutes sufficient justification for not leaving this paraphrase relationship to semantic equivalence rules. The tense constraint on infinitivization explains why infinitives generally have a future meaning in most languages, Igbo and English, for example.

5.2.1 Emotive Predicates And Raising

Just as emotive predicates are the only sub-group of verbs which take infinitival complements, some of them are similarly the only verbs in Igbo which allow their complements to undergo the Raising Rules (Subject and Object Raising). Only non-factive emotives are involved. Subject - Raising accounts for the transformational relationship between 62 (a) & (b), while Object - Raising is responsible for the paraphrase relationship between 63 (a) & (b).

62 (a) It appears that John is a shy character.
      (b) John appears to be a shy character.

63 (a) I wanted for John to be present at the conference.
      (b) I wanted John to be present at the conference.

In 62(b), the subject of the that - complement has been raised into the subject position of the matrix sentence (hence Subject - Raising or Raising Subject NP into Subject position), while in 63 (b) the subject of the for - to complement has been raised into the Object position of the matrix clause (hence Raising from subject into object position). Raising is a fairly wide-spread phenomenon in English, and is one of the sources for deriving the prolific infinitival complements in the language.
But it seems a very restricted syntactic process in Igbo, and so far, only a handful of emotive predicates are known to be subject to the rule of Subject - Raising: Object - Raising does not seem to be a rule of Igbo syntax, and even in English, its status is questionable.

By subject - Raising in Igbo, we mean that the subject - or object - NP of a complement clause can be raised into the subject position of the main clause. Igbo, unlike English, does not raise subject into an object position. As 65 & 66 show, Raising from Object into Subject position in Igbo is very similar to Tough-Movement in English, as illustrated by the following English examples:

(a) It is tough for any champion to beat Arthur Ash.

(b) Arthur Ash is tough for any one to beat.

The verbs involved in the Subject - Raising rule in Igbo include:

\{inụ, idi\} to appear, seem, be likely

itoshi (etoshi) to be appropriate

ikweshi (ekweshi) worthy, good

idi mma to be good, moral

" njo " " bad, immoral

" mkpa " " necessary

Of these, the first four are those which are subject to obligatory Extraposition, as illustrated on page The following 64-66 illustrate Subject - Raising in Igbo:

64 (a) Ò dì'ka nwa ọ̀ dhara adhá.
It seems that this child had a fall

(b) Nwa ọ̀ di' ka 0 dhara adhá.
Child this seems that he fell fall;
This child seems to have had a fall.
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65 (a) O {tòshìri\{kwèshìri\}} ka m lụwa nwa - āgbogho ö

It is appropriate that I should marry this young girl

(b) Nwa - āgbogho ö {tòshìri\{kwèshìri\}} ka m lụwa yà.

This young girl is fit that I should marry her:
This young girl is fit for me to marry.

66 (a) O di mkpa na anyị ìhùrù Dikhè.

It is necessary that we should see Dikhe.

(b) Dikhè dì mkpa na anyị ìhùrù yà.

Dikhe is necessary for that we should see him:
Dikhe is necessary for us to see.

These examples are enough to illustrate what happens in Subject
Raising in emotive predicate complementation in Igbo: when the object
of the complement is raised into the subject position of the main
clause, its pronominal copy is left behind. This explains the
presence of the object pronoun yà (her) in 65 (b) and yà (him)
in 66 (b) where these two homonyms stand for 'Nwa - āgbogho ö'
(this young girl) and Dikhe respectively. Unlike what happens in
English, RAISING in Igbo does not give rise to infinitives, rather
Infinitivization is independent of RAISING, as the above examples
conclusively show. The rule of Subject Raising will apply whether
or not the emotive predicate complement has undergone the rule of
Infinitivization:
These examples are the output of RAISING and INFINITIVIZATION. Note that in this form, there is no pronoun copy of the raised object left in the complement, rather we optionally have the -ni suffix. No members of any other semantic class of verbs than emotive predicates are subject to either Subject Raising or Object Raising in the Igbo language.

CONCLUSION

In this final section of the chapter, we have examined the motivation for the distinction into emotive and non-emotive predicates (a distinction which cuts across the factive/non-factive one since there are factive and non-factive emotives) and we have justified such a distinction on the basis of the following syntactic evidence:

(a) Only emotive predicates take either conditional (antecedent) clauses or other headed complex NP's as subject, and permit its infinitivization.

19 The status of the -ni suffix, like that of many non-inflectional and non-derivational suffixes in Igbo, is not clear. It seems, however, to be associated with objectless verbs as in the above and following examples:

Onye byāran, kpụpụ ya gbie.  
Whoever comes, entertain him.  
Contrast this with

Onye zuru ohi, mewọpọ yaa.  
Whoever steals, disgrace him.

Although the verb come is intransitive in English, and steal may be used intransitively, the Igbo verb izu ohi is transitive. The presence of the inherent complement, ohi explains the absence of the -ni suffix as the two are mutually exclusive in the language.
(b) Only such emotive predicate complements are subject to the optional rules of Infinitivization and Subject Raising, these two rules are not mutually dependent, as is the case in English where RAISING gives rise to infinitives.

(c) Infinitivization in these complements is a consequence of the emotivity of the predicates involved and the fact that the verbs in both the antecedent and consequent clauses express no more than the simple present/future time, a fact we relate to EQUI and forward-looking verbs in chapter 8.

(d) RAISING in Igbo means the raising of either the subject or object of the complement clause into the subject position of the matrix or main clause. In the case of raising from object position, a pronominal copy of the complement object is always left behind, unless the complement in question has previously undergone the rule of Infinitivization.

We also distinguish the above infinitival complements from the gerunds which we associate with factive Na complements; this distinction is justified on syntactic and semantic grounds.

5.3.0 Na - Complement Verbs

The great majority of NP - complement - taking verbs in Igbo take Na complements either as subject or object. Many of these may also take Ke/ma subjunctive, or ma Interrogative complements; they will also take si Imperative complements if they are verbs of saying. In view of this fact, cross - classification of verbs is inevitable. Only a representative sample is given, and the list is by no means exhaustive. We give, first, Na Subject - NP verbs, and then Object - NP ones.
**List of Verbs**

- ibha uru - to be useful
- idi - to be
- ido anya - to be clear (of facts, argument etc.)
- igi anya - to pass through the eye
- igbagwoju anya - to be confusing, to confuse
- ikpa yhwa - to please, delight
- ikudha obi - to cool the mind, calm down
- ikujju obi - to pacify, calm down the mind
- ikweshi - to be worthy, appropriate
- imashi - to please, delight
- ime ihwere - to shame, to cause to become ashamed
- imeawe anya - to convince, cause someone to be convinced
- ira ahu - to be difficult
- itughd obi - to cause to change one’s mind
- itu n’anya - to surprise, astonish, to cause to change
- iwe anya - to be evident, to be convincing

- ice - to think, conjecture
- icofuta - to discover, find out
- ide - to write
- igba agugo - to argue, debate
- igba akaebé - to testify, give testimony
- igba ama - to disclose
- igba izu - to whisper
- igbasha - to spread, circulate
- igha ygha - to lie, tell a lie
- ighota - to understand, comprehend
- iro - to deny
- igoshi - to demonstrate, show
to read

to tell

to see

to refuse

to say, relate etc.

to guess

to narrate

to recognise

to explain, elucidate

to teach, instruct

to deceive, to banter

to agree, consent

to promise, make a promise

to say, relate etc.

to confess

to expect, await

to know, realise

to wager

to legislate

to know, realise

to learn, understand

to dream

to point out

to reply

to say, relate, allege etc

to shout

to plan

to expect, await

to discuss

to be afraid, fear

to take as, to take that,
îza
- to answer

îzhi
- to show

îzhi őzhi
- to send a message, word
Chapter 6

Ma₂ NP - (Embedded Yes/No Question) Complements

And

Embedded Kèdu - Questions

6.0 Introduction

This chapter is divided into two major sections, the first part (6.1 - 6.2) is concerned with Interrogative complementation, that is, the embedding of questions demanding the answer Yes or No (hereafter referred to as Yes/No Questions) as NP, while the second part (6.3.0 - 6.3.1) is devoted to the Igbo equivalents of embedded English WH- Questions. We have decided to describe the latter as Kèdu - Questions to avoid any wrong impression which might be created by talking of WH- Questions in the description of a language where the form WH- has no morphological basis. The decision to treat the two types of questions together is made on the need to facilitate comparison and contrast. Section 6.4 considers in detail the syntactic uniqueness of ótho - headed NP in so far as they relate to other NP - complements in the language, while in 6.5. we give a sample list of verbs which take Interrogative complements.

In 6.1 - 6.2 we show that Ma₂ clauses are instances of NP - complements while their Kèdu counterparts, though NP's, lack the structure characteristic of sentential complements such as we have given in this thesis. Rather, Kèdu - Questions, of which ótho - headed NPs form a sub-part, have the structure of a complex nominal (Nom S ) (cf 4.2, PS-rules 6 & 8, p. 206 ) while an NP - complement is characterised by the following internal structure:
where the nominal head must have the above feature specifications which the \( N \) of a complex Nominal structure does not have. In addition, sentential complements are characterised by complementizers in their structure, whereas complex Nominals (relative clauses, for example,) are not.

In view of the fact that there is no detailed analysis of Question constructions in Igbo to which reference can be made, we have considered it helpful to supply the necessary background in the following section 6.1.

### 6.1. Types of Igbo Questions: 1. Yes/No Questions

1 (a) \('Ogu, \( q' \) byara \( \ddot{\text{had}} \)?

Ogu, he came (to) market? Did Ogu come to market?

(b) \('Ndom \( \ddot{\text{y}} \) , \( \ddot{\text{na}} \) nd\( \ddot{\text{ch}} \)\( \ddot{\text{ka}} \)\( wa \( \ddot{\text{y}} \)?

Wives his they stay all at home in it?

Are all his wives at home?

---

1 Throughout these examples, we have deliberately departed from our convention of not marking the tones of successive syllables unless there is a contrast or change of pitch. For example, all the pronoun subjects have been marked as low or low - low regardless of whether the preceding syllable is also on the same pitch. We have done this in order to highlight the low tone pattern of pronouns in Question constructions in the language.
1 (c) Dimkpa, I na agbelikwa?
Man, you are trying also?
Man, are you doing fine?

(d) Unu anyjula mai afo?
You have drunk fill wine stomach?
Have you taken wine to your satisfaction?

(e) Ogwe m, m ñwuru ya anya?
Self mine, I saw him eye? (Rhetorical)
I did not even see him myself.

(f) Anyi gburu ochu? (Idiomatic)
We killed ochu? Did we commit murder?

2. Kedu Type:

2 (a) Ogu, 0 mere {giri}?
Ogu, he did what? What did Ogu do?

(b) Ibe, 0 gidhere onye?
Ibe, he wrestled down who? Who did Ibe throw/beat?

(c) Umu ndom, ha jhe n olée?
The women, they are going where?
Where are the women going?

(d) Enyi, I mene {élia}.
Friend, you have done how?
Friend, how do you do? (A greeting)

(e) Nenna, I loro {olé mgbu}
Nenna you returned what time?
When did you return, Nenna?
2 (f)  \textit{Unu jiri \`ole m\`gbu bye?}

You used what time come?  When did you come?

It will be observed from examples 1 & 2 that question formation in Igbo demands that -

(a) There be a pronominal copy of the subject of the sentence, unless such a subject happens to be a pronoun; this is in contrast to statements, which do not demand any such pronoun copy;

(b) This pronominal copy must have a low (if monosyllabic) or low - low tone pattern, (if disyllabic).

Secondly, examples 1 (a-f) differ from 2 (a-f) in that the former lack what the latter have, the following question morphemes which are always present in this type of question:

(i) \{\textit{giri}\} \quad \text{what}

(ii) \textit{onye} \quad \text{who?}

(iii) (\textit{n\`a}) \textit{`ole`a} \quad \text{where?}

(iv) \{\textit{`ole`a}\} \quad \text{how?}

(v) \{\textit{`ole \`mgbu}\} \quad \text{what time/when?}

These question morphemes constitute the major difference between Yes/No and \textit{Ked\`u} - Questions in Igbo. Examples 1 (a-f) represent the Yes/No-Questions, while those of 2 (a-f) are instances of the \textit{Ked\`u} types, which are the Igbo equivalents of WH-Questions in English. If the examples of 2 represent what we have described as \textit{Ked\`u} - Questions, how does the morpheme \textit{Ked\`u} come into our discussion?

In order to answer the above question, let us admit the following additional data:
Observe from the foregoing examples that 3 (b) is the kedu or periphrastic version of 3 (a), just as 3(d) is that of 3 (c). In 4 (a) Kedu is given as one of a closed set of items which may introduce the appropriate variant of Type 2 or Kedu - Question. In other words, kedu is a cover term for these lexical items which always function in initial position in this form of Type 2 questions. We have chosen it as a descriptive label because of our liking for it as a shortened form of greeting which is fast gaining popularity.
Strictly speaking, *kedu* is an Onitsha dialect word which is now used non-dialectally, while *ndii* and *olee* are Owerri in origin. When we talk of *kedu*-Questions, we mean that category of questions (Question Type 2) which do not demand a Yes/No answer. They have two variants - the form without the item *kedu*, such as are shown in 2 (a-f), and the form with *kedu* (the periphrastic form of *kedu*-Questions) as revealed by 3 (b) & (d), and 4 (a-f). As we shall show in 6.3.0, it is the periphrastic form which are analysable as relative clauses whether or not they are embedded as complex NP's to complement - taking predicates. Any further details about this subcategory of Igbo questions are deferred to the above section.

Despite the superficial similarities of Yes/No and *kedu*-Questions, we do not propose to derive the two types of questions from structurally one common underlying form, the main reasons being that the Yes/No type requires the trigger *Q*, which *kedu*-Questions do not require since they have an interrogative morpheme in deep structure. Secondly, it is theoretically more sound to derive the non-periphrastic form of *kedu*-Questions from the periphrastic form via a reduction rule, rather than involve oneself in a structure - building process by attempting to generate the periphrastic version from the more basic, non-periphrastic form. Fig. 1 below represents the deep structure of Type 1 (Yes/No) Question in Igbo

![Diagram of Igbo Question Structure](image-url)
To the above figure we apply the following obligatory T-rule for Yes/No Question formation in Igbo:

**The Q - Substitute And Pronoun Copy Rule (Oblig.)**

**SD**

$Q \rightarrow NP \rightarrow (AUX) \rightarrow Verb \rightarrow (NP)$

$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5$

**Process**

Substitute 2 for Q and leave a pronoun copy of 2 behind.

**SC**

$$[Q] \quad [2] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{pro} \\ \text{pro} \end{array} + (3) \ 4 \ (5)$$

It is the application of this rule which produces sentences such as S (b) from S (a).

S (a) $Q \ Ndi\ ulo\ ya\ dicha\ mma$

(b) $Ndi\ ulo\ ya,\ ha\ dicha\ mm\ ?$

Members of house his, they are all well:

Are his family all well?

S (b) is represented by the following tree-diagram, fig. 2

---

**Kédý - Questions**

Kédý Questions (Type 2 Questions) have the following underlying structure:
It is observable from the above fig. 3 that Kedu and its substitutes constitute a pre-sentence in the deep structure of Type 2 (Kedu - ) Questions, and that the VP is expanded into verb and interrogative, instead of verb and NP (aux being an optional element). The derivation of both the non-periphrastic and the periphrastic versions of Kedu - Questions follows the following rule application:

**The Pronoun Copy and Kedu Deletion Rule (Oblig.)**

\[
SD : \text{Kedu} \quad \text{NP} \quad \text{(AUX)} \quad \text{Verb} \quad \text{Interrogative}
\]

1  2  3  4  5

Process : Attach the pronominal copy of 2 as the left sister of 3, or of 4 if there is no 3

Delete 1

\[
SC : \emptyset \quad 2 \quad \left[ \begin{array}{c}
2 \\
\text{pro}
\end{array} \right] \quad + \ (3) \quad 4 \quad 5
\]

Only this T-rule along with the relevant phonological rules is needed to derive sentences such as 6 (b) from 6 (a) thus:
6 (a) Kẹdụ Ogu mere ĝirị
(b) Ogu, 0 mere ĝirị: What did Ogu do?

But in order to generate the periphrastic version of 6 (b),
we need to apply the following rules some of which are transformational, others morphophonemic, to the base form 6 (a):

Kẹdụ Ogu mere ĝirị (Base)
(c) Kẹdụ ĝirị Ogu mere (By Interrogative MVT. oblig.)
(d) Kẹdụ ĉwe Ogu mere (By ĉwe for ĝirị Substitute -morphophonemic & oblig.)
(e) Kẹdụ ĉwe Ogu mere? (By Tone Rules oblig.)

The above 6 (e) is a well-formed Igbo sentence.

Observe that the Interrogative Movement rule above is similar
to, if not identical with Object (NP) Movement given in 5.1.0 p. 267
in connection with the relativization of factive Na' - complements,
or the Verb complement movement rule in the case of intransitive verbs
(cf 5.1.1 P. 277 ) All these rules are subject to one and the
same constraint, namely, that the item moved must be directly dominated
by VP and be a right sister to the verb; they can, therefore, be
subsumed under one movement rule in Igbo, which is relevant to
relativization in the language.

Secondly, the substitution of ĉwe for ĝirị is an obligatory
morphophonemic rule consequent on the movement of an interrogative to
a position where it is immediately preceded by kẹdụ.

All interrogatives are subject to similar morphophonemic rules thus:

(i) ĉwe for ĝirị
(ii) Ĝone " ọnye
(iii) ọtho " ñịta
(iv) ĝbe " na ọlẹe
(v) Reduction of ọlẹ mgbu to mgbu.
Examples 3 (b) & (d) and 4 (a-f, p. 329) illustrate the use of these forms occurring in the periphrastic versions of Kēdū - Questions.

6 (e) has the following derived constituent structure, Fig. 4.

```
Fig. 4

What did Ogu do?
```

The details of the rules which produce sentences such as that shown in the above Fig. 4 belong appropriately to Section 6.3.0, and are deferred to that section.

**Summary:** In the foregoing section, we have demonstrated that there are two categories of Questions in Igbo - Yes/No and Kēdū - Questions and that each of them has a different underlying structure, as shown in Figs. 1 and 3 respectively.

In Kēdū - Questions, the periphrastic and non-periphrastic forms are related by a transformation which deletes kēdū in the non-periphrastic versions, but not in the periphrastic ones.
The non-deletion of kedu triggers a movement rule which moves the interrogative to a position where it is immediately preceded by kedu, thus giving rise to a set of morphophonemic rules whose output becomes the input to the Tone rules which are needed in relative clause formation.

So far, our discussion of Interrogative Sentence formation has been confined to Direct Questions. In what follows, we shall see how the process of embedding these questions affects the rules so far discussed. What we have described throughout this thesis as Ma₂ (Interrogative) complements are instances of Indirect Yes/No Questions embedded as NP after a subset of matrix verbs.

6. 2. Ma₂ NP Complements: Embedded Yes/No Questions

The following sentences contain instances of ma₂ Interrogative complements:

7 (a) Ogu juru ma₂ anyi ga ejhekwe ahyā.
Ogu asked {whether} we shall go still market.
Ogu asked whether we should still go to market.

(b) Ma₂ 0 turu ashi ma₂ 0 kwuru ezhiokwụ
Whether he told lie whether he told truth
na agbağıøjú m anya.
is confusing me eye:
Whether he lied or told the truth is still confusing to me.

(c) Anyi na agba agụọgọ ma₂ 1 ụwükwara ya n’anya.
We are arguing whether you really love him:
We are debating the issue as to whether you really love him.

(d) Agala cheghakwe m ma₂ 0 ga ekwụ ibyāni
Still thinking am I whether he will consent to come.
I am still wondering whether he will consent to come.
7 (e) Anyị anụbēlē ma₂ Ẹgër (q) ụgbọla dị.

We have not heard if Ego she has married husband:

We have not heard whether Ẹg'o has married.

The structure underlying the above sentences is given below in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5

The deep structure of mā₂ NP complements is not different from that of any other NP-complement except in terms of the node present and the necessity of having double questions embedded in such a structure. Observe that it is the pre-sentence Q which triggers the Q - substitute and Pronoun - Copy rule discussed in §5. page 330. This T-rule is still very relevant to mā₂ complementation in view of sentences such as 7 (e) repeated here with its variant:

7 (e) Ênu na ma Ẹg'o ụgbọla dị. 7 (e) Anyị anụbēlē ma Ẹg'o ụgbọla dị.
What happens is that for some dialect speakers, the T-rule is obligatory for both embedded and non-embedded Yes/No Questions; for others, it is obligatory for all non-embedded Yes/No Questions, but optional for embedded ones. This is the situation in the dialect being described here. Whether this Q substitute and Pronoun Copy rule applies in \( \text{M}_{2} \) complementation or not is immaterial to the transformational processes involved in this subset of Igbo complementation, although its application serves to maintain the essential unity between Yes/No questions on the one hand and \( \text{M}_{2} \) NP complements on the other. The need to maintain this unity of treatment is the overriding reason for the application of this T-rule throughout this chapter.

In order to see the derivational processes involved in the generation of the sentences of 7, let us examine the following paradigms 8 and 9:

8 (a) Ibe j\( \dot{\text{u}} \)ru m \( \text{m}_{2} \) Ogu \( \dot{o} \) nw\( \dot{u} \)ru an\( \dot{u} \) n'ezhi ok\( \dot{u} \).

Ibe asked me whether Ogu he died in true word.

Ibe asked me whether Ogu really died.

(b) \( \text{Hw} \)e Ibe j\( \dot{\text{u}} \)ru m \( \text{w}_{2} \) \( \text{m}_{2} \) Ogu \( \dot{o} \) nw\( \dot{u} \)ru an\( \dot{u} \) n'ezhi ok\( \dot{u} \).

What Ibe asked me is whether Ogu really died.

(c) \( \text{M} \) n\( \dot{u} \) Ogu \( \dot{o} \) nw\( \dot{u} \)ru an\( \dot{u} \) n'ezhi ok\( \dot{u} \) \( \text{w}_{2} \) \( \text{Hw} \)e Ibe j\( \dot{\text{u}} \)ru m.

Whether Ogu really died is what Ibe asked me.

9 (a) Any\( \dot{i} \) gb\( \acute{\text{a}} \)gha a\( \text{g} \)gg\( \dot{o} \) m\( \dot{a} \) \( \text{m}_{2} \) am\( \acute{\text{u}} \)k\( \dot{a} \) a \( \text{h} \) \( \text{h} \)w\( \dot{u} \)ru

We are arguing whether children these they saw

thieves: We are debating whether these children saw any thieves.
Whether these children saw any thieves, we are doubtful.

What we are arguing about is whether these children saw any thieves.

Whether these children saw any thieves is what we are arguing about.

The derivation of paradigm 8 is as follows:

10 (a) 

(Base Form)

(b) 

(By T-Q substitute & Pro Copy, opt.)

(c) 

(By abstract Ya Deletion- opt.)

10 (c) above is the same as 8 (a). As was made abundantly clear in chapter 6 on Na complementation, an early deletion of the abstract pronoun ya blocks the derivation of other semantically related sentences of the paradigm. It is for this same reason that the reader is asked to ignore 10 (c) for the meantime.

We therefore continue the derivation with 10 (b) as input, giving

10 (d) Ya Ibe juru m ma Ogù 0 nwụrụ anyụ n'ezhi-okwụ

(By abstract ya Mvt opt.)
10 (e) **Hwe Ibe jūrę m wụ m̀a₂ Ogu ̀ọ nwụrę anụ n'ezhị-okwụ.**

(By T-Pseudo-Cleft - Oblig.)

10 (f) **Hwe Ibe jūrę m wụ m̀a₂ Ogu ̀ọ nwụrę anụ n'ezhị-okwụ.**

(By T - Relat₁ - oblig.)

The application of the necessary Phonological rules to 10 (f) yields the acceptable sentence, 10 (g), which is equivalent to 8 (b).

10 (g) **Hwe Ibe jūrę m wụ m̀a₂ Ogu ̀ọ nwụrę anụ n'ezhị-okwụ.**

10 (h) is the output of an optional application of the Reverse Cleft rule on 10 (f):

10 (h) **Ma Ogu ̀ọ nwụrę anụ n'ezhị-okwụ wụ Hwe Ibe jūrę m.**

Whether Ogu died in truth is what Ibe asked me:

Whether Ogu really died is what Ibe asked me.

From the derivational history of the sentences of paradigm 8 given here, the following facts emerge:

(a) Apart from the first of these T - rules - the Q substitute and Pronoun Copy rule - which is unique to m̀a₂ complementation, the rest of the T - rules involved here are those that we have established in chapter 6 in connection with Na complementation.

(b) The ordering of the rules remains exactly the same, with the abstract Ya* Movement rule preceding the Pseudo-Cleft rule, and Relat₁ and Reverse Cleft rules following in that order.

(c) The members of the paradigm are comparatively fewer in number because of the semantic fact that m̀a₂ NP complements never undergo such transformations as the Nominalisation of the Complement sentences (T -Relat₂) which Factive Na - Complements generally undergo.
(c) The explanation is that $\text{Ma}_2$ Interrogative Complements, not being factive, cannot undergo such definitization transformations as $\text{T-Relat}_2$ (cf S.1.2. p. 283 ff).

However, the number 9 paradigm present a slightly different picture from what was observed with regard to paradigm 8, as the following exposition shows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{11 (a)} & \left[ \text{Anyì gbagha āguọ ya} \left[ \text{Q mà ụmuàkà à ñułu rdi ohi} \right] \right] \\
\text{Base}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{11 (b)} & \text{Anyì gbagha āguọ ya mà ụmuàkà à ñułu rdi ohi} \\
\text{(By T - Q-Substitute \& Pro Copy - opt)}
\end{align*}
\]

As is the case with derivation of paradigm 8, the deletion of the abstract pronoun $\text{ya}$ has been ignored for reasons which are now obvious; 11 (b) is, however, a well-formed sentence, the necessary phonological rules having applied.

From 11 (b) we derive 11 (c) by a T-rule which we describe here as complement Object $\text{S}$ Preposing.

Schematically, the rule of Complement Object $\text{S}$ Preposing is given as follows:

\[
\text{Complement Object } \text{S Preposing (Opt.)}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SD : NP - Verb - N - } & \text{Ma}_2 \text{ - S - } \\
\text{[Ya]} & \\
1 & - & 2 & - & 3 & - & 4 & -
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Process : Attach 4 as the left sister of 1} \\
\text{SC : 1 + 4 3 Ø} \\
\text{Note : It seems that all } \text{ma}_2 \text{ complement verbs permit the application of this rule to their Object Complement. The temptation to make it a lexically specified rule has been resisted for want of evidence in support of such an analysis.}
\end{align*}
\]

It is the application of the above rule to 11 (b) which produces sentences such as 11 (c) as output.
Whether these children saw any thieves, we are still debating about it.

The following examples illustrate the same fact:

(d) Anyi tyghakwa anya ma2 ha gadyru agady
We are expecting still whether they arrived.
We are still expecting to hear whether they arrived.

(e) Mama ha gadyru agady, anyi tughakwa anya ya.
Whether they did arrive, we are still expecting to hear.

(f) Anyi ceghekwe ma2 udro ga adi
We are still pondering whether peace will be.
We are still pondering as to whether there will be peace.

(g) Mama udro ga adi, anyi ceghekwe ya.
Whether there will be peace, we are still pondering about it.

Although all the foregoing examples have their matrix verbs in the present progressive tense/aspect, it does not mean that this rule of Object Complement § Preposing is blocked if the tenses of verbs were other than the progressive present. The following examples are well-formed, regardless of the tense of the ma2 - complement verbs:

(h) Mama ha gadyru agady, anyi {tychara anya ya
atulga anya ya} 

(i) Mama udro ga adi, anyi {cecele ya
atulga anya ya} 

Similarly, all verbs which in the Negative Form take the ma2 Interrogative Complement are also subject to this rule. In this respect, most Na - Complement taking verbs will take Ma2 Interrogative Complements when they are in the Negative form.
As an illustration of this fact, consider the following examples, in each of which the Matrix Verb is in the Negative:

12 (a) Madhù  ámbahi  ì kwúhyere  ya'  ekwuhye.

Person knows not whether One offended him by talking:
No one knows whether people offended him by their utterances.

(b) ì kwúhyere  ya'  ekwuhye,  madhù

Whether people offended him by their utterances, no one ámbahi.

13 (a) Dikhe  aghọtabele  ì  wú  ya  jhèè

Dikhe understand not have whether is it he goes

or is it he stay at home:

14 (a) ì diì  onye  gwāra  ìmu  ndhom  ì

There is not person (who) told the women whether

they will cook food or it is rice:

Nobody told the women whether they should cook native food
or rice.

(b) ì diì  onye  gwāra  ì

Whether the women should cook food or rice,

nobody told them.

15 (a) Agwa  gî  egōshibele  ì  gbūghe  gî.

Manner your reveal not have whether wine is killing you:
Your behaviour has not revealed whether you are drunk.
Whether you are drunk, your behaviour has not revealed it.

The secretary did not say whether we have all come.

Whether we have all come, the secretary did not say.

These examples 12 - 16 demonstrate conclusively that any verb in the Negative which takes Ma\_2 Interrogative Complement will permit the optional application of the Complement Object S Proposing.

From 11 (c) 11(e) 11 (g) and 15 (b), it will be further observed that the ya\_abstract pronoun is retained along with the Matrix Sentence after the preposing of the complement object S. We have not yet discovered any syntactic explanation for this. The abstract pronoun head may still be present in Surface Structure even if one goes through the alternative set of T - rules such as the Ya Abstract Movement rule, Pseudo Cleft and Relativization (cf 10 (a)-(b), and (d)-(h). Let us take sentence 9 (c) for example, repeated here for ease of reference:

For a sentence such as this, Pseudo Cleft must have consisted in a transformational insertion of hwe in view of the presence in surface form of the ya which should have been moved to initial position and replaced by hwe through a morphophonemic rule. But this insertion of hwe is optional in Pseudo-Cleft sentences like 9(c) since 9 c(i) without Ya in surface form and, consequently, without a transformationally inserted hwe is equally grammatical.
9c(i)  We anyi gbogha åguọ wụ ma ụmu arguably a ha ọzọ ọdị ohi.

So far, the structures we have examined involve either single or double questions embedded as an NP. Closely related to double questions in structure is the Either - Or - Construction in Igbo.

We illustrate this point with a few examples:

17 (a) Acoro ma. 

I want either a knife or a hoe.

(b) Acoro m ma_0 wu'og'u.

I want (either) a knife or a hoe.

17 (b) is related to 17 (a) by some form of Conjunction reduction, the details of which are not relevant here. But it is necessary to point out that the reduction rule deletes ma o wu provided that what follows it is an NP, and not a sentence. This explains the reason why 18 (a) cannot be so reduced.

18 (a) Anyi cọọ iṣe ma_0 ji eri anyi

We want to know whether he does eat meat

ma 0 ji eri aṣọy

whether he does eat fish: We want to know whether he eats meat or fish.
18 (b) Anyị nọ ọrọ i'ma mà 0 ji erị anụ
We want to know whether he eats meat
mà 0 wụ a bụ.
whether it is fish:
We want to know whether he eats meat or fish.

18 (b) cannot be described as a reduced form of 18 (a) because one expression mà 0 wụ has merely been substituted for another - mà 0 ji erị.

Whatever the nature of the deletion rule relating 17 (b) to 17 (a), it must be very restricted in its application.

Observe, however, that in both 17 & 18, the tone pattern of the mà2 complements is that of an interrogative sentence. The Igbo equivalent of English either - or - construction is in the form of simple Yes/No question in the second disjunct thus:

19 (a) I ga ahu bia (si) 0 wụ wishiki?
You will drink beer or is it whisky:
Will you drink beer or whisky?

(b) Gọtere m mai nkwụ mà 0 wụ mai ngwọ.
Buy for me oil palm wine or rafia palm wine

(c) Me 0 wụ m, me 0 wụ ya, otụ ga anọ ya.
Whether it is I whether it is he, one will be in:
Either he or I will be in.

(d) Ogu ma 0 wụ nwie ya gà ejishi ikhe bye.
Ogu or it is wife his must endeavour come:
Ogu or his wife must endeavour to come.

It is obvious from the foregoing examples that the Igbo equivalents of English either - or - constructions are Yes/No questions.
Summary

In this section on ma interrogative complementation, we have demonstrated the close relationship between this sub-category of NP-complements and their Na Indicative counterpart: the same set of T-rules apply to both types in the same order (cf p. 239 et seq.) We have brought out the uniqueness of the NP-complements by showing that the following T-rules

(a) The Q-Substitute and Pronoun Copy
and (b) The Complement Object S Preposing
are peculiar to them. It has also been pointed out (p.342) that for such verbs as igba agugo 'to doubt, argue', which have inherent complements the application of Pseudo-Cleft to their ma2 complements involves an optional insertion of ṣe for the simple reason that for some speakers the ya proform remains unconverted to ṣe in the Pseudo-Cleft transform. Thus, the following 20 (a) and 20 (b) are both grammatical and acceptable in the dialect being described here.

20 (a) ṣe anyi gbọra agugo wọ ma O ṣuru ọhụ.
20 (b) ṣe anyi gbọra agugo ya wọ ma O ṣuru ọhụ.

What we argued about is whether he stole:

6.3.0 Embedded Kedu Questions

In 7.1, we demonstrated that the two types of Questions in Igbo - Yes/No and Kedu - Questions derive from two different underlying structures figs. 1&3. Each of them is subject to a different T-rule: the Q-Substitute and Pronoun Copy rule for Yes/No Questions, and Pronoun Copy and Kedu Deletion for the non-periphrastic version of Kedu - Questions. The deep structure specification of Kedu in Kedu - Questions makes the derivation of the periphrastic transform easy and elegant.
In this section, we shall demonstrate that it is the periphrastic transforms of Kedu - Questions which are embedded as NP to complement-taking predicates. It will also be demonstrated that although Kedu - Questions do function as NP's, they have a completely different internal structure from NP - complement such as Na Indicative or Ma Interrogative Complements. For example, with Na and other NP -complements, the NP has been shown to be of the following internal structure (a)

(a) \[ NP \rightarrow Ya \text{ Comp } S \]

whereas with Kedu NP, the structure is that of a complex nominal such as (b)

(b) \[ Nom \rightarrow N \text{ S} \]

in which N must be co-referential with another N which is directly dominated by the embedded S, as is shown in Fig. 6 where \( N_1 \) is co-referential with either \( N_2 \) or \( N_3 \).

\[ N_1 = \left\{ N_2 \right\} \]
In other words, while the N in (a) is the only term in its system, the N1 in the above figure is one of an open set.

As we pointed out in 6.1. p.330, the first T-rule to which the structure underlying Kedu-Questions is subject is either of the Pronoun Copy and Kedu Deletion rules (which yields non-periphrastic Kedu-Questions) or a Movement rule which is the first step in the generation of the periphrastic transforms. Only the second alternative is relevant here, and we give the rule as follows.

The Interrogative Movement Rule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Kedu</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Interrogative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Process: Attach 4 as the left sister of 2

SC: 1 4 + 2 3

Note: This rule is blocked where 2 is either of the interrogatives *onye* and *giri*.

The Interrogative Movement is the last T-rule to apply to structures such as the above in the derivation of the question type under discussion. As we pointed out earlier on (cf 6.1. p.332) it moves the interrogatives to a position where they are immediately preceded by Kedu, thus triggering the following obligatory morphophonemic rules which effect morpheme changes, thus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Kedu</th>
<th>Interrogative</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>Verb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC:

(i) 1

Condition: 2 = *giri*
What happens in this particular subset is a reduction of the interrogative generated by the Base. The output of these morphophonemic rules is the input to the Tone rules discussed in 3.5.

Before we discuss these rules any further, let us see their application in the derivation of some sample sentences of the language:

21 Kedu? Ọhụ? {ụnụ} goị ịrị n'anyaịgh?

What (is) thing you will eat in evening?:

What will you eat in the evening?

2 The only observable difference is in terms of the tone pattern which changes from low-high to high-high. Because of this fact, it is possible to analyse items such as ọnye as toneless morphemes whose tone pattern is structurally determined. For example, the low-high tone pattern occurs in Questions and Imperatives, thus:

Ọnye byara? Who came?
Ị hụwụrụ ọnye? Whom did you see?
Ọnye anwụna : Let nobody die. Ọnye anwụna is an Igbo proper name.

Ọnye futa, ọnye futa: Let everybody come out!

The high-high tone pattern, on the other hand, occurs whenever the lexical item is modified as in

Ọnye ọma - A good fellow/person
Ọnye ọ - This person
Ọnye anyị m - My customer
Ọnye na akipụ ụzu - a blacksmith
22 Kédù onye Ogu gbákhwújhere ke ìgbu éci?

Who (is) person Ogu ran meet since time yesterday?

To whose protection has Ogu run since yesterday?

23 Kédù otho anyi di tháá?

What (is) manner we are today?: How are you today?

24 Kédù obe ùzugbu ùmuáka à khwójhe?

Which is place all children these are trooping:

Where are all these children trooping down to?

25 Kédù g'og'ì ìgbu byàra?

What is time inlaw came?

When did our in-law come?

The Deep Structure of these sentences is as shown in Fig. 3 p.372.

To this we apply the optional rule of Interrogative Movement thus:

21 (a) Kédù unù ga erí giri n'ányësù (Base)

(b) Kédù giri unù ga erí n'ányësù (By opt. Interrog. Mvt)

(c) Kédù ìwê unù ga erí n'ányësù? (By oblig. Morphophonemic rule)

(d) Kédù ìwê {unù ìwù} gâ erí n'ányësù?(By oblig. Tone rules.)

21 (d) is the same as 21 and is well-formed.

Now let us apply the same set of rules to the following 22 (a)

22 (a) Kédù Ogu gbákhwújhere anyé ke ìgbu éci? (Base)

(b) Kédù anyé Ogu gbákhwújhere ke ìgbu éci? (By opt. Interrog. Mvt)

(c) Kédù anyé Ogu gbákhwújhere ke ìgbu éci? (By oblig. Morphophonemic rule)

(d) Kédù anyé Ogu gbákhwújhere ke ìgbu éci? (By oblig. Tone rules)

22 (d) is well-formed and the same as 22.
23 (a) Kèdù ìnyì dì ànáà ìlàa' tòhàa? (Base)
   (b) Kèdù ànáà ìnyì dì tòhàa? (By opt. Interrog Mvt.)
   (c) Kèdù otoh ànyì dì tòhàa? (By oblig. Morphophonemic rule)
   (d) Kèdù otoh ànyì dì tòhàa? (By " Tone rules")

   23 is the same as 23(d), both are well-formed.

24 (a) Kèdù èzurògbù ì múààkà à k'òlòjìhè n'òlòeé? (Base)
   (b) Kèdù n'òlòeé èzurògbù ì múààkà à k'òlòjìhè? (By opt. Interrog Mvt.)
   (c) Kèdù èbe èzurògbù ì múààkà à k'òlòjìhè? (By oblig. Morphophonemic rule)
   (d) Kèdù èbe èzurògbù ì múààkà à k'òlòjìhè? (By oblig. Tone rules)

   24 (d) is the same as 24, an acceptable Igbo sentence.

Going through the same process, we will derive 25.

From the foregoing examples, it is obvious that all lexical items
with final low tones in deep structure behave tonally in either of
the following ways:

(a) They either develop as a rising glide, or

(b) Have their final low tone raised to a high one whenever
they are in subject relation to the verb.

This tonal behaviour is characteristic of relativized clauses, as we
have shown in 2.4.2., 3.5 and 3.6.

Observe the stages through which we have derived sentences such
as 21-25. We have likened the Interrogative Movement rule to the
object NP Movement rule given in 5.1.0 in connection with the
nominalisation of factive Ñà - complements, and we have argued on
page ff that the three movements rules given here:

(i) Object NP Movement (p. 267)
(ii) Verb Complement Movement (p. 277) and
(iii) Interrogative Movement (p. 332)
can all be subsumed under one Movement rule since they are subject to the same or similar constraints, namely: they all operate in relativization; each of them moves a constituent from an object to a subject position, and the constituent moved is an object Np, if the verb involved is a transitive one; a verb complement, if the relevant verb is one which takes an inherent complement as part of its citation, form, or an intransitive verb, as in the following sentence:

\[ \text{O nwuru anyu} - \text{he died} \]

where anyu is a verb-complement or what has been traditionally described as a participle; the same rule moves an interrogative directly dominated by VP, if the structure in question is a Kedý - Question. In other words, we can say with regard to the periphrastic transforms of Kedý - Questions that when we relativize the underlying structure in which the item kedý is specified, the result is a well-formed periphrastic kedý question - a relative clause.

The pertinent syntactic facts about Kedý are as follows: Its immediate constituent is always an NP, and this may be expanded by an S which is always a relative clause. And the tone patterns which we have generated in examples 21-25 justify the above assertion, being such as one normally associates with Igbo relative clauses.

The demand that any sentential structure immediately after Kedý must be relativized entails, therefore, the movement rule described here and the obligatory morphophonemic rules triggered by this movement rule, as well as the Tone rules which generate the tone patterns of all Nominal constructions in the language (cf 3.5 & 3.6 where it is shown that all Igbo NP's - N ≠ N or N Det or NP S (relative clauses) - are all subject to the same tone rules).
Furthermore, \{Kedu
\n\text{nдии}
\n\text{élēē}\}

are unique in Igbo in being the only lexical items, to our knowledge, which can question an NP without the need for any Copula:

26 (a) Kedu gî ?

Where you? : Where are you?

(b) nдии\ nna \ ʊnù?

Where father your (pl): Where is your father?

(c) nдии\ akhwa \ wâra \ ăwa?

Where is the broken egg?

It is for this reason that we suggest that sentences such as 21-25 be represented by the following surface structures Fig. 7 with a zero copula - that is a copula node for which no lexical insertion ever takes place irrespective of the tense of the would-be copula.

It is this uniqueness of Kedu and nдии which has made them popular Igbo greetings:

(a) nдии\ otho \ ɨ \ mere? Central Igbo

(b) Kedu kà \ i \ mely? Onitsha

How do you do?

The shortened form of (a) and (b) above for most urban dwellers is simply Kedu; this is the Igbo equivalent of the French 'Ça va?' or the English 'How?'. But for the more conservative speakers who do not want to 'powder their tongue with Ijeköbee' (I jekọ ĕbēē the derogatory name for Onitsha dialect) the following forms of greetings persist:

O \ mënë \ ṣàngà? (Mbeise - Central Igbo) How is it?

O \ mé \ ṣàgà? (Nsukka - Northern Igbo) How is it?

Nđàa (Onaafia etc -Southern Igbo) What is wrong?

Ndàa \ how? (Enugu/Odi - Northern Igbo) How is it?

It is the popularity of Kedu both as greeting and marker of Type 2 Questions that determined its choice as the descriptive label for Igbo Equivalents of English \text{WH}- Questions.
If this suggestion is accepted, then the problem of what to do with some Kedu questions for which there are no corresponding non-periphrastic versions is resolved. For example, 27-31 have both (a) and (b) versions, but 32 & 33 have only the (b) form:

27 (a) Onye mere gi’?  
   (b) Kedu onye mere gi’?  

who made you cry?

4 This is the normal question that one puts to a crying child; the full form is something like this:
   Onye mere gi’ ebee akhu?  
   Who made you cry?

The deletion which has been made in 27 is always recoverable from the context of situation, that is, it is not a technically recoverable deletion.

5 -ni’ is a popular suffix in this dialect; its use with the verbs of relativized clauses is determined by the following syntactic facts: If the verb of the Relative clause is an Intransitive one, then a -ni’ suffix is obligatory as in 34 (b) and 35(b) - where the verbs are ime Intr - to happen, and ibya Intr - to come. With such obviously transitive verbs as ime Tr. - to do - (36) and iwhu’ to see (37), the -ni’ suffix is uncalled for.
28 (a) \{ \text{ Giri' mere ya? } \} \\
(b) \{ \text{ Kedu' hwe mere ya? } \} \text{ What happened to him?}

29 (a) \{ \text{ I mene ana? } \} \\
(b) \{ \text{ Ndii otho I mere? } \} \text{ How do you do?}

30 (a) \{ \text{ O byara ole mgbu? } \} \\
(b) \{ \text{ Ndii mgbu O byara? } \} \text{ When did he come?}

31 (a) \{ \text{ Ano hi n'oloo? } \} \\
(b) \{ \text{ Kedu ebe ano hi? } \} \text{ Where are they?}

32 (a) ? ? \\
(b) \{ \text{ Kedu } \} \{ \text{ Ndii } \} \text{ uzoo furu ahyaa?} \\
\text{ Which road leads to the market?}

33 (a) ? ? \\
(b) \text{ Ndii otho E ji ekpu uzii?} \\
\text{ What manner one uses blacksmith: How does one learn to blacksmith?}

In order to show that sentences such as 27 (a) and (b) are complementary to each other, it is necessary to point out that only the (b) (Kedu) versions can be negated, never the (a) forms:

34 (a) ? \text{ Giri' na emeii?} \\
(b) \text{ Kedu' hwe na emeiiini? What didn't happen?}

35 (a) ? \text{ Onye na abyahinii?} \\
(b) \text{ Kedu' onye na abyahinii? Who did not come?}

36 (a) * \text{ Ggu O meii giri?} \\
(b) \text{ Kedu' hwe Ggu na emeii? What didn't Ogu do?}
37 (a) * Ogu 0  fhwifii onye
(b) Kedu onye Ogu na fhwifii
Who did Ogu not see?

38 (a) * 0 byahii ole mgbu?
(b) Kedu mgbu na 0 byahii?
What time did he not come?

39 (a) * Ibe 0 bihi n ole?
(b) Kedu ebe Ibe na ebihii?
Where did Ibe not live?

40 (a) * Anyi agahii ime nwa ahia ahea?
(b) Kedu otho anyi na agahii ime nwa ahia?
How should we not treat the child?

34 (a) and 35 (a) are merely questionable as opposed to being totally
deviant like 36 (a), 37 (a), 38 (a), 39 and 40 (a) because of the fact
that the question words are sentence initial. What this shows is
that only the periphrastic versions of Type 2 Questions in Igbo can
be negated without any resultant deviance.

The negation of the above Kedu questions is another strong
syntactic piece of evidence in support of our analysis of this
question type as relative clauses. Recall that in 2.4.5 we made it
clear that all relative clauses, Negative in this dialect have an
obligatory Na auxiliary element. The sentences 34 (b), 35(b),
36 (b), 37 (b), 38 (b), 39 (b) and 40 (b) would be deviant without
this obligatory na element.

Now consider the following 41 (a) and (b) sentences in which the
verb is in the Perfect Form:

6 This high-high tone pattern is due to low-tone assimilation;
see 2.2.3 on low-tone assimilation.
(a) Unu ebiele n'olee n'olee?
You have lived in what place in what place?
Where and where have you lived?

(b) Kèdù ebe nà ebe unu {birile?: na' ebiele?}
What are the places in which you have lived?

If we recall that one of the morphophonemic rules of Relativization given in 6.1.0 p.313 ff allows for the optional insertion of the auxiliary nà just in case the verb of the clause being relativized happens to be in the Perfect, then we can understand the choice of verb forms in 41 (b) which, being a kèdù question, has been shown to contain a relative clause as NP.

Summary
In this section, we have shown the transformational processes necessary for the formation of the periphrastic version (kèdù forms) of Question Type 2 - that is the Igbo equivalent of English WH-Questions. We have made a case for analysing kèdù constructions as Kèdù φ-Copula N S - a complex Nominal structure in which the N and S are in the relation of head and qualifier. Both the T-rules involved in generating kèdù questions and the tone pattern of the resultant output support the relative clause analysis which we shall henceforth assume to be proved.
In the following §3.1, we shall demonstrate that the same type of NP which follows kẹdọ in a question are also found with certain complement-taking predicates. In the course of this section, it will become obvious that, apart from the structural differences between kẹdọ NP's and NP - Complements, there are other formal distinctions between them - namely, each of them is subject to a different set of T-rules.

§3.1 Kẹdọ - Questions And NP - Complementation

Bresman (1971) has convincingly demonstrated that WH - is one of the complementizer morphemes of English. This suggests the possibility that equivalent constructions in other languages might be instances of NP - complements. But it happens not to be so in Igbo, a fact which will become more obvious as we examine the following sentences:

42 (a) Ònye ñ hwụrụ n'ama n'ụcha na dị gị

Person (whom) I saw on road resembles completely husband you;

The person I saw on the road very much resembles your husband.

(b) Hwe Ibe kwụrụ gbọ ụnọ mi akwụ

Thing Ibe said can run eye water:

What Ibe said can make one weep.

(c) Ọthọ Ọ kpara ụgwu mashiri m

Manner he behaved pleased me:

The way he behaved pleased me.

(d) Èbe O bì dị ya ụhụ mmụ.

Place he lives is to his body good:

Where he lives suits him.
42 (a) Og'è Ọ byara wụ og'è enyasi.
Time he came is time of evening:
The time he came was in the evening.

43 (a) Anya m shi di ụthi.
Market I am returning is far.
The market from which I am returning is far:

(b) Iụri unu righe ga emebi unu ọfọ.
Food you are eating will spoil your stomach:
The food which you are eating will upset your stomach.

(c) Hwụna ọkpa māi anyi n'ahụ mby?
You have ever seen type of wine we are drinking before?
Have you ever seen the type of wine we are drinking?

(d) Ahụghere m any wà i ụtaara m.
Have not seen I meat the you bought for me:
I have not seen the meat you bought for me.

(e) Okwu Ogụ kwuru na ewe m iwe.
Talk Ogu talked is causing me anger:
What Ogu said is annoying me.

44 (a) Anyi ga ajụ ya hwe { Abọkị Ogụ ['Nkata'] } mere.
We shall ask him thing Abọkị/Ogu/Nkata did:
We shall ask him what Abọkị/Ogu/Nkata did.

(b) n'ishị ụtụta.
Find out person (who) came in the head of morning:
Find out who came early in the morning.

(c) Anyi amahii { og'è } n'di ọhị byara.
We know not time thieves came:
We do not know when the thieves came.
44 (d)    ụnụ  ákáble  ébẹ̀  ọ  nwụ́rụ
   You say have not place he died:
   You have not said where he died.

(e)   i'  ga'  akwani  ñyì  othe  eq'v  ñhì
   You (Sg.) will explain to us manner money that
   jiri  gwù  n'abilité atì.
   You will explain to us how that money got finished within
   three days.

A look at the underlined constructions shows how closely related
in form to the periphrastic version of Kédù - Question they are:
Apart from the absence of the item Kédù itself, the structures are
identical with the complex NP's which we associate with Kédù-
questions.  42 (a)-(e) are simple sentences, although their NP's are
complex in structure.  Their nominal heads are those with which we
are already familiar.  In 43 (a)-(e), on the other hand, we have
equally complex NP's of a similar structure to those in 42, but in
this case, the nominal heads are Igbo nouns taken at random -  ánya,
iři,  màì,  ènya,  and  ókwu.  The verbs involved in both 42 & 43 are
not, strictly speaking, complementizable verbs of the Language.  But
in 44 (a)-(e), the verbs have been carefully chosen because they are
complement-taking (complementizable) verbs.  Although the formal
properties of the underlined complex NP's are similar, if not
identical, in 42 and 44, those in 44 (a)-(e) must be associated with
Kédù questions in the sense that those of 42 (a)-(e) must not, a
fact which is reflected by the following 45 (a)-(e):

45 (a)  Kédù  nwe  {Abókì  Ogù  Nkata}
   n'èrè?
   What did Abókì etc. do?
45 (b) \textit{Kêçu onye byára n'ishi ūtutu?}
Who came very early in the morning?

(c) \textit{Kêçu og'ê ndi oñí byára?}
When did the thieves come?

(d) \textit{Kêçu ebe O nwúry?}
Where did he die?

(e) \textit{Kêçu otho eg'o oñí jiri gwú n'ábañi ató?}
How did that money get finished within three days?

The matrix verbs involved in 44 (a)-(e) are such that they demand an answer to each of the above questions.

Despite the fact that these matrix verbs are, strictly speaking, complementizable verbs, and the complex NP's express the questions - what, who, where, when and how? - they are not instances of Noun Phrase complements: they lack the structure of NP complements, having been shown to have a Relative Clause Structure; they do not have any transformations in common with NP - Complements except of course, the Pseudo-Cleft rule which applies to Relative Clauses as well as to NP - Complements. For example, for each of the sentences of 42-44, there can be only this type of transform, which is the output of the Pseudo-Cleft rule:

46 (a) \textit{Hwe anyí ga ajú yá wú Hwe Abôkí mère.}
What we shall ask him is what Aboki did.

From sentences such as the above, we can derive other stylistic variants such as 46 (b) and 46(c):

(b) \textit{Hwe Abôkí mère wú Hwe anyí ga ajú yá.}

(c) \textit{Hwe wú Hwe anyí ga ajú yá wú Hwe Abôkí mère.}

The derivation of 46 (b) and (c) is tied up with the Subject of Topicalisation or focus, the details of which are not relevant here.
Observe that although these sentences in 42-44 are subject to the optional Pseudo-Cleft rule, the rule in these cases consists of a transformational insertion of *hwe' rather than its derivation from a deep structure pronominal head *Ya', as in the case of NP Complementation. This, again, is a consequence of the structural differences between the two construction types, NP - Complements and Relative Clauses.

In view of these differences in underlying structure, and the fact that the two Clause types do not have the essential T-rules in common, except the optional Pseudo-Cleft rule, the complex Nominal Structures we have been examining here can never qualify as NP - Complements. What seems to be the true picture is this: Most, if not all, Igbo verbs can take a complex Nominal as Subject or Object, and these include the sub-category of verbs involved in Noun Phrase Complementation. It is reasonably accurate to make this assertion with regard to Complex Noun Phrases in Igbo: Any complex Noun Phrase in Igbo which is not an NP - Sentential complement is a Relative Clause.

Since most, if not all verbs will take Relative Clauses as subject or object while comparatively fewer will take NP - Complements, it follows that the ability to take NP - Complements rather than Relative Clauses is the appropriate criterion for sub-categorising some verbs in the language. Although the matrix verbs involved in 44 (a)-(e) are marked for *Ma' Interrogative Complements, they may also take any other type of Complex NP, that is, relative clauses, for example. In these examples 44 (a)-(e) the verbs, though complementzable, are to be seen as taking relative clauses as Subject or Object. Thus, 44 (a)-(e) and other sentences like them are the Igbo equivalent of English WH- Questions, yet in structure they are unequivocally relative clauses, as has been demonstrated throughout this and the foregoing sections.
For example, the following sentences 47 (a) and (b) are ambiguous as between the Ingerrogative and the non-Interrogative meanings, but their internal structure is the same - that of a Nominal and a qualifying clause, in other words, a relative clause:

47 (a) ̀Amáhì m ǹwe 0 ríri.
Know not I thing he ate:
I do not know what he ate.

(b) 0 gwáhì anyi ǹwe eze kwùru.
He did not tell us what the king said.

These two sentences are ambiguous between the following readings:

(i) ̀Okpa ǹwe 0 ríri
the type of thing he ate

(ii) 0 ríri giri
he ate what: What did he eat?

The first reading (i) entails the meaning: 0 ríri ǹwe - 'he ate something', whereas the second (ii) does not. The same explanation can be given for the ambiguity of 47 (b).

But the above type of explanation is possible only for those questions which have both the non-periphrastic as well as the periphrastic forms such as 48 (a) and (b):

48 (a) Giri m'ọrè?
What happened?

(b) Kẹdù ǹwe m'ẹrin? What happened?

But for those Type 2 questions which do not have the 47 (a) version, the above type of analysis does not hold. This type of ambiguity in Igbo cannot be disambiguated by a resort to two underlying structures, such as is the case in English. In Igbo it seems that this type of ambiguity is traceable to the different matrix verbs involved, and not necessarily to different underlying structures because the ambiguity ceases to exist if a different matrix verb is introduced:
Want I to eat the thing which he ate:
I want to eat the same thing that he ate.

(d) Ama m hwe 0 kwuru.
Know I thing he said: I know what he said.

(e) Njoku zhiri m { hwe anu } 0 zytara.
Njoku showed me { the plot of land } he bought
the plot of land he bought.

With sentences such as 48 (c)-(e), there can be no ambiguity because
the verbs involved express specific meanings. At times, the same verb
which in the affirmative expresses an unambiguous meaning will in the
Negative express an ambiguous meaning; this observation is true of
verbs like ima 'to know' and many other Na - Complement - taking
verbs which are also Ma Interrogative verbs in the Negative. There-
fore the ambiguity with Complex Noun Phrases in Igbo such as we have
been discussing here is due to the lexical verbs involved, and not
necessarily to different underlying structures, except in so far as
choice of a particular lexical item is part of underlying intention.

Conclusion

Kedu Questions are not instances of NF - Complements: their
internal structure as relativized clauses argues very much against
such an analysis, and they do not share any essential transformations
with NP - Complements, rather the T-rules they undergo are limited to
Pseudo-Cleft - rules which are rules shared by both Np - Complements
and Relative Clauses. It is because they are relative clauses that
kedu questions do not discriminate as to their matrix predicates. In
other words, Kedu Questions do not sub-categorise verbs as NP -
Complements do.
However, when these Kedu Questions function as Subject of Object of Complementizable predicates, an ambiguity arises which is traceable not to different underlying structures, but to the lexical verb involved.

6.4 Otho - Head NP's And Emotive Predicates

Of the complex Noun Phrases in Kedu-Questions, we would like to consider in more detail those with Otho as their head. These otho-headed NP's are generally found as Subject to Emotive predicates, or Object of Epistemic verbs, that is, verbs of Learning, Teaching and Knowing and their compounds. We have made an earlier reference to this Subject in 6.1.3 under NP Complementation and Emotive Predicates. We repeat here some of the examples already cited there:

49 (a) Otho E ji akwo moto ragburug onwe ya n'ahu.
Manner one uses drive cars is very difficult:
Driving a car is very difficult.

(b) Otho A ga eji ruchaa oru oju mkpa m.
Way one will use work finish work this is problem my:
How to finish this piece of work is my problem.

(c) Nwie m na amu otho E ji akwo moto.
Wife my is learning way one uses drive car:
My wife is learning to drive (a car).

(d) O ga'macha otho E ji eme noa.
He/she now knows manner one employs make soap:
He/she now knows how to make soap.

Igbo expresses the meaning, 'very high degree of intensity' by the use of a compound verb whose second root is always the stem of the verb igbu 'to kill' which is immediately followed by the reflexive pronoun onwe 'self'. For example ịmọ + igbu ----> ịmọgbu in the expressions:

- ịmọgbu onwe ya: to be very good, worthy, appropriate
- ịjọgbu "": to be very bad, unworthy etc.
- ikwọgbu "": to be very talkative

You talk kill self your in talk: You are very talkative.

'gbagburu onwe ya n'agbá: He is a very able wrestler.
49 (a)-(d) have the transforms 50 (a)-(d):

50 (a) Ịkwọ moto rágbaru onwe ụ n'ahụ.

Driving a car is a very difficult thing.

(b) Iřụcha ọrụ ọ wụ mkpa m.

Finishing this piece of work is my problem.

(c) Nwie m nà ẹmu Ịkwọ moto.

My wife is learning to drive (a car).

(d) O ga macha ọ na eme 9

He/she now knows how to make soap.

We do not claim to know the exact details about the underlying structure of ọtho-headed NP's, but the following Fig. 8 is a suggestion which suffices for the meantime for our purposes here.

---

9 The verb ima and its compound have this unique characteristic of allowing a choice in the order of their Infinitive Object Complement as 50 (d) shows. Thus we have

Ogu ma {i̱gwụ miri} {miri egwu}

Ogu knows how to swim

But with other epistemic verbs, there is no such choice.

O mgha i̱gwụ miri: He is learning to swim.

He is learning to swim.
In the above Fig. 8, the otho-headed NP is in subject relation to the main verb which must be an Emotive verb, hence the feature specification [+ emot]. If the NP is in object relation to the verb, such a verb must be an Epistemic verb in order for one to derive sentences such as 50 (a-d) from 49 (a-d). In addition to the above conditions, there is also the tense constraint on the applicability of the relevant transformations which yield 50 (a-d). As can be seen from the internal structure of VP₂, there must be either of the two verbs shi and ji which we have described as auxiliaries. The future marker ga is optional as the parentheses show. Only these conditions—the appropriate category of verb, the Habitual or Future Aspect of Verb₂—can guarantee the grammaticality of the output of the relevant T-rule here.

The relevant T-rules here are:

(i) Either (a) Indefinite Agent Deletion (opt.)

Or (b) Definite Agent Deletion under identity (opt.)

and

(ii) Infinitivization (oblig.)

The rules are schematically represented as follows:

**Agent Deletion Rule 1**

SD :

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{N} & \text{NP} & \text{(Future)} & \text{Aux} & \text{Verb} & \text{NP} & \text{Verb} & \text{NP} \\
\text{otho} & \text{VP} & \{\text{shi}\} & \{\text{ji}\} & [+] & \text{emot} \\
1 & 2 & (3) & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\end{array}
\]

Process : Delete 2 and 1

Condition : 2 must be indefinite, or definite and co-referential with 8

**SC** :

\[
\emptyset \emptyset (3) 4 5 6 7 8
\]
Agent Deletion Rule 2 (cont.)

SD: NP Verb N NP (Future) Aux Verb NP

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Process: Delete 4 and 3

Condition: (a) 4 must be indefinite, or definite and co-referential with 1
(b) 2 must be an Epistemic predicate.

SC : 1 2 0 0 (5) 6 7 8

These two essentially similar rules are needed in order to account for what happens when the two sub-categories of Emotive and Epistemic predicates are involved in other-head (Manner) NP constructions. Only one or the other of these two rules need apply to the deep structure shown in Fig. 8.

Agent Deletion, like Equi-NP Deletion, is optional, but once it has applied, it is obligatorily followed by Infinitivization. In this way, Infinitivization here as in Subjunctive Complementation (cf §2.0) is contingent on a previous application of a rule of co-referential NP deletion, or of Agent Deletion under the condition of indefiniteness. This situation must be distinguished from what happens in §2.0. (where conditional clauses function as subject of Emotive verbs) where Infinitivization is both optional and not dependent on a co-referential NP deletion. Observe, also, that here as in §2.0 and §5.2.0, the same tense constraint on the verbs of the matrix and embedded sentences is operational, namely that their tense be future or present, and never past.

We have argued in justification of the transformational relation which we have established between such constructions and their infinitival counterparts in §2.0. Sentences such as 50 (a) & (b) are ambiguous; for example, 50 (a) has the following possible interpretations:
(a) How to drive is difficult. (to learn).
(b) Driving (the process of driving) is difficult.

This ambiguity explains why Infinitivization takes place whether the relevant verb is simple present or future. Although the rule of Infinitivization in Igbo has been given in 6.2.0 p. 350, it needs repeating here because of the peculiar structure of the Verb Phrase in this type of construction:

SD : (Future) Aux Verb NP Verb NP
    NP Verb ji' Verb NP [\+
(1) 2 3 4 5 6 ]

Process : (a) Attach the Infinitive marker I- as the left sister of 3, and delete its inflectional suffix, if any.
(b) Delete 1 and 2

Condition : Obligatory

SC : \( \emptyset \emptyset \) I + 3 4 5 6

Let us now see the application of these rules in the derivation of 50 (a)-(d):

51 Nwie m na amu otho E ji akwo moto (Base form)
   (a) Nwie m na amu ji akwo moto (By Indef. Agent Del. opt.)
   (b) Nwie m na amu ikwo moto (By Infinit. oblig.)

By applying the rules of phonology (Vowel Harmony rules) to 51 (b), we derive the surface form 51 (c)

51 (c) Nwie m na amu ikwo moto.

This is exactly the same sentence as 50 (a).

52 Otho E ji akwo moto ragburu onwe ya na ahu (Base Form)
   (a) Ji akwo moto ragburu onwe ya na ahu (By Indef. Agent Del. opt.)
   (b) Ikwo moto ragburu onwe ya na ahu, (By Infinitiv. oblig.)
   (c) Ikwo moto ragburu onwe ya na ahu. (By Phon. rules oblig.)

52 (c) an acceptable sentence is the same as 50 (b).
Thus, these two examples are sufficient to illustrate the fact that only two stages are needed for the derivation of any of 50 (a) - (d) from 49 (a) - (d).

From these illustrations, it is necessary to emphasize the following fact about Infinitivization in Igbo:

Only the main verb carries the infinitive marker, the harmonising I- prefix. This means that all dangling auxiliary verbs become deleted as a consequence of infinitivization.

Next, let us turn our attention to those conditions which must be met in order for Agent deletion to take place in Igbo. We shall test these conditions in turn. First, the categories of verbs in the matrix sentence must be either Emotive or Verbs of Learning and Knowing and Teaching, and the verb which undergoes Infinitivization must be Future or Habitual in Aspect.

Consider the following sentences:

53 (a) Anyị ahwuna otho oke ji atụ qny

We have seen how rat makes hole:

We have seen how a rat makes its hole

54 (a) Anyị ahwuna otho E ji egwu ji

We have seen how one digs up yams.

We have seen how yams are dug up.

53 (b) * Anyị ahwuna oke iụụ qny

54 (b) * Anyị ahwuna igwu ji

53 (b) is deviant because of the violation of the following two conditions: the noun oke - 'rat' in the otho-NP in 53 (a) is definite, but without a corresponding co-referential NP in the matrix sentence. Secondly the matrix verb iụụ - to see - is neither an emotive nor an epistemic verb.
54 (b), on the other hand, is deviant because of the violation of only the second of the above two conditions. Observe that the Tense/Aspect condition is met in both 53 and 54.

Now examine 55 - 56:

55 (a) Òthọ Ẹ ji akọwa ọthọ Ẹ ji agba ọgba.
He is explaining how to wrestle.
(b) * Òthọ Ẹ na akọwa igbẹ ọgba.

56 (a) Igbọ na akọwa ọthọ Ẹ ji ẹme ọshi egbe.
He is reading how one makes gun powder.
He is reading about how to make gun powder.
(b) * Igbọ na akọwa imo ọshi egbe.

55 (b) and 56 (b) are deviant for the same reason that the two matrix verbs involved ikọwa and ig'ọ are neither Emotive verbs nor verbs of learning, teaching or knowing.

Now consider the following 57 - 59 in which every other condition has been met except that of Tense/Aspect constraint:

57 (a) Othọ Ẹ ẹju gbọ ọja ti ọrọ n'anya.
How one killed him was a marvel.
(b) * Igbọ ọja ti ọrọ n'anya.
(c) Gbọgbọ Ẹ gbọ ọja ti ọrọ n'anya.
How/the fact that they killed him was a marvel.

58 (a) Othọ Ẹ ẹju gbọshia ọja ti ile di ọmọ.
How they killed all of them is good.
(b) * Igbọ ọja ti ile di ọmọ.
(c) Gbọgbọ Ẹ gbọshiri ọja ti ile di ọmọ.
How/the fact that they were all killed is good.
59 (a) Ọtho Ẹ jịrị gbalaraba ọnyị dị egwụ.  
How one ran away/escaped from us is terrible.
How they escaped from us is terrible.

(b) * Igbalaba ọnyị dị egwụ.

(c) Igbalaba A gbalaraba ọnyị dị egwụ.
The fact that/how they escaped from us is terrible.

In 57-59, the Tense/Aspect of the verb in the otho-headed 'P's is past rather than Habitual or Future. The violation of this Tense Constraint means that the rules of Agent Deletion and Subsequent Infinitivization are blocked, hence the deviance of 57 (b), 58 (b) and 59 (b). Observe that 57 (c) 58 (c) and 59 (c), on the other hand, are all well-formed; each of these has a lexically derived nominal head qualified by a Relative Clause whose subject NP remains the Deep Structure Subject - the Indefinite Noun Agent. The presence of this Indefinite pronoun Subject in the transforms 57 (c) 58 (c) and 59 (c) is a convincing evidence that no rule of Agent Deletion ever applied. The Infinitive form in Igbo is always compatible with future meaning, very rarely, if ever, associated with past meaning except in the case of factive nominals of the same Phonemic shape but which can always be shown to derive from an underlying Factive Na complement, (cf. 5.1.2 p.27 ff).

It is thus clear that the violation of any of the conditions being discussed here: - the requirement that the deleted nominal be indefinite, that the matrix verbs be either Emotive Predicates or Verbs of Learning, Teaching and Knowing, and, thirdly, that tense expressed in the complex otho-headed NP be Habitual or Future, never Past - the violation of any of these results in a deviant output.

So far we have talked of Indefinite Agent deletion as if the deleted agent nominal can only be indefinite.
It can also be definite and must be deleted only under identity with another nominal in the matrix sentence.

As evidence of this, consider the following examples:

60 (a) Otho Nwokho ga eji ruchaa qiy wu mkpa m.

How Nwokho is going to complete this job is my problem.

(b) \{?\} Nwokho i\(\text{\textnt{?}}\) cha qiy wu mkpa m.

61 (a) Otho Ogu ga eshi \{\text{\textnt{nyaa gbakhe}}\} qyaa ke re ra ahy.

How Ogu is going to recover from this illness is difficult.

(b) \{?\} Ogu \{\text{\textnt{inyaa gbakhe}}\} qyaa ke re ra ahy.

62 (a) Otho Ugo ga eshi lodu n'udho tugha m ecice.

How Ugo is going to return safely is causing me some thought.

(b) \{?\} Ugo ilodu n'udho tugha m ecice.

The use of the above signs of question mark and asterisk both in braces shows that the relevant sentence is either deviant or at least questionable. Observe that in 60 (b), 61 (b) and 62 (b), the agent deletion rule has not applied. If these sentences of 60 (b), 61 (b) and 62 (b) are at least of questionable acceptability, 63 (b), 64 (b) and 65 (b) are perfectly well-formed for reasons which are soon to become obvious:

63 (a) \{Utho \text{\textnt{U}}\} anyi ga eshi gbudha ya wu yka anyi

Way/Manner we shall fell it is our problem.

How we are going to fell it is our problem.

(b) Igbudha ya wu yka anyi

\{Felling \text{\textnt{?}}\} it is our problem.
64 (a) Ọtho Ikhe ga’ eshi kwọọ ụgwọ ọnye ọdọ cèghe
How Ikhe is going to pay debt of person another is —
Ikhe ecice.

thinking Ikhe thought: How Ikhe is going to settle
another person's debt is causing him some concern.

(b) Ikwu ụgwọ ọnye ọdọ cèghe Ikhe ecice.
Paying another person's debt is causing Ikhe some anxiety.

65 (a) Ọtho m shi’ emeri ụhe ọnwụnwa a
How I am to overcome thing of temptation this
cughe m ụrụ.
is losing me sleep: How I shall overcome this temptation
is causing me loss of sleep.

(b) Ọmēri ụhe ọnwụnwa a cughe m ụrụ.
(How) to overcome this temptation is causing me loss of sleep.

Observe that in each of 63-65, there are two co-referential
nominals, and that the first of these two — that in the embedded
sentence in the otho-headed NP — has been deleted under identity with
the matrix one before Infinitivization could take place. This fact
explains the grammaticality of 63 (b), 64 (b) and 65 (b) as well as
the ungrammaticality of 60 (b), 61 (b) and 62 (b). Note that the sub­
stitution of a co-referential NP in 60 (a), for instance , results
in a grammatical 60 (c) thus:

60 (c) {ịrchọ ọgụ ọ ọụ mkpụ Ọnwụnwa .

For Ọnwụnwa to complete this job is his problem.

From the two examples in 60 (c), observe that it is Agent Deletion
under identity which yields the first one, but a pronominalisation of
the matrix, co-referential NP that produces the second sentence.
The deletion of the embedded nominal under identity with a definite nominal in the matrix sentence has been allowed for in our rule of Agent deletion on page 407-8. The same set of conditions that guarantee the well-formedness of the output of Indefinite Agent deletion are also necessary for the grammaticality of the output of Agent Deletion under identity. Each of these deletions must be followed by Infinitivization.

Summary

In this final section of this chapter, we have examined in some detail the syntactic behaviour of o'tho-headed NP's when the matrix verbs involved in the construction are either Emotive verbs or the Epistemic verbs of Learning, Teaching and Knowing. It has been demonstrated that given the right conditions, one can derive the Infinitival transforms of o'tho-headed NP's via one of the following optional rules which must be followed by Infinitivization:

(a) Indefinite Agent Deletion or
(b) Co-referential Agent Deletion

It has also been demonstrated conclusively that infinitivization here means the infinitivization of the main verb of this rather multiple verb and an obligatory deletion of any other verb which does not bear the infinitive marker - the harmonising I.

What is being stressed here is not that only Emotive Predicates and the Verbs of Learning, Teaching and Knowing may take o'tho-headed NP's as subject or object, but that only they guarantee that the output of the above T-rules are well-formed, given that other necessary conditions have been met. Verbs from all subcategories of NP - complement-taking predicates can take o'tho-headed NP's as either subject or object, but they are not subject to the optional application of Infinitivization as Emotive and Epistemic predicates are.
With the subcategory of Factive predicates, otho-headed NP constructions have a factive interpretation, as the following examples show.

66 (a) Otho 0 jiri nwuy  tury  madhu nile n'anya.
Manner he used die struck people all in eye
The manner of his death surprised everybody.

66 (a) may be transformed into 66 (b)
(b) Onwu 0 nwuy tury madhu nile n'anya.
Death which he died surprised everybody:

67 (a) Otho 0 jiri mevq  onwe ya wutere m.
The fact that he disgrace himself pained me

67 (a) may be transformed into 67 (b)
(b) Mmevq 0 mevq onwe ya wutere m.
The fact that he disgraced himself pained me.

The above pairs of sentences are semantically equivalent, if not syntactically related, and more often than not, it is the factive interpretation rather than the manner one that is entailed, though there seems to be very little to choose between the two possible interpretations. In 5.1.2, p. 285, we referred to the preference of speakers of this dialect to express a factive meaning by means of relative clauses rather than by a Na-complement. That preference also extends to otho-headed NP's, which have been shown to be relative clauses. As can be seen from the few examples above, what one derives from otho-headed NP's with other than Emotive or Epistemic predicates is not an infinitive transform, but another relative clause. For these other verbs Agent Deletion and subsequent Infinitivization rules are blocked.
The above facts suggest that of all the complex nominal constructions which have been shown to be identical in structure with Kedu-Questions, Otho-headed NP's are unique: they have the structure of relative clauses, and, expectedly, are subject to such T-rules as are associated with other members of their group, for example, ñwe-, èbe-, onye-, and mbu- headed nominal constructions. But unlike these, otho-headed NP's are subject to the rules of Agent Deletion followed by obligatory Infinitivization, an aspect of their syntactic behaviour which strongly suggests that they may also be analysed as NP-complements- as well as Relative clauses. But when one realises that this possibility of two-fold analysis is restricted to certain categories of verbs, namely, Emotive and Epistemic verbs, then the conclusion becomes inescapable that this uniqueness is due, not to otho-headed NP's, but to the matrix verbs involved. It is thus understandable why only Emotive and Epistemic Verbs permit the infinitivization of otho-headed NP, eventhough most, if not all, verbs do take them as subject or object NP.

6.5 M\textsuperscript{a}_2 - Complement Verbs

The following is a sample list of verbs which take M\textsuperscript{a}_2 Interrogative Complements, they all share the following core of features:

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
+ \text{Verb} \\
+ S-\text{Interrog.} \\
- \text{Factive} \\
+ \text{Object S Prepose} \\
\end{array} \right. \\
\text{\{iche\}} \quad \text{to think} \\
\text{\{iro\}} \\
\text{\{ika\}} \quad \text{to say} \\
\text{\{igba aggy\}} \quad \text{to argue, debate, doubt} \\
\text{\{i\}j\}} \quad \text{to ask}
\]
i'tu'le                         to examine, reason out, figure out
i'le' anya                     to expect
i'tu' anya                     to expect
i'na' nthi                      to make out

In addition to the above, most Ne' - Complement Verbs take Ne' Interrogative Complements whenever such verbs are in the Negative or Imperative form.
Chapter 7

Si Imperative Complementation.

7.0.0 Introduction

This chapter is very short, being concerned with the smallest of the categories of NP-Complements in Igbo - the Imperative Complement which is introduced by the complementizer morpheme si'.

The status of this complementizer has been fully discussed in chapter 4 (4.1.5) where it has been made clear that this specialised function of si' is due to the fact that it is a form of the verb isi 'to say' which can introduce the actual words of the speaker as if in quotes.

In this chapter, we highlight the similarities and differences between the Imperative complements on the one hand, and Na Declarative Complements on the other. We do this because both complement types draw from the same sub-category of verbs for their matrix predicates; specifically only verbs of saying, which are also Na-complement - taking verbs, can function in the matrix sentences of si' Imperative complements.

One of these differences lies in the fact that it is only in si' Imperative complements that we have a rule of Complementizer Deletion which is not triggered either by Equi-NP Deletion, as in Subjunctive Complementation (cf 8.2.0), or by Agent Deletion under Conditions of indefiniteness, as in Interrogative complementation, (cf 6.4.366.7 ).

The conditions governing this optional deletion of si' complementizer are given in 7.2.0. Secondly, from the account presented here, it will be observed that the particular sequence of rules that one decides to apply determines whether the rule of co-referential NP Deletion is optional or obligatory. For example, the following sequence of rule applications,

(i) Ya'Deletion
(ii) Comp. Deletion
(iii) Consecutive co-referential NP Deletion (oblig.).

creates an output to which the rule of co-referential NP Deletion is obligatory (cf 7.2.0. p.372), while the alternative sequence,

i) Ya Movement

ii) Pseudo-cleft

iii) Relativization (oblig.)

produces a derived structure which does not meet the structural description for obligatory co-referential NP Deletion because the two co-referential NP's are no longer consecutive, but separated by the copula wú, (cf 7.2.0. p.372). This situation is unique to si Imperative complementation.

Finally, in terms of the range of phenomena examined in this chapter, the analysis of Imperatives in Igbo is of a wider scope than an analysis of equivalent constructions in English and, expectedly different. We recognise the following constructions which are used in giving commands:

(a) Imperatives, and

(b) Peremptory Declaratives

But we are only concerned with Imperatives, and for that matter with only a sub-category of Imperatives because only this sub-category has imperative verb-forms in both the Affirmative and Negative. The other sub-category with the modal, ga (Ga - Imperative) although an imperative construction of a kind, behaves like Peremptory Declaratives in the Negative and under NP-complementation. These categories of construction - Imperatives and Peremptory Declaratives are discussed in the following section 7.1.0.

7.1.0 Igbo Imperatives and the Range of Phenomena Examined

Since we are going to be concerned in the rest of this chapter with Imperatives, but not with Peremptory Declaratives, we shall start with the latter so as to dispose of them and concentrate on the relevant subject.
Consider the following as examples of what we describe as Peremptory Declaratives; (the term is due to Stockwell et al (1973: 649 et seq)).

1(a) ị n'ụfụta ọguọ ọ gbọọ. (vb ịhụ cl.1)
You drink must medicine this now: You must drink this medicine now.

(b) Anyị jhefụta ọhụ thẹ. (vb ijhọ cl.3)
We go must market today: We must go to market today.

(c) Ogu ụzafụta ụlọ ọ gbọọ. (vb iza cl.2)
Ogu/he must sweep this floor now.

Observe from 1(a-c) that the verb-form involved in this type of construction is fixed: it is always the verb-stem plus the following compound suffix -fụta. Because this suffix is on low-tones, the preceding verb-stem is uniformly high regardless of the class of verb involved. The compound suffix -fụta corresponds to the English modal 'must' which occurs only with Peremptory Declaratives, but never with Imperatives. We employ the term, Peremptory Declaratives, to denote a construction type in Igbo with the type of verb-form described here. This construction type is used to perform the speech act of giving commands and issuing orders. In other words, the illocutionary force of Peremptory Declaratives is an order or command. As we shall demonstrate very shortly, the verb-forms involved in 1(a-c) are not imperative verb-forms as we know them in Igbo.

Having pointed out the distinctive characteristic of the above construction type, let us now examine the next category - Imperatives.

---

1 This term is used here in the sense that J L Austin (1962) and J R Searle (1969) use it.
7.1.1 Imperatives

We also use the above term to denote a construction type with
the following sub-members - Imperatives 1 and 2.

**Imperative 1 - or Ga’ - Imperatives**

This sub-category of Imperative Constructions is exemplified in
the following:

2(a) *Nwatakiри ga eruwere nne na nna ishi.*

*Child will obey mother and father;*

A child should obey his father and mother.

(b) *Onye o wula’ ga eme hwe a gwara ya’.*

*Person who it be will do thing one told him;*

Everybody should/will do what they are told.

(c) *O ga ehiriri ezhi tupu ya a gawa akwykwọ.*

*He must sweep compound before he goes school;*

He must (unfailingly) sweep the compound before going to school.

Examples 2(a-c) have the modal, *ga*, which has been glossed as
'will' or 'should'. In addition, 2(c) has the emphatic verb suffix
-ri which with ga has been translated as 'must unfailingly'.

Imperative 1 can be likened to Peremptory Declaratives in Igbo since
both of them have the illocutionary force of command, and each of them
has a fixed verb-form. But it should be remembered that the modal *ga* is
associated only with Imperative constructions and never with Peremptory
Declaratives in the English language, and that this situation is similar
to what obtains in Igbo.

However, the similarity between the two construction types -
Peremptory Declaratives and Ga-Imperative is further brought out by the
following examples where verbs are in the Negative:

3(a) *Nwatakiри agbọi eruwere nne na nna ishi.*

*A child should not obey his mother and father.*
3(b) Onye ọ wula ọgahii ọma hwe a gwara ya.
   Everybody should not do what they are told.
   (c) ọ ọgahii ẹñiriri ọzhị tupu ya ọgawa akwykwọ.
       He must not sweep the compound before going to school.
4(a) i ọgahii ịnụrụta ọgwụ ọ gbọọ.
       You must not drink this medicine now.
   (b) Anyị ọgahii ịjhịrụta ọgha thẹa.
       We must not go to market today.
   (c) ọgu ọgahii ịzịrụta ala ụlọ ọ gbọọ.
       Ogu must not sweep this floor now.
3(a-c) represent the Negative version of 2(a-c), while 4(a-c)
       represent those of 3(a-c). Observe that the negation of both
       Peremptory Declaratives and Ga-Imperative entails the use of the same
       modal gë and the negative suffix -nI/ghI. This suffix which is
       associated only with the Indicative mood has been given and discussed in
       2.3.4. It is distinct from the negative imperative suffix -nA ~ nA
       also illustrated in the same section. The fact that these two con-
       struction types are essentially the same in the Negative means that they
       need to be distinguished only in the Affirmative.

Now contrast the verb-forms of both Peremptory Declaratives and
Ga-Imperative, on the one hand, with those of the following examples
of Imperative 2.

Imperative 2
5(a) *Rì
   (b) Rì : eat! (vb. cl.1)
   (c) Fù/Fù² : go out (vb cl.2)

2 With verbs of Tone classes 2 & 3, there is the choice of either the
high or low tone unsuffixed imperative according to speaker's attitude.
The high-tone form is generally abrupt and used only in moments of anger
or disgust, when it may be followed, after a pause, by a word of abuse thus
Fù - ọnye ọhị: Get out - rogue!
This high-tone form of verb* classes 2 & 3 imperative never take a suffix
in our dialect.
5(d) Ga/Ga' : go (vb cl.3)

So far, we have not discovered any class 1 verb whose imperative form is without a suffix in constructions such as 5(b-d). However, the unsuffixed imperative form of this group of verbs is possible, provided there is a following lexical item or phrase, as in 5(a).

5(e) Rî ngwa’ngwa: Eat quickly/Ra quick with your eating.

6(a) Raa ubhe: Eat some pears (African pears) (vb cl.1)
    (b) Zaa amâ : Sweep the road.
    (c) Gaa ozhi : Run the errand.
    (d) Hyöchámächaa oce niìle.

      Dust complete wall clean seats all: Dust all the seats thoroughly clean.

(The verb in 6(d) above is a compound one with the following constituents:
   ḗhyö - to dust (cl. 3)
   ḗchâ - to be clean (" 1
   ḗmâ - to be neat, clean (" 1)

Compound verbs are as yet unclassified).

Negative Imperative

    The above examples have their corresponding negative versions with a distinctive suffix -lA, which has also been illustrated in 2.3.4.

7(a) Árâla ubhe : Don't eat pears
    (b) Ázâla - amâ .Don't sweep the street/road.
    (c) Ágâla ozhi : Don't run any errand
    (d) Áhymochâla oce niîle: Do not dust all the seats thoroughly clean.

7(a-d) are the negative counterparts of 6(a-d); to these may be added the following 8(a) & (b) in which the phonemic shape of the Neg. Imperative suffix -lA is phonologically determined:

8(a) Ánu na mai: Don't drink (wine).
    (b) Ámûna ížû ohi: Don't learn to steal.

    From 7 & 8, it will be observed that the negative imperative
form of the verb is morphologically distinct from other negative suffixes such as -hII/ghI. In other words, the Indicative negative suffix -hII/ghI co-occurs with the verbs of Peremptory Declaratives and Imperative 1, while Imperative 2 verbs take only the -1A suffix whose tone is determined by that of its verb stem.

Admittedly, the affirmative imperative form of Igbo verbs, such as we have given in examples 5 & 6, is not distinct from, say, the Subjunctive or conditional form of the same verb, as the following 9 & 10 show:

9(a) Acọ́rọ̀ m ka Ògù́ ńkọ́ ǹwé.
    Want I that Ogu eat thing: I want Ogu to eat something.

(b) Acọ́rọ̀ m ka Ògù́ ńkọ́ ǹgbe.
    I want Ogu to sweep the parlour.

(c) Ògù́ na agbálí ka ńnu gáa n'og'è.
    Ogu is trying that you go in time: Ogu is struggling to see that you go in time.

10(a) Di ya ńkọ́ ǹwé, ya ńhyawa.
    If husband her eats thing, she starts coming: If her husband eats, she will start coming.

(b) Gi ńkọ́ ńyọ́, obi adi m mma.
    If you sweep the house, heart will be to me good.
    If you sweep the house, I shall be happy.

(c) Ya gáa, mü àndi n'ylì.
    If he/she goes, I stay at home.

Examples 9(a-c) are subjunctive constructions, while those of 10(a-c) are Conditional ones. The relevant verbs have been underlined.
imperative form in 5 & 6. This might tempt one into an analysis which recognizes a general Subjunctive Mood for Igbo verbs, which may be used either to give orders or make requests. This is the situation in English and other Indo-European languages where the Imperative and the Subjunctive are not as clear-cut as they are, say, in Latin, where the following distinct forms exist side by side:

- Veni (Imperative) come (sg.)
- Venite " come (pl.) plural
- Venias (Subjunctive) you (sg.) may come.
- Veniatis " you (pl.) "

But the existence of the distinct Neg. Imperative suffix -L argues against such an analysis.

Moreover, there are syntactic distinctions, too, which support the recognition of a separate Imperative mood of the verb. We have seen, for example, that Tone class 3 verbs, ịgà for example, have two distinct tone patterns -

- gàà for the Imperative and
- gàà " " Subjunctive & Conditional.

Although verbs of this Tone class could be seen as exceptions to the rule, their tonal distinction in the two types of constructions being considered here - Imperatives on the one hand, and the Subjunctive and Conditionals on the other, is to be recognised. Secondly, Peremptory Declaratives and Ịga-Imperative constructions behave differently from Imperative 2 under NP embedding: Whereas Imperative 2 can be introduced only by si complementizer - the sole marker of Imperative complements, Peremptory Declaratives as well as Imperative 1 may be introduced by either Na or si complementizer. In the case of Peremptory Declaratives, Imperative NP-embedding results in a change of pronoun subjects from the non-emphatic to the emphatic forms, thus: 
He said that you must go to market.

But with Na as the complementizer, the above change in pronoun forms does not obtain, as 11(d) shows:

(d) 0 kare na m jhufuta ahy a. He said that I must go to market.

The fact that Peremptory Declaratives and Ga-Imperatives can be introduced by either si or Na means that they belong to the other categories of complements, rather than to si Imperative complement.

Thirdly, although in the Affirmative, Subjunctive and Conditional verb-forms are not distinct from the Imperative one, in the Negative there is a sharp distinction, as the following examples reveal:

12(a) 0 kwuru si anyi anwuna
He said that we die not: He wished us well/
He wished us a long life.

(b) 0 gwara ha ejhele:
He told them go not: He asked them not to go.

(c) 0 si madhu anona nefu.
He said person stay not idle: He said that no one should stay idle.

In the above 12(a-c), the Imperative verb-forms have been underlined; the only permissible complementizer is si and the matrix verbs are those of saying. But in the following 13(a-b), the underlined verb is a periphrastic verb construction involving the verb igha and a following nominal complements; furthermore, the verbs involved in the superordinate sentences are not verbs of saying:
13(a) Acoro m ke anyị ghara (na) ịgha ahye.
Want I that we avoid (from) going market:
I do not want us to go to market.

(b) Emeghe m osisi ga ma anyị ghara ime leethi.
I am doing I quickly that we avoid going late:
I am hurrying so that we may not be late.

If the verb-forms in Subjunctive and Conditional constructions were identical to those in Imperative constructions, than one would expect their negative equivalents to be also non-distinct. In other words, one would expect the negative imperative verb-forms in 12(a-c) to have the privilege of occurrence in Constructions such as 13(e-b). That this is not the case accounts for the deviance of the following 13(c-d): imperative verb-forms do not function in subjunctive clauses in Igbo.

13(c) * Anyị coro ka anyị amwụna.
We want that we die not
dust as verbs in a Subjunctive or Conditional construction have their appropriate negatives, so do imperative verb-forms, a fact which argues for their analysis as distinct verb-forms, despite their apparent identity in the Affirmative. Henceforth, we shall assume that the recognition of an Imperative division of the verb in Igbo is well-motivated.

So far, the examples we have given consist mainly of second person imperatives. But there is much more to Igbo Imperative Constructions than those examples show. For example, unlike English, Igbo has imperatives for all the three persons both singular and plural thus:

14(a) M ga wa gbuo? (verb ịgha cl.3)
I go start now? : Should I start going now?
14(b) gáwa gbuo: Start going now.
(c) Ya' gáwa gbuo: Let him start going now
(e) Anyí gáwa gbuo?: Are we to start going now?
(1) Unu gbuo: Start going now
Ha' "": Let them start going now.

15(a) M' ngô duu? Am to be quiet? (vb. îngô cl.2)
(b) Ngô duu. Be quiet.
(c) Ya' ngô duu. Let him be quiet.
(d) Anyí "": Are we to be quiet
(e) Unu " duu. You, people, be quiet
(f) Ha' "": Let them be quiet

16(a) M' rie ñwô? (vb ifî cl.1)
I eat thing? Am I to eat something?
(b) Rie ñwô Eat something
(c) Ya' rie ñwô Let him eat something
(d) Anyí "": Are we to eat something?
(e) Unu " You, people, eat something
(f) Ha' "": Let them eat something.

Paradigm with the verb in the Negative

17(a) M' okwûle okwu? Am I not to talk.
(b) Okwûle okwu. Do not talk.
(c) Ya' okwûle okwu. Let him not talk.
(d) Anyí "": Are we not to talk?
(e) Unu " okwu. You, people, do not talk
(f) Ha' "": Let them not talk.

These examples 14-17 are enough to show that the Imperative form of the verb is not limited to the second person, but extends to all the three persons both singular and plural. The only difference is that with the second person as the subject of the Imperative construction,
an optional deletion of the subject is permissible, whereas with any
other than the second person, the deletion of the subject is blocked.
Therefore, the observation \(^3\) by Chomsky (1955), Klina (1964),
Kiparsky (1963) Katz and Postal (1964), Lees (1964) and Hasegawa(1965),
that Imperatives have you as underlying subject, true, though it is for
English, does not hold for the Igbo language.

Observe also from 14-17 that the first person Imperative construc-
tion is in the form of Yes/No question. The full form of the first
person Imperative is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{18(a) } & \quad \hat{\text{di}} \ wu \ \{^m \text{anyi}\} \ f\hat{\text{djo}}? \\
\text{Is it I/we go out: Am I/Are we to go out?}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{18(b) } & \quad \hat{\text{di}} \ wu \ \{^m \text{anyi}\} \ a\hat{\text{fula}}?: \ Am \ I/\text{are we not to go out}
\end{align*}
\]

The question marker here, \(\hat{\text{di}} \ wu\), is optionally deletable, and if it
is deleted, the bound morpheme, \(^m \text{anyi}\) (hitherto called the inseparable
pronoun) must become the free (or separable) form, \(\text{mu}\), whenever a
negative imperative verb is involved, hence the form we have in 17(a).

With the first person as subject, therefore, an Imperative construction
in Igbo has the illocutionary force of request. Stockwell et al.(1973)
distinguish between Imperatives and Requests in English, the latter,
they associate with Yes/No - Questions. In Igbo, the situation is
slightly different: the language has a syntactic construction called
the Imperative, which can be used to perform the speech act of making
a request or giving commands. If a request, rather than an order, is
intended, the language employs, in addition, such items as \(\hat{\text{bikhô}}\) or
\(k\hat{\text{e\'a}}\) 'please', as in the following examples:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{18(c) } & \quad \hat{\text{bikhô}} \ a\hat{\text{fula}}. \\
\text{Please, go out not: Please, don't go out.}
\end{align*}
\]

---

\(^3\) Stockwell et. al. (1973: 639 et seq.)
Please my child, do what I told you.

It seems that Igbo is unique in having the imperative in all the persons, with the first person imperative being a sort of request.

Having argued the case for the existence of an imperative division of the verb, and demonstrated the form that an Imperative construction can take in Igbo, let us now add that an interest in this chapter lies with Imperative 2 - that is those construction types with imperative verb-forms, and which can be embedded only as si NP-complements. The process of si Imparitive complementation is discussed in the following section 7.2.0.

7.2.0 Si Imperative Complementation

Let us consider the paradigms 19-22 and how each of the members is derived:

19(a) Fada gwara unu sì unu òrò okwu ya,
     Priest told you saying you. follow word his
     òshòne omumé yá.
     follow not deed his;
     The priest told you to follow his words, and not his deeds.

(b) Fada gwara unu òrò okwu ya, òshòne omumé yá.
     (same as (a))

(c) Hwe fada gwara unu wú sì unu òrò okwu ya òshòne
     omumé- yá: What the priest told you is to follow his words
     and not his deeds.

4 We also recognise a Category of Subjunctive constructions which may be described as Let-Imperatives. These begin with the complementizer ka which we associate with kàa, 'please' (cf 10. 2.0. )

The following are illustrative examples:

Kà ìanyì laa: May we go: Let's go.
Kà o rie hwe: May he eat: Let him eat
Kà hâ kwuò hwe hâ byaara: Let them say what they came for.

Our analysis of this type of construction has been sketched in 4.1.4:107.
19(d) Ñé fada gwara únụ wụ unụ ịọrọ okwu yé, 
öṣọne omume yé. (same as (c)).

(e) Ñé fada gwara únụ wụ ịọrọ okwu yí, 
öṣọne omume yé. (same as (c)).

20(a) Q sị Ogu byawé ngwaŋwa.
He told Ogu (to) start coming quickly.

(b) Ñé o sị Ogu wụ ya byawé ngwaŋwa.
What he told Ogu is that he should start coming quickly.

20(b) Hwe o sị Ogu wụ ya byawé ngwaŋwa.

What he told Ogu is to start coming quickly.

21(a) O tiri mkpu sị Oti na nwie ya mɛzhie.
He shouted that Oti should be reconciled with his wife.

(b) Ñe o tiri mkpu yă wụ sị Oti na nwie.
What he shouted about is that Oti should be reconciled with his wife.

(c) Ñé o tiri mkpu yă wụ Oti na nwie ya mɛzhie.
(same as (b)).

22(a) Onye nkuzhi ọkwuole sị onye ọguwọlẹ mec'hie ọnụ.
The teacher has said that everybody should be quiet.

(b) Ñe onye nkuzhi \{kwurule\} wụ sị onye ọguwọlẹ mec'hie ọnụ;
What the teacher has said is that everybody should be quiet.

22(c) Ñe onye nkuzhi \{na ọkwuole\} wụ onye ọguwọlẹ mec'hie ọnụ.

We take each of these sets of paradigms in turn beginning with paradigm 19, the Deep structure of which is represented by Fig. 1 with non-essential details omitted.
Let us assume that co-ordinate Reduction and Affix Hopping have applied to Fig. 1 to produce the following 23.

23 Fada gwara unu ya si unu so o kwu ya omume ya.

23 meets the structural description for either Abstract ya' Deletion or Abstract ya' Movement: we apply the former first, and the output is 23(a)
23(a) Fáda gwára ụnụ sị ụnụ ọsọ ọkụ ụsọ, ọsó omonu ya. (By T. Abstract Ya Del). With the necessary phonological rules applied, 23(a) becomes the grammatical sentence 23(b), which is the same as 19(a).

23(b) Fáda gwára ụnụ sị ụnụ ọsọ ọkụ ụsọ, ọsó omonu ya.

Now 23(b) can undergo the rule of optional complementizer deletion; since this is a new rule, we give it schematically as follows:

The Rule of Comp. Deletion

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SD:}\\
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\hline
\text{NP} & \text{Verb} & \text{NP} & \left[\begin{array}{c}
\text{Comp} & \text{NP} & \text{Verb} & \text{NP}
\end{array}\right] & \text{X}\\
\hline
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\text{Process:} \quad \text{Delete} \quad 4

\text{Condition:} \\
(a) \quad 3 = 5, \text{ and } 3 \text{ is the indirect object.} \\
(b) \quad \text{obligatory iff } 2 \text{ is the verb } \text{iri}, \\
\quad \text{otherwise optional.}

\text{X is a variable.}

\text{SC:} \quad 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \emptyset \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8.

The output of this Deletion rule applying optionally here is 23(c), which now becomes the input to the rule of consecutive NP Deletion, the rule is obligatory:

The Rule of Consecutive Co-referential NP Deletion - (oblig.)

23(c) Fáda gwára ụnụ ụnụ ọsọ ọkụ ụsọ ọsó omonu ya.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{SD:}\\
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\hline
\text{NP} & \left[\begin{array}{c}
\text{Verb} & \text{NP}
\end{array}\right] & \left[\begin{array}{c}
\text{NP} & \text{VERB} & \text{NP}
\end{array}\right] & \text{X}\\
\hline
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\text{Process:} \quad \text{Delete} \quad 4

\text{Condition:} \quad 3 = 4

\text{SC:} \quad 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \emptyset \ 5 \ 6 \ 7

The output of this rule is 23(d) in which the necessary phonological rules have been applied.
23(d) *Fada gwara unu soro okwu ya esone omume ya.*

This 23(d) is the same as 19(b).

Observe that this rule which deletes the subject of the embedded sí Imperative Complement is obligatory here because the two co-referential nouns follow each other consecutively. Note also that the sequence of the rules so far applied in the derivation of 19(a) and (b) is as follows:

1. Ya' Deletion (oblig.)
2. Comp. " (opt./oblig according to the matrix verb - cf p.437ff).
3. Consecutive Co-ref. NP Deletion (oblig.)

For as long as this sequence is followed, so long with the deletion of the complementizer produce a derived structure in which the two NPs - the matrix object and the Embedded subject - are consecutive. Now let us see how the adoption of an alternative sequence determines the obligatoriness or optionality of this NP deletion.

This time, we start with the alternative rule - the Ya movement rule on the same structure 23 - repeated here for ease of reference:

23. *Fada gwara unu ya si unu soro okwu ya esone omume ya.*

23(e) *Ya fada gwara unu si unu soro okwu ya esone omume ya.*

(By opt. Ya Mvt.)

(f) *Hwe fada gwara unu wu si unu soro okwu ya esone omume ya.* (By oblig. Pseudo Cleft)

(g) *Hwe fada gwara unu wu si unu soro okwu ya esone omume ya.* (By Vacuous Relat.)

(h) *Hwe fada gwara unu wu si unu soro okwu ya esone omume ya.* (by Phonological rules)

23(h) is a grammatical sentence and is the same as 19(c).

23(h) may now undergo the optional rule of comp. deletion since its matrix verb is not isi, and the output of the application of this rule is 23(i).
23(i)  ĕwe fada gwara unu wu unu ọsoro okwu yà.
ĕsone omume yà.

23(i) is the same as 19(d).

It is observable from 23(i) that the two co-referential NP's - the object of the Matrix sentence, and the subject of the Embedded sentence, the two unu's - are no longer consecutive because the rule of Pseudo Cleft has brought about the interposition of wu between them. This means that none of the conditions for the obligatory application of the deletion of the embedded subject is met. However, the deletion may still apply optionally to yield 23(j).

23(j)  ĕwe fada gwara unu wu ọsoro okwu yà ĕsone omume yà.

23(j) is the same sentence as 19(e).

It is thus obvious that an obligatory or optional application of co-referential NP deletion depends on the derivational history of the structure in question, that is on the sequence of rules that yields the input to this deletion rule.

Recall the conditions for an obligatory deletion of the complementizer si: one of them is that the matrix verb has got to be the verb isi itself, the stem of which is si the complementizer.

In the derivation of the paradigm 20(a)-(d), this point is illustrated thus:

24 Ya si Ogu ya - si Ogu byawa ngwa nga (Base Form)

(a) Ya si Ogu si Ogu byawa ngwa nga. (By oblig. Abstr. Ya Del.)
(b) Ya si Ogu Ogu byawa ngwa nga. (By oblig. comp. Del.)
(c) Ya si Ogu byawa ngwa nga (By oblig. Consec. Coref. NP Del.)
(d) O si Ogu byawa ngwa nga (By oblig. Ya - O Conv.)
24(e) ṭ si Ogu byawa ngwaŋwa. (By oblig. Phon. rules)

24(e) is the same sentence as 20(a).

It seems that we had assumed that the deletion of the comp. si is obligatory with isi as the matrix verb without proving it. Now let us see the consequences of its non-deletion: 24(b) is the output of the abstract Ya Deletion. Suppose that the deletion of si does not take place, then we will be left with 24(b) as the input to the Phonological rule of Ya - 0 conversion, and this will in turn yield 24(f).

24(f) ṭ0 si Ogu si Ogu byawa ngwaŋwa.

24(f) is decidedly deviant.

One may argue that 24(f) meets the SD for Co-referential NP deletion which is optional in a case such as 24(f), above. Even the application of this rule does not redeem 24(f) because the output of such a rule would be 24(g) which still remains deviant:

24(g) ṭ0 si Ogu si byawa ngwaŋwa.

It follows, therefore, that the verb isi must be marked in the Lexicon for obligatory Complementizer deletion in isi Imperative complementation.

If the complementizer is obligatorily deleted whenever isi is the matrix predicate, one will expect this characteristic to be maintained even in Pseudo-Cleft transforms. This is, in fact, what happens, as the following derivations show following the alternative Rule order which starts with Ya movement and subsequent Pseudo-Cleft formation rule.

The Base Form remains 24, which we repeat for the sake of convenience:

24 Ya si Ogu ya si Ogu byawa ngwaŋwa (Base)

24(h) Yə Ya si Ogu si Ogu byawa ngwaŋwa (By opt. Ya Mvt)

(by Oblig. Pseudo-Cleft)

(by Vacuous Relat.)
Suppose we were to apply the Phonological rules which convert Ya' to 0 to 24(j), the output would be 24(k) which is deriint with the comp. si as yet undeleted:

24(k) *hwe' q si Ogu wu s i Ogu byawo ngwa ngwa
(by oblig. Ya' - 0 conversion)

Even if the second occurrence of Ogu becomes pronominalised to give (1), the sentence would still remain deviant.

(1) *hwe' q si Ogu wu s i ya byawo ngwa ngwa.
(by Pronominalisation)

But the obligatory deletion of the comp si renders the resultant output grammatical.

(m) hwe' q si Ogu wu ya byawo ngwa ngwa.
(By oblig. Comp. Del)

Observe that 24(m) is the same sentence as 20(b), and is well-formed. This fact means that the deletion of the subject of the embedded sentence under identity with the matrix object is no longer obligatory but optional. If this optional deletion rule is applied to (m), the output is (n), which is the same as 20(c).

24(n) hwe' q si Ogu wu byawo ngwa ngwa.

From the derivational history of paradigm 20 given here, it is conclusive that the matrix verb isi is mutually exclusive with the complementizer si. The reason is fairly obvious: since the verb and the complementizer have a lot of characteristics in common (cf their feature specifications in the Lexicon) to have the two of them in one and the same sentence is definitely repetitious and is not done by native speakers of this dialect. We have argued in chapter 5 that Igbo function words, complementizers inclusive, are verb-forms, si is a typical example of such a verb functioning as subordinating conjunction.

One of the conditions which determine Comp. deletion is that there must be two co-referential NP's, the first of which must be the indirect
object. In the paradigms 21 & 22, this condition is not met. Let us see how this fact interacts with the comp. deletion rule. The Base Form of the sentences of 21 is given in 25:

25 Ya tiri mkpu ya sji Oti na nwie ya mazhie.

(Base Form)

(a) Ya tiri mkpu sji Oti na nwie ya mazhie (By oblig. Ya Del.)
(b) O tiri mkpu sji Oti na nwie ya mazhie (By oblig. Ya - D conversion).

25(b) is the same as 21(a), we needed only two stages to derive it from the Base structure 25.

Observe that the matrix object - 'mkpu' shout - is not co-referential with the Embedded subject - Oti, and the verb in the matrix sentence is 'iti mkpu' 'to raise a shout', but not 'esi', 'to say'. Therefore none of the conditions for comp. Deletion is met. The application of this rule can only produce an ungrammatical sentence such as 25(c).

25(c) *O tiri mkpu Oti na nwie ya mazhie.

But the Pseudo-Cleft transforms of 25(b) are not subject to the same constraint, as the following derivational history shows; the Base form is still 25.

25(d) hwe ya tiri mkpu ya wu sji Oti na nwie ya mazhie.

(By opt. Pseudo-Cleft)

(e) hwe ya tiri mkpu ya wu sji Oti na nwie ya mazhie.

(By Vacuum Relat.)

This underlying form shows a Base coordination of NP's. We have not given any attention to Coordination in Igbo, which is irrelevant to Complementation. But a systematic investigation of the process of coordination in Igbo is very likely to recognise more than one method. Undoubtedly, some conjoined NP's can be shown to derive from higher order coordinates of sentences in Base structure, as has been convincingly demonstrated by Koutsoudas (1971a).

But such an analysis will raise the question as to what to do with Igbo sentences such as:

My na ya kwuru:
I and he went together; I went with/accompanied him.

For sentences like this, there can be no Base-coordinated sentential source.
25(f)  ḣwe  o  tiri  mkpu  ya  wụ  sị  Oti  na  nwie  ya  mɛzhie.
(By oblig. Ya-O conversion - Phon rules)

(g)  ḣwe  o  tiri  mkpu  ya  wụ  Oti  na  nwie  ya  mɛzhie.
(By optional comp. Del)

25(f) and (g) are the same as 21(b) and (c) respectively, and they are all well-formed.

Observe that the Pseudo-Cleft rule in the above example entails a transformationally inserted ḣwe contrary to our usual practice of deriving it from the underlying abstract proform ya which is still present in these surface structures 25(f) and (g). In this regard, recall also that in 6.2. (p. 342ff), the same phenomenon was observed with the verb ʼigbɛ  ʼagụọ - to doubt. Each of these two verbs have what we describe as inherent complement, that is the verbs must be cited as ʼiti mkpu’ to shout, ʼi gbɛ  ʼagụọ’ - to argue, debate in order for them to make any sense. The language is full of verbs like these. For this category of verbs, Pseudo-Clefting entails a transformationally inserted ḣwe - thing, and the retention in surface structure of the Deep structure abstract ya as the second object of the verb. A few more examples will help to drive home this point:

26(a)  Ezhiri  m  ya  ozhi  sị  ya  zụtara  m  any.

Sent  I  to  him  message  saying  he  buy  for  me  meat:
I sent word to him to buy some meat for me.

6  We prefer to talk in terms of 1st, 2nd, 3rd ...... objects in Igbo rather than in terms of Direct and Indirect Objects for the simple reason that there is no other formal way of distinguishing between these except in terms of their structural position. Consider the following Igbo sentences, for example:

(i) Ogu  nyere  m  ʃ  any.
Ogu gave me meat: Ogu gave some meat to me.

(ii) Ogu  zụtara  m  yʃ  any.
Ogu bought for me (from) him meat.
Ogu bought some meat for me from him.

From these examples, we see that the order is: Indirect obj., the Ablative - from NP, and Direct object; In Case Grammar: the Dative, the Ablative and the object cases - in that order.
26(b) ɪm žirí ɣà øzhi ɣà wù (si) ya
thing I sent him word about it is that he
zùtarà m any.
buy for me meat: What I sent word to him about was to
buy me some meat.

(c) À mărà iwu øi madhù níile fùshìà
One made law saying people all come out
n'ama n'ilúhùru ci.
to the square in the decline of day:
A proclamation has been made that everybody must assemble at
the (village) square in the evening.

(d) À mărà iwu wù (si) madhù níile fùshìà
n'ama n'iluhuru ci: What has been proclaimed is that
everybody must assemble at the square in the evening.

Secondly, observe that the comp. si is optionally deletable
in the Pseudo-Cleft transforms, even though its deletion in the
non-Cleft ones - 21(a) 25(b), 26(a) and (c) is blocked. It seems
a fairly general rule that, except for those sentences whose matrix
verb is isi, all Pseudo-Cleft transforms of si Imperative Complements
do permit the optional application of the rule of Comp. Deletion.
This generalisation may even apply to Si Complements whose matrix verb
is isi. For us, however, the deletion of the comp. is obligatory
provided the verb of the matrix sentence is isi itself.

The last of the paradigms, paradigm 22, are, in many respects,
like those of 21, and their derivational history is similar to that
of 21:

27 Ọnye nkuzhi ekwuole ya si onye ǹwúlà machiè qnù
(Base Form)

(a) Ọnye nkuzhi ekwuole si onye 'owuła machie qnù
(By oblig. abstr. Ya del.)
27(b) Onye nkuzhi ᒯ kwuole sị onye ọwụla mechie ṣọ
(By Phon. rules)

27(b) is the same as 22(a), and is well-formed.

(c) Ya onye nkuzhi ᒯ kwuole sị onye ọwụla mechie ṣọ.
(By opt. Abst. Ya Mvt.).

(d) ñwe onye nkuzhi ᒯ kwuole wụ sị onye ọwụla mechie ṣọ.
(By oblig. Pseudo Cleft)

(e) ñwe onye nkuzhi { na ᒯ kwuole } wụ sị onye ọwụla
mechie ṣọ.
(By oblig. Relat₁)

(f) ñwe onye nkuzhi { na ᒯ kwuole } wụ sị onye ọwụla
mechie ṣọ.
(By oblig. Phon rules).

27(f) is an acceptable Igbo sentence, and is the same as 22(b).

(g) ñwe onye nkuzhi { na ᒯ kwuole } wụ onye ọwụla mechie
ọnụ.
(By opt. Comp. Del.).

27(g) is the output of the optional application of comp.
deletion to a Pseudo-Cleft transform, it is the same as the grammatical
sentence 22(c).

From the foregoing exposition, the need for the following rules
in Imperative Complementation has been established; the order is not
extrinsic.

Either (1) Ya Deletion
OR — (2) Ya Movement

If 1, then (3) co-referential NP Deletion - (oblig. if
consecutive, otherwise
opt.)

If 2, then (4) Pseudo-Cleft (oblig.)

(5) Relativization (Relat₁) (oblig.)
(6) Comp. Deletion (oblig. if $s$ is matrix verb, otherwise opt.).

(7) Pronominalisation of embedded subject NP (opt).

7.3.0 Comparison & Contrast

Of these rules, the only unfamiliar ones are 3, 6 & 7. Rule 7 is a general rule of language independent of NP-complementation, while Rule 3 which deletes the embedded Subject NP when immediately preceded by a co-referential matrix object NP is unique to this category of NP complement.

But Rule 6 - the comp. Deletion rule - needs a bit more comment, for this is the first time that we have come across such a rule in Igbo NP-complementation. It is reasonably accurate to say that comp. Deletion is relevant only to $s$ Imperative complementation in the Language. Outside this category of NP complements, there is very little, if any, evidence for it. This situation is due to the fact that in the dialect being described here, it is very rare to hear a reported statement without an introductory word linking the main to the subordinate clause - the complementizer morpheme. In the generality of cases, the complementizer most often heard is the all-purpose $s$, which, as has been made clear throughout chapter 4, can and is very often used in addition to or in place of the $Na$ Declarative, the $m$ Interrogative, and $m$ and ka Purpose (Subjunctive), with it always preceding any of these. But when it functions in its special capacity as the sole marker of Imperative complements, it is deletable under the conditions stated in this chapter.
Concluding Summary

After considering all the possible constructions in Igbo which may, plausibly, contend for analysis as Imperatives, this chapter focuses on those imperative constructions whose verbs are in the imperative form. These are the imperative constructions which can only be embedded as si- complements. The other categories of constructions - Peremptory Declarative and Gà- Imperatives have been shown to differ syntactically from Imperatives and have not been analysed any further.

The process of si Imperative complementation has brought to light the following facts:

(a) the choice of one sequence of rules creates a derived output structure in which the deletion of the embedded subject NP is obligatory, when it immediately follows and is co-referential with the matrix object NP, while the alternative sequence yields another derived structure in which the same rule is optional because the co-referential NP's are not consecutive.

(b) the deletion of the comp. si is obligatory, if the matrix verb is isi;

(c) optional in all Pseudo-Cleft transforms, except those with isi in the matrix sentence;

(d) optional in all structures with a matrix indirect object NP (the addressee) which is co-referential with the embedded subject NP;

(e) blocked, if condition (d) is not met.
Chapter 8

The Subjunctive (Ka/ma) Complements.

8.0.0 Introduction

We use the label, Subjunctive, to describe a construction type in Igbo that is neither Indicative, nor Interrogative, nor Imperative, (cf 4.1.4). Its markers are ka and ma, and when embedded as an NP-Complement, (always in object position after a category of verbs to be fully discussed in this chapter) its propositional content is invariably a wish, some effort or determination the realisation of which is open.

This construction type has been variously described: Green and Igwe (1963) refer to it as the Subject-Verb Form, Conditional; Swift, Ahaghotu and Ugorji (1962) described it as the Hortative, Ida Ward (1936) does not mention it at all. What matters for our purpose is that all these labels reflect an attempt to characterise a clause type in which:

(a) all pronoun subjects are on high tones;
(b) all verb stems are high for classes 1 & 3 verbs, and low for class 2 verbs;
(c) there is a general presence of a harmonising vowel suffix which is invariably on a high tone.
(d) the future marker ma does not occur, except with a handful of verbs which take na instead of ka or ma (cf 3.2.0:422), examples 16-22), though the time expressed by the verb of this complement is always future in relation to that expressed by the main clause verb.

1 Ka is also the marker of the following Igbo greetings:
    Ka ci foo (Onitsha) Goodnight
    (Ka) ci bon (Owerri)
    Ka anyi bo ci (Central Igbo) Goodnight.

Although these greetings appear like independent sentences, they can be shown to be complements to some unexpressed verbs of wishing, thus making the Subjunctive Mood in Igbo a dependent rather than an independent mood, (cf 4.1.4). However, the ma complementizer is restricted to Purpose/Causal Clauses, and never functions in this type of greeting.
These are the distinctive characteristics of the complement type described throughout this thesis as the Subjunctive complement.

In this chapter, we shall show that the applicability of Equi-NP Deletion (Equi) to this category of predicates is a consequence of the semantic characteristics of the class of predicates involved in this complement type. The verbs are examined in detail and the constraints on the applicability of Equi discussed in 8.2.0.

As this is the last chapter on the mechanics of complementation in Igbo, we shall bring together in 8.3.0 all the known sources of the so-called Igbo infinitives and provide some syntactic tests for differentiating between infinitives and nominals, though they have the same phonological shape, 

prefix + CV-stem.

In 8.4.0, we give the two types of complements in Igbo based on their structural position or relation with the main clause verb, and then go on to examine Rosenbaum's distinction between NP and VP complements which seems to depend crucially on the structural position of the complements. The NP-VP distinction is rejected as being untenable in the analysis of Igbo complement constructions.

8.1.0 Ke/me Compement Constructions

The following are examples of ke/me, subjunctive complements in Igbo:

1(a) Ogu coro ke umuagbo gbaara anyi nkwa. 
    Ogu wants that young girls dance for us dance
    n'Orie
    on Orie market day: Ogu wants the young girls to stage a dance
    for us on Orie market day.

1(b) Anyi coro ke onye owo kpu oghu ya obhu
    We want that everybody hold goats his in rope.
    We want everybody to get his goats tethered.
Let us start by discussing sentences 1-2 first. As can be observed, the matrix verb in these two examples is the verb ico 'to want, wish'. Each of these two sentences derives from an underlying structure such as is represented in Fig.1.
The above structure is like that of any other object NP complement, except for the fact that the main clause subject must be an animate one, that is, some creature capable of purposeful action, and the marker of the complement is ka and the matrix predicate is a verb of wish.

Example 1(a) has the following stylistic variants - 6(a & b).

In view of the fact that we have given the derivational history of such sentences in §1, we need not repeat the information here, but assume the application of the relevant rules to the generation of 6(a) & (b) from the underlying Fig. 1.

6(a) Ṣe Ogu coro pwu ka ụmụgbogho gbaara ọnyi.

What Ogu wants is for the young girls to dance nkwa ná Orie:

for us on Orie market day.

(b) Ka ụmụgbogho gbaara ọnyi nkwa ná Orie pwu Ṣe Ogu coro; That the young girls should dance for us on Orie market day is what Ogu wants.
The T-rules relevant to the derivation of \(6(a \& b)\) -

(i) Ya’ Movement (opt.),
(ii) Pseudo-Cleft (oblig.),
(iii) Relat

and
(iv) Reverse Cleft (opt.)

are among the rules which all categories of NP complements have in common. The T-rule unique to subjunctive complementation - Equi-

Delation - which yields sentences such as 2(b), 3(c) and 4(b) receives full treatment in 9.2.0.

Now let us consider examples 3-5. These sentences are more complex in structure than those of 1-2, as Fig.2 shows. They are instances of Igbo Purpose Construction, the equivalent of English 'so that/in order that' Constructions. It will be observed that the surface, main clause verbs of these complements are non-complement-taking verbs, such as:

7(a) byara from ɨbya - to come
(b) gara from ɨgā - to go
(c) kporo from ɨkpo - to call

and a host of other verbs which can be used to express a purposive action. Although none of these is complement-taking, nevertheless they seem, at least superficially, to introduce the subjunctive complement. In order to discover what syntactic evidence there is in support of the analysis of 3-5 as NP-complements, let us examine Fig. 2, the structure underlying such constructions.
(i) $NP_1 = NP_2$

(ii) If $NP_1 = NP_4$, then Equi-NP Deletion is optionally applicable.
In order to derive sentences such as 3–5 from the above figure 2, the following stages are necessary: First, NP₂ is deleted under identity with NP₁, thus yielding an output which has the tone pattern of Igbo Narrative constructions whose second and subsequent verb-forms, like those of Imperative, have their suffixes on a high tone. The output of such a co-referential NP deletion is 3(a) whose structure is shown in Fig.3. 3(a) is repeated here for ease of reference.

3(a) Ogbúŋhi byara \( \{ \text{khwọro} \quad \text{m} \} \quad \text{ye} \quad \text{gara} \quad \text{m} \quad \text{miri} \)

2 The fact that Khwọro has the tone pattern of a second or subsequent verb in a Narrative construction provides a reason for an alternative analysis of Igbo Purpose Constructions. By this analysis, the structure underlying Purpose constructions in Igbo could be given as a co-ordinate, rather than the subordinate structure given in Fig 2. What Green and Igwe (1963) refer to as the Narrative construction is a form of co-ordination by means of verb serialisation, and this construction does not involve any conjunction whatsoever. Thus, from a deep structure such as

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \text{Ogbúŋhi} & \quad \text{byara} \} \quad \{ \text{Ogbúŋhi} & \quad \text{khwọro} \} \quad \{ \text{m} & \quad \text{Ogbúŋhi} \quad \text{gara} \quad \text{m} \\
\text{miri} \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

one can show that co-ordinate deletions yield 3(a), and that the resultant asymmetry between the first conjunct and the subsequent ones is a consequence of such deletions as well as the Optative verb deletion. We have not adopted this method because it involves other principles such as Tree-pruning conventions (cf Ross 1966). But it is not unknown in language for subordination to result from underlying co-ordinate structures. For example, transformational grammarians have argued that the appropriate deep structure of relative clauses is co-ordinate rather than subordinate.
Fig 3.

Now 3a meets the structural description for the optional rule of Optative (Verb) Deletion.
Optative (Verb) Deletion

```
  [ NP  VP  ]            [ Verb  Comp  NP  VP ]
  s1                  s2
```

Process: Delete 3.
Condition: 3 must be preceded and followed by S's.

The output of the above rule is 3(b) which is represented by Fig. 4.

```
3(b)     Ogbuehi byara \{ ma \} ya' gara m miri.
```

Ogbuehi came in order to fetch some water for me.

Observe that it is only after Optative Deletion that the two complementizers ka and ma are in free variation; if this rule is not applied, then the co-occurrence restriction will continue to obtain - that is, iko can only take ka, whereas ikhwo co-occurs with ma in the dialect being described here. In other words, these two items can be used interchangeably only in a perfect structure which is the output of Optative Deletion. We shall dwell more on the syntactic similarities and differences between these two optative verbs in § 1.1. What must be emphasized here is that Optative deletion accounts for the surface form of sentences such as 3-5 and a host of similar Purpose constructions in which non-complement-taking predicates appear to introduce subjunctive complements.

---

3 Rev. Igwe observes (personal communication) that in Ohuhu, the above two complementizers ka & ma are in free variation regardless of which of the two optatives is present in structure. Thus, the co-occurrence restrictions between the two optatives on the one hand, and the complementizers on the other, do not obtain in Ohuhu.
Note that the applicability of Optative verb Deletion is strictly determined by the structural position of the predicates. Consider the following as further evidence in support of our claim.

8(a) Ogu byara khworo ma ya hwu m.
(b) " " ma ya hwu m. (by Optative Deletion)

Ogu came in order to see me.

9(a) Ogu khworo ma ya hwu m bya
    " had in mind that he see me come:

Ogu came to see me.

4 Although 8(a) & 9(b) are, to all intents and purposes, synonymous, there are some observable formal differences between them. For example, it is khworo in 8(a), but khworo in 9(b) - that is, two contrasting tone patterns - low-high and low-low respectively. The explanation for this comes from general and well-known facts of Igbo Narrative Constructions in which the verb of the second and subsequent sentences, have their suffixes, if any, always on a high tone, regardless of the preceding tone of its verb-stem. In Narratives, only the first verb bears the tense or aspect marker, while subsequent verbs copy their time from that of this first verb. This fact accounts for the absence of any suffix on bya in 9(a). The verb ikhwo is, however, an exception, since it does not take the open vowel suffix. For this reason, it becomes a bit difficult to distinguish the -rv Time suffix from the -rv non-Time with ikhwo. But the tone pattern of the verb-form provides some clue: if the tone of -re is in contrast with that of its verb-stem, then, such is the non-Time -rv if it is the same as that of the verb-stem, it is the inflectional -rv Time.
8(b) from which *khworo has been deleted is grammatical because this optative is the verb of the sentence immediately dominated by Reason mode; it is not structure-initial. But 9(b), the output of the same deletion rule is ill-formed because it is the first verb of the construction, it is structure-initial. From 8(a) and 9(b), it is obvious that the Reason clause can be preposed to structure-initial position, and when this is done, the application of optative Verb Deletion is thereby blocked. Thus, the condition for the optional deletion of the optative *ico or *ikhworo is supported.

The foregoing exposition also demonstrates that Igbo Purpose clauses are subjunctive complements to a two-member class of optatives, and not, as examples 3-5 and others like them suggest from their surface form, to such non-complementizable predicates as those given in 7. Thus, Robin Lakoff's analysis (1968: 202) is supported by facts from Igbo. But there is this minor difference: while she argues that the structure underlying English Purpose clauses includes an abstract verb of wanting and the abstract verb cause, which takes a sentential subject and a sentential object, we have demonstrated that the situation is a bit different in Igbo only in this sense that the verbs in question are not abstract in Robin Lakoff's sense. They are real and existing verbs of the Igbo language which are only optionally deleted from surface structures given a definite structural position which has been clearly defined above. While the Deep structure for Igbo Purpose expressions is given as in Fig 2, Lakoff gives the deep structure underlying the following English sentence:

5 Robin Lakoff's concept of abstract verbs is that they have no phonetic shape; they are "verbs with semantic and syntactic properties similar to those found in real verbs of the same semantic class, but with no phonological form" (Robin Lakoff 1968: 160ff).
The child fears the dark in order to get attention from his mother.

as

\[
\text{The child wants} \quad \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{he gets attention from his parents} \\
\text{cause} \\
\text{he fears the dark}
\end{array} \right]
\]

Thus, where in surface structure English and other Indo-European languages employ such function words as:

- so that
- in order that/to
- ut and the Subjunctive
- pour que
- ne que

Igbo employs definite predicates that take subjunctive complements. Let us now examine the syntactic and semantic similarities and differences between these two verbs.

8.1.1. The Optatives - iro and ikhwo

The structural differences between Figs 1 & 2 suggest some basic differences between the above two verbs. In Fig 1, we have a structure consisting of a main clause followed by a sentential complement as object. In Fig 2, on the other hand, the structure is much more complex, being a Purpose construction which generally consists of three sentential units - a superordinate sentence whose VP is expanded as Verb and Reason, the Reason node being in turn expanded as an S whose verb takes a sentential complement as object. Thus, the ka/ma complement is the object, not of the highest sentence, but of the next high sentence immediately.
dominated by Reason. From this structure and examples 8 & 9 derived from it, one fact emerges, namely that the optative `ikhwo` functions only in a Purpose or Causal construction where it always requires a sentential object, whereas `ico` can function either in a Purpose Construction, or in a simple complement structure such as is shown by Fig. 1. The reason for this unique syntactic behaviour of `ikhwo` stems from the fact that this verb gives the explanation, cause or reason for the action expressed by the verb of the superordinate sentence, hence such a reason or cause cannot occur in the absence of this superordinate sentence. Examples 3-5, and 8 & 9 clearly demonstrate this fact. The following additional data lend further support to the point being made here:

10(a) I`ikhwuru ya muo? (vb `ikhwu muo - abuse, swear at)

Did you swear at him?

(b) Ee: Yes.

(c) I`ikhworo gini? What had you in mind, why?

(d) `ikhworo m `ikhworo m; Gini? For what reason?

(e) khworo m `ikhworo m `ikhworo m

Had regard I that he beat me thing:

I did so because he beat me.

10(a) and (b) establish the discourse situation in which the following 10(c)-(e) can be used; the verb `ico` cannot be used to ask such questions as 10(c) and (d) even given the same conversational setting. From 10(a) it is also obvious that `ikhwu` is one of those verbs which can take either a ma` subjunctive or Na declarative complement according to the intended meaning; `ico`, on the other hand, can take only the Ka Subjunctive complement, never the Na declarative one. The explanation for this syntactic difference is easy: `ikhwo` being a verb which expresses purpose or reason for an action is capable of expressing the reason for past, present or future action in its complement whereas `ico` being strictly a verb of 'wish' can only express an unrealised
proposition in its complement, and as a consequence is syntactically restricted to Ka* Subjunctive complement. Apart from these differences, the two verbs are very similar in their syntactic characteristics: both of them take the subjunctive complement and are subject to EQUI. We shall now examine the conditions that determine the application of EQUI to these and other verbs which share the same or similar characteristics.

8.2.0 SUBJUNCTIVE COMPLEMENTS AND EQUI-NP DELETION (EQUI)

We have pointed out at the beginning of this chapter that apart from other distinctions, the subjunctive complements are the only complement type which are subject to the rule of co-referential Noun phrase deletion which triggers infinitivization in Igbo. It has further been observed (cf 6.4.) that Igbo infinitival complements are not deep structure forms but transforms of basic ma* sentential complement to Emotive verbs; in this section we shall show that it is also the case that object infinitival complements derive from the basic ka/* subjunctive complements and a few Na* complements that meet the essential requirement for EQUI, and subsequently, for infinitivization. What then, are the requirements which subjunctive complements meet but other categories of NP complements fail to satisfy? We begin the answer to the above question by examining the following 11-22 sentences.

11(a) Ibe coroe ka ya' wuru onye ishi océ
   (b) .
Ibe wants to be the chairman.

12(a) Unu abyae (khworo) ma' unu hwu dokita
   (b) "    "     ihwu dokita
You have come to see the doctor.
13(a) Onu na skwado ka ya gaa shya
(b) iga shya
Onu is getting ready/preparing to go market.

14(a) Anyi gbalihara ka anyi kpemaa ha
(b) kpemaa ha.
We struggled to reconcile them.

15(a) Ogu ahafulya iga shya
Ogu has stopped going to market: Ogu has given up trading.
(b) Di ya akwushila ya (iifu) oyu
Her husband has stopped her from working.
(c) O gbaghara ishi nwe anya ha
He/she omitted to cook food of evening: He/she failed to prepare the evening meal.
(d) Bikho, aghakwala (nà) ime nwe m gwara gi.
Please, do not omit from doing thing I told you.

16(a) O jula na ya ga ejihere m shya
(b) jula ejihere m shya.
He has refused to go for me market: She has refused to go to the market for me.

17(a) O kwele na ya ga alu Eg'o.
(b) ili Eg'o
He has consented to marry Eg'o

18(a) O kwele nkwa na ya ga ejihe
(b) ijihe
He has promised to go.

19(a) A dyru m ishi na age m ekwu ezhiokwu
(b) ikwu ezhi-okwu
I swore to speak the truth.
20(a) Dí yā bhāra mēha nā yā ḡa egbū yā.
(b) __________________________________ igbū ya.

Her husband threatened to kill her.

21(a) Ecōfuru m nā āgāara m āgwa' yā.
(b) Ecōfuru m āgwa' ya.

I forgot that I should have told him.

22(a) Cēteckwe nā i' ḡa ojhe nkhyu.
(b) ______________________ ijhe nkhy.

Remember [that you should fetch] some firewood.

Apart from 15 which has only the infinitival complements, each of the examples 11-22 have either the ka/ma or the Na complements in the (a) as well as their infinitival counterparts in the (b) sentences.

We represent the rule which deletes the subordinate subject NP under identity with the main clause subject NP as follows:

**EQUI-NP DELETION - (EQUI) RULE:** (optional)

SD: $\left[\begin{array}{l}
NP \\
VERB \\
VERB \\
COMP \\
NP \\
VP
\end{array}\right]_s$

Condition:

(i) $5 = 1$

(ii) Either there is 3, or 2 must be a forward-looking predicate.

SC: $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6$

The output is 23(a) which is not well-formed until the new subjectless verb has been infinitivized and the complementizer deleted:

23(a) Anyi byara $\{k̄w̄ŏ m̄a\}$ hwù unu.
The application of the rule of Infinitivization and complementizer deletion to 23(a) yields the well-formed 23(b):

\[ 23(b) \quad \text{Anyi} \quad \text{byara} \quad \{\text{khwaró} \} \quad \text{i} \quad \text{hwu} \quad \text{unu} \]

We came for the purpose of seeing you.

In its present form 23(b) meets the structural description for the optional rule of Optative Predicate deletion (discussed on pages 453ff), which, when applied, yields the well-formed 23(c).

\[ (e) \quad \text{Anyi} \quad \text{byara} \quad \text{i} \quad \text{hwu} \quad \text{unu} \]

We came to see you.

Observe that this optional rule is independent of EQUI. Infinitivization and Complementizer deletion rules which we shall henceforth refer to as Equi-NP Triple since all the three need to apply if the output is to be well-formed.

Let us now try to answer the question raised at the beginning of this section, namely, the requirements that need be met before EQUI can apply. From 11-22 it will be observed that all the verbs involved in the main clauses are such as express an unrealised proposition in their complement, the only exception being 15(a)-(d). This is the semantic characteristic of all the subcategories of predicates which are subject to the EQUI-NP triple. For example, the main clause verbs in 11-14 are verbs which express desire, hope, expectation, efforts or determination, while 16-22 reveal a heterogeneous class of verbs which have one thing in common: the fact that the verbs of their complements express a future time in relation to the time expressed by the main clause verbs. It follows from this that the occurrence or non-occurrence of the proposition expressed in these complements must be left open, and this

There are some exceptions to the above assertion in view of the existence of such verbs as

\[ \text{ice} - \text{to hope} \]
\[ \text{idu} \text{ishi} - \text{to swear} \]

These are verbs which can take either Na Indicative or ka/ma subjunctive complement. Whenever they take a subjunctive complement, the proposition of such a complement is always open. In this sense, they are among the forward-looking predicates in Igbo.
accounts for the fact that it cannot be past in relation to the meaning of the main clause verb. For example, we cannot wish for (ikọ) something if it has already happened, nor can we swear or threaten (ichọ ishi/ ịbọ ịmbọ) to do something nor refuse (ịjụ) it if the occasion for doing it is already come and gone.

What these verbs have in common can be informally expressed by referring to all of them as "forward-looking" predicates, a term used by Karin Aijmer (1972) and Bonney (1974). By this descriptive label we mean that the predicates which permit the Equi-NP triple to apply to their complements impose a sequence of tense constraint on the structures in which they occur, an observation which Boadi (1972) had made with regard to sentential complementation in Akan, one of the languages of Ghana. In sentences such as 11-22, there is a dependency relationship between the main and subordinate clauses which does not obtain between the main and subordinate clauses of other categories of NP-complement in Igbo. It is this dependency which explains the tense constraint on the complement verbs - the fact that the time expressed in the complement is always future with respect to that expressed in the main clause.

EQUI is sensitive to these semantic characteristics, and this explains the fact that only verbs which meet these conditions can have infinitives as complements. It becomes, therefore, understandable that the subjunctive complements constitute a prolific source of Igbo infinitives via the operation of the Equi-NP-triplet. Recall now that in .2.0:314—316 we pointed out that infinitivization in Emotive predicate complementation is also sensitive to this same requirement - that the time expressed by the complement verb be future/present, never past with respect to the that of the main clause verb, and secondly, that the main clause verb be emotive. We can now reconcile these conditions and those that determine the applicability of EQUI here thus:
The verbs which are subject to EQUI are inherently forward-looking, in other words, the conditions being described are part and parcel of their semantic and syntactic features. But the predicates examined in 5.2.0 in connection with Emotive predicate complementation are NOT inherently forward-looking: they do not impose any sequence-of-tense constraints on the verbs of their complements. But in order to infinitivize the verbs of these complements must satisfy the above tense constraint. They do this by taking the antecedent of the Open type of Conditional construction as NP subject.

The tense constraint on the complements of examples 11-14 and 16-22 or the forward-looking character of the main clause verbs involved in these and other such examples constitutes a verb strong common factor to the different semantic classes of verbs involved in subjunctive complementation. It also accounts for the fact that all of them are subject to the optional rule of EQUI.

The different semantic classes of verbs in these examples being examined here express the future meaning of their complement verbs in various forms. For example, Optatives such as ïcô and ïkhwô and Exercitives such as ïgbêli and ïkwódo express the future in their complements without the future marker gâ. But predicates such as

- ïju to refuse
- ïkwô to consent, agree
- ïdô ishi to swear an oath
- ïbâ mbha to threaten
- ïkwô nkhwô to make a promise
- ïcâfu to forget
- ïcôte to remember, recall
- ïkìi to plan
do take the future marker *o in their complements, probably because such complements are introduced by the complementizer *Na rather than by ka/ma, as 17-22 show. Thus, when their main clause subject NP and the complement subject NP are co-referential, EQUI may apply to yield infinitival complements as 16-22 show. This fact further underscores the primacy of the forward-looking character of these predicates rather than the absence of any claim about the truth or otherwise of the propositional content of their complements. To formulate EQUI so as to be sensitive to this character of the verbs concerned here is to explain its applicability not only to ka/ma complements but also to examples such as 16-22 where the complementizer is *Na. These examples 16-22, like their ka/ma counterparts in 11-14, express the agent's wish or resolve or determination to do something rather than his view about its truth or falsity.

From all these pieces of evidence, it becomes clear that Equi-NP deletion is by no means idiosyncratic, but regular and easily predictable from the semantic characteristic of complement-taking predicates. If such predicates are forward-looking, and if their main clause and complement clause subject NPs are co-referential, then EQUI and, subsequently Infinitivization and complementizer deletion may apply to their structures to yield infinitives. Bonney (1974) reaches the same conclusion about the English language, and thus challenges the view that EQUI is a lexically governed rule in English, which can be handled by the theory of exceptions as suggested by George Lakoff (1970).

8.2.1 EQUI AND IGBO ASPECTUALS

Before we go on to examine the main clause verbs in examples 15 which we had deliberately left out of the foregoing discussion, let us, first of all, point out that Equi-NP deletion is an optional rule in Igbo - NP complementation, unlike in English where it is obligatory
once the necessary identity condition has been met. For example, like-subject verbs in English are subject to the semantic constraint that their complement must describe something which is within the control of the main clause subject, (Janet Dean Fordor, 1974). For this reason, English verbs such as

\[
\text{try, condescend and refuse,}
\]

can occur only in base structures in which main clause and complement clause subjects are co-referential, thus meeting the structural description for EQUI. In cases such as these, EQUI is obligatory, as the deviance of 24(a) and the grammaticality of 24(b) show:

\[
\begin{align*}
24(a) & \quad I \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{tried} \\
\text{refused} \\
\text{condescended}
\end{array} \right\} \text{ that I resign} \\
24(b) & \quad I \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{tried} \\
\text{refused} \\
\text{condescended}
\end{array} \right\} \text{ to resign.}
\end{align*}
\]

The same is true of the Optatives such as

\[
\text{want, desire, like}
\]

in the following 25.

\[
\begin{align*}
25 & \quad I \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{would like} \\
\text{want} \\
\text{desire}
\end{array} \right\} \text{ to resign my appointment.}
\end{align*}
\]

It is therefore correct to say, with respect to English, that Equi-NP deletion is obligatory whenever its structural description is met, except in very few cases where Equi or Reflexivization may apply as in 'I expect to go/I expect myself to go.'

But this is not the case in Igbo, for there exist in the language alternative or variant forms of the Igbo equivalent of the above sentences, thus:
26(a) Ana m agbali { ka m jhekhwu ya } n'ulu. 
(b) I am trying/hurrying to catch him before he leaves home.

27(a) Ògu cọrọ { ka ya nya ọhọ } eg'o. 
Ogu wants to give them some money.

28(a) O' dọola ishi { na ya ga eme ya } nshi. 
He has sworn to poison him.

It needs to be pointed out, however, that the infinitival versions in (b) are more popular, being shorter.

Although EQUI is an optional rule in Igbo, there is a small semantic class of verbs for which EQUI and Infinitivization seem obligatory. This class consists of the verbs shown in example 15, they are the following verbs which we had deliberately left out of discussion in examining 11-14 and 16-22:

- ihafu - to leave out, omit, forgive
- igha - " abandon, omit, forgive
- ighaghà - " " "
- ikwushi - " stop, desist, discontinue.

We have previously described the above verbs as ASPECTUALS - or Operative verbs. These verbs always take the I prefix + V-stem form as their complement, and it is not clear whether this form is an infinitive or a derived nominal of the same phonetic shape as infinitives.

Consider the following examples in the light of the above statement:

29(a) Ògu ahafula (i'kuzhi) nkuzhi 
Ogu has left teaching: Ogu has resigned from teaching.
29(b) Ugo akwushichaala (iğbō) ighala ákwa.
Ugo has completely stopped to trade on cloths;
Ugo has entirely stopped dealing in cloths.

(c) Ḡahakwala ịhụ m faa oci.
Do not omit to see me early tomorrow: Don't fail
to see me early tomorrow.

(d) ị gbāghaara (iğă) ozhi m zhiri gi.
You omitted to to go on errand I sent you:
You failed to run the errand I sent you.

29(a)-(d) are representative of the type of constructions
associated with this class of verbs. One unique characteristic of
these verbs is that they have no corresponding finite complements.
This being the case, it is not easy to prove that their complements are
infinitives deriving, like those associated with forward-looking
predicates, from finite sentential sources. Furthermore, the I prefix +
V-stem forms are optional in these and similar examples, and the verbs
themselves are not forward-looking, but rather describe "a direct,
immediate reaction to a simultaneously occurring or imminent event,"
as Josephs (1974) points out. It seems, therefore, appropriate to
analyse these I-forms as derived nominals or gerunds, or the equivalent
of the English -ING nominals as in

Stop singing.

It has{stopped } raining
{started }

And examples such as the following 30 lend further support to the above
analysis:

30(a) Bídhō {mewē } ńwe m gwara gi
(b) {imă }

Start (and continue to do) what I asked you:
Start (the doing/to do )
Start doing what I asked you to do.
Sentences such as 30(a) and (b) in which a verb in the Imperative and the I-form are interchangeable can never be analysed as instances of NP complements in the same way that an analysis of 31 as NP complement in English may find little favour.

\[
\begin{align*}
31 & \text{ He} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{continued} \\
\text{stopped} \\
\text{ceased} \\
\text{went on}
\end{array} \right. \text{ talking.}
\end{align*}
\]

It seems that the verbs involved here as well as their Igbo counterparts are Aspectuals or Operative verbs which require nominals as complement. It is no more than an accident that English formally distinguishes between the Gerund and the Infinitive thus:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Eating} & \quad \text{(Gerund)} \\
\text{To eat} & \quad \text{(Infinitive)}
\end{align*}
\]

whereas for Igbo, there is only one homonym for the above two form classes, that is, the I prefix + V stem form, in this case \( \text{i} \tilde{r} \text{uwe.} \)

An alternative approach is to analyse the type of sentences being considered here as having infinitival complements deriving from a deep sentential source which never shows up at the surface. Such an analysis would constitute this class of verbs into an idiosyncratic group for which EQUI is obligatory, as well as the only class of verbs without any empirically verifiable sentential source. Since the odds are so much against this alternative analysis, it is, therefore, rejected in favour of the first approach which, while describing the data accurately, makes possible the general statement that EQUI is an optional rule in Igbo complementation.

\subsection*{8.2.2 EQUI AND NEGATIVE PURPOSE CONSTRUCTION}

As a general rule, the Negative verb suffix -ghl never functions in the verb of the complement clause in Subjunctive and Purpose
constructions. This constraint accounts for the grammaticality of 32 and 33 and the deviance of 34:

32(a) Anyị acọ고ịi (kà anyị kwukọro) unụ ọkwu.
(b) We want not that we talk in common to you:

33(a) Ogu abyahị ikwukoro j
(b) Ogu did not come not wanting that he provoke your anger:

34(a) *Ogu byara ka ya akpaso gị iwe.
(b) * ikpaso gị

In 34 above, the negative verb suffix is in the embedded verb and they are ill-formed. Observe also that for as long as the Negative morpheme is suffixed to the higher or main clause verb, EQUI will apply along with Infinitivization and complementizer deletion to produce the well-formed (b) sentences of 32-33. In order to express negation in the complement clause, the language employs the following alternative constructions:

(a) by the use of the operative/aspectual verb, igha and its nominal complement,

7 The existence of this restriction on the occurrence of the Negative particle makes one wonder whether Negative transportation in Igbo is from a main clause verb to the subordinate one (NEG-Lowering) or from the verb of the embedded clause to the main clause one (thus NEG-Raising). It seems that the occurrence of the Neg-particle in the higher sentence is the norm in Igbo, hence it is more appropriate to talk of Neg-Lowering rather than Neg-Raising, which some verbs permit, but others do not.

8 The verb igha has been fully discussed along with other Aspectuals, which have been shown to take nominals rather than infinitives as complement. The optional presence of the preposition na in these examples lends further support to our analysis of the I prefix + v-stem form as nominal rather than verbal.
(b) by the use of a unique construction in which the verb form is always on low tones, and the complement pronoun third person subject on a falling glide.

The first type is illustrated by examples 35, and the second by 36:

35(a) Anyị lawara m a ụnu gharara (na) i'huwu anyị. We departed so that you omit from seeing us:
We left so that you might not see us.

(b) Nwá ahi hēturu (kworo) m a ya' ghará (na) ikwere ụnu. Child that hid intending that he omit from greeting you:
That child hid so as to avoid greeting you.

36(a) Were nwayo (kworo m a) Ndidi ɛthete. Take gentleness regarding that Ndidi/she not wake:
Take time lest Ndidi/she should wake.

(b) __________________________________ thuta. (c) Nne dhowe i ri Ogu șghara ụny șawa ahy. Mother left food lest Ogu starve and go market:
Mother left some food lest Ogu should go to market without eating.

(d) Ibe gushiri akwukwo ikhe ya șduha uné. Ibe read book hard lest he fail exam.
Ibe studied hard { lest he should fail } his exam. 

{ so as not to fail }

Sentences such as 35 and 36 in which the negative purpose is expressed in the complement clause are not subject to Equi-NP triple which produce Igbo infinitives as output. From these examples, we can state one of the constraints on the applicability of EQUI as follows:

EQUI may apply to a Negative Purpose construction in Igbo if and only if the verb in the negative is the main clause verb and not the
complement clause verb. Secondly, EQUI is blocked in all Negative Purpose clauses which make use of the operative verb, igha, or employs the alternative to the igha construction.

In addition to the above, there are some other constraints which block the application of EQUI even when its structural description is met. What these constraints are will become obvious as we examine the following examples:

37(a) Ogu nyere anyi oce kà anyi kporulata.
Ogu gave us seats so that we might sit down and relax.

(b) * Ogu nyere anyi oce ikporulata.

38(a) Ibe nuru nshi mà yà nwù kwam-kpim.
Ibe drank some poison so as to die unexpectedly.

(b) *Ibe nuru nshi inwu kwam-kpim.

39(a) O rere ala oru ya kà yà zutafuo igwe.
He sold his farm land so as to be able to buy a bicycle.

(b) *O rere ala oru ya izutafu igwe.

40(a) Nwanyi à cughe nwà yà afa ma g kwuq qnù.
This woman is breast-feeding her baby so that he might stop crying.

(b) *Nwanyi à cughe nwà yà afa ikwuq qnù.

A look at 37-40 reveals that all the (b) sentences are ill-formed; this is due to the fact that each of the underlined main clause verbs is a transitive verb taking one or two NP objects. Now contrast the foregoing examples with the following 41-43 where the corresponding infinitival complements are well-formed because the main clause verbs are intransitive.
While the presence of a direct object NP before the ka\(\text{ma}\) complement affects the grammaticality of the output of the Equi-NP triple, an intervening PP node does not have the same effect. This fact is illustrated by the following 44-46:

44(a) Anyi gara ahya ka anyi zuta eghu
(b) izuta eghu.
We went to the market in order to buy a goat.

45(a) Ecebiri byara n'ishi yutu ma ya nyere m aka.
(b) ---------------------------------------- inyere m aka.
Ecebiri came very early in the morning in order to help me.

46(a) Ug'o alawala n'yl'o ma ya 'hitu y'ra.
(b) --------------------------------- 'hitu y'ra.
Ugo has gone home in order to have a nap.

EQUI must therefore be blocked in all cases where the main clause verb is transitive as otherwise the output of Equi-NP triple will be deviant. It seems, therefore, that applicability of EQUI to Igbo Purpose construction yields the supplementary benefit of helping to distinguish between transitivity and intransitivity in Igbo, especially with respect to those verbs of Movement whose Deep structure prepositional phrase(PP) complement appears in Surface structure as though they were direct
object NPs because they lack the preposition na at the surface. Apart from the verbs of Movement, the other group of verbs whose status seems clarified by the EQUI test are those verbs with inherent objects, such as

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{idu} & \text{ ishi} \quad \text{to swear an oath} \\
\text{ibha mbha} & \text{ to threaten}
\end{align*}
\]

It is the case that all such verbs which are forward-looking and thus satisfy the essential condition for EQUI do, in fact, undergo this rule once there are two co-referential subject NPs in their main and complement clauses. This class of verbs, cited on page 422 are covered by examples 18-20 which show that their infinitival complements are perfectly grammatical. It follows that such verbs, and Igbo is full of them, may be considered intransitive instead of their cognate objects. From all this evidence, it is not, therefore, rash to suggest that EQUI as a transformational rule of grammar yields the additional benefit of helping to distinguish transitive from intransitive verbs in Igbo.

Just as EQUI is blocked in the foregoing cases where the main clause verbs are transitive, so it is also blocked in all cases where one of the co-referential NPs is in object relation to the verb as in the following 47-48.

47(a) Ndhuy kpọrọ m kà m chèere onye nkuzi ekpe.

Ndhuy called me so that I might ask teacher pardons.

Ndhuy called me to ask for the teacher's forgiveness.

9 The transitive/intransitive distinction among Igbo verbs is not as immediately obvious in Igbo as it is in English and other Indo-European languages for the simple reason that most Igbo verbs take one type of object or another. For example, we have the following verbs whose citation form must include an object:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Itu} & \text{ gnu} \quad \text{to burrow} \\
\text{" anya} & \text{ " expect} \\
\text{" ashi} & \text{ " tell a lie} \\
\text{" n'anya} & \text{ " surprise, be surprising}
\end{align*}
\]

Some of these can be used transitively and other intransitively. It seems that a three-way classification is called in Igbo: Transitives, Pseudo-Transitives and Intransitives. We have only begun to investigate the matter, (cf Bangboshe 1966: 79-80) for a similar three-way classification.
47(b) *Ndhu kpako m ihe nnye nkuzi ekpe.

48(a) Ogu na aco m ka m lutere ya mai.

Ogu is searching for me so that I might buy him some wine.

(b) *Ogu na aco m ilutere ya mai.

In Igbo, Equi-NP triple-EQUI, Infinitivization and Complementizer deletion - produce well-formed sentences, if and only if the two co-referential NPs in the main and complement clauses are both in subject relation to their verbs. It is worth pointing out that the deviance of the above examples can be remedied by the use of the preposition, maka as 49(a) and (b) show:

49(a) Ndhu kpako maka ihe nnye nkuzi ekpe.

Ndhu called me for the purpose of asking for pardon from the teacher.

(b) Ogu na aco maka ilutere ya mai.

Ogu is looking for me for the purpose of buying some wine for him.

Although 49(a) and (b) are semantically related to 47 and 48 respectively, we are hesitant to establish such a relationship transformationally. We see the situation as no more than the use of alternative syntactic forms to express one and the same meaning as in the following English sentences:

We have come in order to ascertain the facts of the case.

(1) with the purpose of ascertaining.

or in the following Latin equivalents:

Venimus ut vera cognosceramus.\(^{10}\)

{\(\text{ad vera cognoscenda}\)}

We have come to find out the truth.

\(^{10}\) The two construction types involved in the above Latin examples are:

(i) ut + the Subjunctive and

(ii) Accusative of the Gerund.
The constraints which block the application of Equi-NP triple in Igbo can be fully appreciated if we examine the various syntactic processes involved in infinitive formation in the language. For example, the infinitive in English can be derived from the following syntactic processes:

1. EQUI-NP DELETION (EQUI)
2. For ... to complementizer reduction
3. RAISING.

Each of these processes can be briefly illustrated as follows:

**EQUI:**

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{John expects} \\
S_2
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{John to win the race} \\
S_1 \quad S_1 \quad S_2
\end{array}
\]

John expects to win the race.

**FOR ... to Reduction**

We want for John to leave the room

We want John to leave the room.

**RAISING**

We believe John to be honest

We believe John to be honest.

The English language is prolific in infinitive constructions.

By contrast, the use of the infinitive in Igbo is much more restricted. This situation is very relevant in Purpose Clause constructions in both languages. In English, it is perfectly natural and grammatical to hear the following:

50(a) We sold our car (in order) to buy a house.
50(b) We gave them money to buy some drinks.
50(c) We want him to be present at the meeting.
50(d) He worked hard (in order) to attain his position.

The Igbo equivalents of 50(a)-(d) can never have infinitives in their
complement or purpose clauses. The reason is simply this: 50(a)-(d)
are the output of a transformational process which is lacking in
Igbo - complementizer (for - to) Reduction which is obligatory in
cases such as 51 to produce 50(a):
51 We sold our car for to buy a house.

We sold our car to buy a house.

Since sentences such as 50 are the output of obligatory complementizer
deletion, and not of EQUI, we cannot expect to have their Igbo equiva-
lents in the infinitive, hence the ungrammaticality of 52(b) which is
the output of EQUI on 52(a)
52(a) Anyi re re moto anyi ka anyi zuruulo.
We sold our car so that we might buy a house.
(b) *Anyi re re moto anyi izu ulo
We should recall that EQUI is blocked in Igbo if one of the
coreferential NPs is in object relation to its verb. However, 52(c)
which is semantically equivalent to 52(a) is well-formed.
(c) Anyi re re moto anyi maka izu ulo.
We sold our car for the purpose of buying a house.

For a similar reason, 53(b) is not an acceptable transform of 53(a)
in Igbo, although 54(b) which derives from 54(a) via the Raising rule
is a well-formed English sentence:
53(a) Acoro m ka Ogu bya.
I want Ogu to come.
(b) *Acoro m Ogu bya.

In a recent article, Eckman (1974: 63-82) has argued that
Equi-NP deletion "should be viewed as a rule which deletes the second
of two identical NPs which are included within the same simple sentence,
rather than as a rule of subordinate deletion. Thus Equi-NP Deletion
is assumed to apply to the output of Subject Raising" (page 63).
As we have pointed out in 5.2.1., Raising is a minor rule in Igbo limited only to a handful of emotive verbs.

In concluding this section, it is necessary to emphasize the following points about Subjunctive complementation and the applicability of EQUI, and subsequently Infinitivization and Complementizer Deletion:

(a) only forward-looking predicates are subject to the optional rule of Equi-NP triple, that is, EQUI, Infinitivization and Complementizer deletion. Of these forward-looking predicates, some take ka/ma, and others Na complements, but they all undergo the rules of Equi-NP triple once the identity conditions have been met.

(b) The identity condition is very much restricted in Igbo: it must exist between the main clause subject NP and the complement clause subject NP in order for the output of Equi-NP triple to be well-formed.

(c) For Negative Purpose constructions to be subject to Equi-NP triple, the negative verb must be the main clause verb. However, EQUI is blocked for those Negative Purpose constructions which make use of the verb, īgha, or the alternative to the īgha Negative Purpose construction.

(d) EQUI is an optional rule in Igbo, despite the existence of a small semantic class of verbs called ASPECTUALS whose I prefix + V-stem complement has been shown to be nominals rather than infinitives.
8.3.0 SOURCES OF IGBO INFINITIVES

The Igbo Language is full of forms generally and collectively referred to as the Infinitive. These forms begin in a characteristic way - always with a harmonising, high front vowel prefix I, and the stem of a recognisable verb. It is this similarity of form that gave rise to the name infinitive, regardless of whether the so-called infinitive behaves like a verb or not. The following are illustrative examples of the homonyms which are collectively called the Infinitives in Igbo, the relevant forms are underlined:

55 *A coro m izu any
    I want to buy some meat

56 Ogu gara ikpa nkhu
    Ogu went to fetch firewood.

57 Ikwu ezhi-okwu na onye akara.
    To tell truth does help; is helpful:

    Telling the truth is helpful.

58 Igbo okhe mai emedha dimka.
    Drinking too much (wine) degrades a responsible person.

59 Ino nefu adi mma.
    Idleness is not good.

60 Enweta m ikhe {bya.
    I have the energy to come: I can come.

    {iby}

61(a) Ikhe ihu ofu thaa adii m.
    Strength to work today is not to me:

    I do not have the energy for work to-day.

(b) Enwehii m ikhe (ihu) ofu thaa.
    I do not have the strength for work today.
To go school is the fashion of the present generation.

Going to school is the fashion for the present generation.

All these underlined forms are phonetically similar. Does this identity of form imply an identity of function?

The answer is an unequivocal no; although these surface forms are identical, there are two syntactic functions involved, each deriving from a distinct syntactic process. The two syntactic functions are:

(a) INFINITIVE or (VERBAL) Function and
(b) NOMINAL/GERUNDIVE

**INFINITIVES**

Infinitives are, strictly speaking, verbs. In some Indo-European languages, for example, English and classical Latin, infinitives may have the perfective aspect or be tensed as follows:

63(a) I want to talk to him personally. (Present)
63(b) To have ignored such hints from the unions was an open invitation to strike. (Perfective)

**Latin**

64(a) Te exire iubet. (Present)
64(b) Ferunt Caesarem ad castra oppugnanda pervenisse (Past)

But in a language such as Igbo, the infinitive is tenseless or rather expresses no more than future meaning as in 65:

65(a) I want to set out in time.
65(b) We came to look for employment.

In other words, infinitives in Igbo are always potential in
meaning, rather than factual, and this is a reflection of the underlying source of such infinitives. For example, the Igbo equivalent of the English sentence 63(b) is the following 65(c) in which only the literal English translation has been given because it makes the point.

65(c) Ikpochi nthi n'okhwa nke n'i gb practicing listening to the warning which workers gave 

futura i'gwâ ha kw'ishâ gb to stop work.

amount to telling them stop work.

In 65(c), the form ikpochi nthi can only have a (factive) nominal interpretation such as is entailed by its English equivalent 63(b).

This point has been argued in 6.1.2. 282-284.

In Igbo, one can distinguish between the following two types of infinitives

(a) Subjectless Infinitives
(b) Infinitives with or without Subject.

Igbo Subjectless Infinitives derive from EQUI-NP Deletion applying optionally to the complements of a class of predicates which have been described throughout this chapter as forward-looking predicates. Their structural position is unmistakable: they are always found in object NP position like the object NP sentential complements whose transforms they have been shown to be. In Purpose clauses, however, these infinitives are immediately dominated by Reason node.

We distinguish the above subjectless infinitives from the second category of infinitives which may have their NP subject, such infinitives are associated with ma complement of non-factive emotive predicates, and these have been fully discussed in chapter 5 (5.1.3.)

Like the subjectless infinitives resulting from Equi-NP triple, they are also potential, never factual in interpretation. Their structural position is always subject, and they derive from generalised conditional
clauses functioning as subject NP complements to emotive verbs. These two types of infinitives are therefore in complementary distribution, subjectless infinitives in object and the other in subject relation to the verb. The following are a few more illustrative examples of both types; the infinitives are underlined.

**SUBJECTLESS INFINITIVES**

66(a) Onye ishi ya na’ ac’ icu ya n’ogu.

His boss wants to sack him from is preparing his job.

66(b) Ekwela m nkhwe’ ikwychiri ya ugwu.

I have promised to pay for him the debt:

I have promised to pay the bill for him.

**INFINITIVES WITH/WITHOUT SUBJECT**

67(a) Okhe madhu izu ohi gwuru ikhe.

Old man to steal theft finishes strength:

For an old man to steal is disarming.

(b) Maj’ igbu nwanyi adu mma ma otu ma otu.

Wine to kill woman is not good at all:

For a woman to get drunk is not good at all.

(c) O wu ome n’elisa anyi wu inyed ni okha

It is custom our is to give the old people respect: It is our custom to give respect to our elders.

(d) Ihwu ya anya di okhe mkpa.

To see him eye is very important:

It is very important to see him.
8.3.1 NOMINALS/GERUNDS VERSUS INFINITIVES

Our claim is that any I prefix + V-stem form which cannot be related via any of the above syntactic processes which produce the infinitive in Igbo must be a nominal. Nominals of the above form may be due to either lexical derivation or to sentential nominalisation. These nominals behave like some ordinary nouns in Igbo, while infinitives do not. Consider the following examples:

68(a) \[ \text{Na 'umuaka na aga akwukwo [ na enye obi 'anya'irí ]} \]

That the children are attending school gives pleasure.

The fact that children are attending school is a pleasure.

68(b) is transformationally related to 68(a) via nominalisation of the subject Na complement, (cf 8.1.2 for details about the nominalisation of factive complements).

(a) \[ \text{Na 'umuaka na enye obi 'anya'irí} \]

The schooling of children gives pleasure.

Observe that iga akwukwo is an NP and that the nominal, umuaka is a genitival relation to it. This noun umuaka can be replaced by any other noun so as to show the tone pattern indicating the syntactic relation thus:

68(c) \[ \text{Iga akwukwo yā na enye obi 'anya'irí} \]

Schooling of his gives pleasure.

His schooling gives pleasure.

68(d) \[ \text{Iga akwukwo Okoro (Okoro)} \]

Okoro’s schooling

68(e) \[ \text{Iga akwukwo Okoro gaghakwa na enye obi 'anya'irí} \]

Schooling which Okoro still schools gives pleasure.

The fact that Okoro still goes to school gives pleasure.
68(d) must be related to 69(e) through an optional Relative Clause reduction. The use of the relative clause in 68(e) is to further definitize the nominal head, \( \text{ìgà akwykwù} \). But infinitives cannot be qualified by the same relative clause, their co-occurrence with infinitives such as those of 66 and 67 produces nonsense combinations of lexical items which can never be described as Igbo sentences.

For example, subjectless infinitives cannot be definitized by either a noun in genitival relation with it or by a relative clause, and for infinitives with subjects to be so definitized, one must first of all change the word order. If for example, 67(a) were to be changed to 69(a), then we would be dealing with two different sentences thus:

67(a) ūkhe mādhù ụ̊ zù ohi gwùry ́ ikhe.

For an old man to steal would be disarming.

69(a) ụ̊ zù ohi okhe mādhù gwùry ́ ikhe.

The theft of an old man is disarming.

The change of order, therefore, signals a change of grammatical relation and consequently a change of meaning. In its present form, 69(a) cannot now take relative clauses as 69(b) shows, whereas 67(a) cannot be qualified by a relative clause:

69(b) ụ̊ zù ohi okhe mādhù \{ \text{\textsla} \}

\{ \text{\textsla} \}

\{ \text{\textsla} \}

\{ \text{\textsla} \}

\text{Stealing which old man stole is disarming:}

\{ \text{\textsla} \}

\{ \text{\textsla} \}

\{ \text{\textsla} \}

\text{The fact that the old man stole is disarming.}
The order of elements of structure is a key factor in the above interpretations of 67(a) and 69(a). Whenever this order changes, the meaning also changes. But, transformational rules as now formulated are not allowed to effect such radical meaning changes. Therefore, there can be no relationship, transformational or semantic, between 67(a) and 69(a). The above test shows that

(a) only nominals can be definitized by either an NP in genitival relation with it
   or a relative clause
   or both,
   but never an infinitive since it is part of the complex verb.

The second differentiating test between the two homonyms - infinitives and nominals in Igbo consists in the use of preposition maka; consider the following examples:

70(a) Anyi ma na ọ na evu akwa.
      We know that he deals in cloth.

(b) Anyi ma maka akwa ọ na evu.
      We know about the fact that he deals in cloth.

(c) Anyi ma maka ọvuvụ akwa ya.
      We know about his cloth trade.

Observe that in the nominalised forms in (b) and (c) above, the use of maka is obligatory, and this is normal with nominalised Na complements. Observe also the use of the variant form of the derived nominal, ọvuvụ - carrying. If the same preposition were to be used with infinitives, the result would be ungrammatical, as 71(c) shows:

71(a) Anyi coro ka anyi meshie ya ikhe.
      We want to deal with him in a tough way.
We want to deal harshly with him.

We may state the above differentiating test in the form of the following rule:

(b) I prefix + v-stem forms which are nominals but not infinitives may take the preposition, maka.

It must, however, be pointed out that some forward-looking predicates (that is, those that are subject to the optional rule of EQUI) do take maka before what would otherwise be their infinitive complements, as the following examples demonstrate:

72(a) Anyi kwadoghe {
    ka ḍié rie } ñwé

(b) _________________________________ { iri

We are preparing to eat (something).

73 Anyi kwadoghe maka iri ñwe.

We are preparing for eating (i.e. for a meal).

But 72 and 73 are neither semantically, nor transformationally related in the sense that 72(a) and (b) are, since the I prefix + v-stem form in 73 must be analysed as a nominal. One can liken 72 and 73 on the one hand to the following English constructions:

74(a) I made a promise { to visit } him in the hospital.

(b) { about visiting } The above 74(a) and (b) sentences are not semantically equivalent in the same way that 72(b) and 73 are not.

Classical Latin 12 abounds in such examples where different constructions are employed in the expression of one and the same meaning, as in Purpose or Final Clauses thus:

12 Latin expresses Purpose in either of the following construction types:

(e) ut and the Subjunctive
(b) the accusative of the Gerund
(c) the Supine ending in -um.
The soldiers came to attack the camp. It is therefore necessary to distinguish between two different form classes in sentences such as 72(b) and 73; in the former we have a clear case of the infinitive and in the latter, an example of the nominal, these two are not morphologically distinguishable in the language, though syntactically they are. Other forward-looking predicates which may take an optional maka are those given on page 22, except iju (to refuse) and including the optative, ikhwo as in 76.

76(a) Ekhworo m m m m ṣọwọ gị bya
(b) ṣọwọ
(c) maka ṣọwọ
gị
I had in mind to see you and came:
I came \{ in order to see \} you.

The above 76(a)–(c) have a paraphrase relation, but only 76(a) and (b) are also transformationally related.

We therefore emphasize the two diagnostic tests for distinguishing the nominal and infinitive homonyms in Igbo: Infinitives do not take maka, whereas nominals do. Nominals can be modified by other nominals or a qualifying clause, but infinitives may not be so modified. Infinitives in Igbo are always potential or future in interpretation, while the same homonym functioning as a nominal may be factive, and the sentence in which it is functioning may express past, present or future meaning.
In addition to the foregoing examples, there is also the following type of construction:

79(a) Enwere m ikhe bya: I could/ might come.

(b) __________________ ibya: I \{can come.\} \{am able to come.\}

77(a) and (b) can be shown to be different: 79(a) is the product of Coordinate Deletion of the following structions:

78(a) Enwere m ikhe my a laya

(b) Enwere m ikhe bya.

I could/ may come (but I am not sure)

But 77(b), on the other hand, means that I have the strength/ energy or means to come and no more than that, hence the nominal, ibya (coming).

Compare 77(b) with 71, for example:

79(a) O nwere ikhe iru oru

(b) __________________ oru.

He has the strength/ energy for work: He works hard.

He can work hard.

In the above examples, iru oru and oru must be analysed as nominals in genitival relationship with ikhe.

§3.2 The ENIGMATIC CASE

Apart from what has been shown to be either infinitives or nominals in the foregoing section, there remain few and isolated cases of the homonym which functions after the auxiliary verbs

\( \text{iga} \)

\( \text{ina} \)

Consider the following examples:

80(a) Aga’ m igaa ahya.

\( \text{iga} \) ahya.

I shall go to market.
There is a choice of prefixes in the above examples ranging from harmonising vowels to homorganic nasals. If the NP ahya is deleted in the above 80, we get the following variants in 81.

81(a)  
\[ \text{Aga} \text{ m } \text{îga} \text{ aga} \]

(b)  
\[ \text{--- ngà } \]

(c)  
\[ \text{--- agà agà} \]

Observe that what we have in the above examples in place of the deleted NP is a kind of cognate complement. This cognate complement may also be deleted to yield 82.

82(a)  
\[ \text{Aga} \text{ m } \text{îga} \]

(b)  
\[ \text{--- ngà } \]

(c)  
\[ \text{--- agà } \]

82(a) is very questionable. It seems that with the above I prefix-form some complement - be it cognate or nominal - is obligatory. If this is true, and there is as yet no evidence to the contrary, then one is in a strong position to determine when an extra dimension of meaning - such as determination definiteness or commitment is involved: the commitment or determination to carry out the action of the verb, îga seems to be dependent on the presence of a complement thus:

83(a)  
\[ \text{Aga} \text{ m } \text{îbyà abya. I will come (definite)} \]

(b)  
\[ \text{--- abya. } \text{Abyà. } \]

(c)  
\[ \text{--- Îbyà abya. } \]

13 Rev Igwe observes (personal communications) that there is a meaning difference between sentences such as 82(b) and (c) on the one hand, and 81(a) on the other. Whereas 81(a) is definite and equivalent to first person 'will' in English which, in addition to expressing the future also implies a determination to carry out the action of the verb, (cf 'I will come' with 'I shall come) 82(b) and (c) express no more than the simple future. While accepting this observation, we would like to base the meaning difference on some syntactic facts: the fact in this case being the presence of the cognate complement in which the verb is repeated for emphasis. On the basis of this, 81 and 83 have the same meaning, while 82 has a slightly different meaning. Thus, the semantic interpretation of sentences such as these is predictable from the presence or absence of the cognate complement, which serves to emphasize the verb.
(b) āga m ábyá. I shall come. (not definite)
(c) " " ábyá. " " ( " )

It seems that the choice of the prefix is a dialect issue.

But this explanation does not constitute an answer to the question: What part of speech is it that comes after the auxiliaries āga and īna?

Infinitive it cannot be since it cannot be related to any of the two well known sources of infinitives in Igbo - Equi-NP triple and infinitivization in Emotive predicate complementation. It is not a nominal since it does not behave like one. It seems that auxiliary verbs such as āga and īna must be seen as verbs whose presence entails the presence of other verb forms which are complement to them. We therefore suggest that verbs such as āga
" āgá
" ājhe
" ēri
et cetera

are not analysable. These verbs are called auxiliaries because they help other verbs to express the right meaning/time. In constructions such as ū ga akwu īwu.

He will pay the fine.

the underlined sequence is the verb which can only be seen as auxiliary plus complement or simply as complex verb form. We do not go along with the previous analysis of the above underlined verb form as auxiliary plus participle. The term, participle, seems ill-motivated in the analysis of Igbo. It will be observed that īna and āga behave similarly, except that the former does not admit of any other vowel prefix to its complement than the harmonising A thus:
Conclusion

From the examination of the foregoing examples, one may conclude that (i) there are two form classes of the I-prefix + V-stem shape, or (ii) that there is only one form class which performs two syntactic functions.

The criterial test for each function is this: If the form can take a preposition - na or maka - for example, then it is functioning as a nominal, if not, it is a verbal. If it is verbal in function, it is also potential in meaning or interpretation.

8.4.0 THE NP AND VP COMPLEMENT DISTINCTION

Rosenbaum (1967) distinguishes between Subject complements as in 86 and object complements as in 87:

86(a) That he came at all is a tribute to his courage.
     (b) It is obvious that he was mistaken.
     (c) For the army to admit their inability to deal with the situation would help matters.

87(a) We all know that he could not carry out the assignment.
     (b) Many people wanted to meet him.
     (c) He condescended to be present at such a gathering.

Although Rosenbaum distinguishes complement types by their specific complementizers, just as we do, he does not specify these complementizers in base structure as we do, but introduces them transformationally. Robin Lakoff (1968) does the same. For Rosenbaum, all subject complements are NPs, but some object complements are NPs being dominated by a node, NP in the base, while others are VP complements and are under the direct
dominance of VP in the Base. What are his reasons for this distinction of Object complements into NPs and VPs?

Rosenbaum's distinction is based on the following reason:

all NP complements behave like ordinary NPs under Passive Formation and Pseudo-Cleft, while VP complements do not.

Thus 88(a) the passive form of 87(a), is well-formed, while 88(b) & (c) the passive counterparts of 87(b) and (c) are ungrammatical.

88(a) That he could not carry out his assignment is known by us all.
     (b) * To meet him was wanted by many people.
     (c) * To be present at such a gathering was condescended to by him.

Similarly, the Pseudo-Cleft transforms of 87(a) is grammatical while those of (b) and (c) are ungrammatical.

89(a) What we all know is that he could not carry out his assignment.
     (b) * What many people wanted was meet him.
     (c) * What he condescended was be present at such a gathering.

However, Rosenbaum has retracted from this distinction between NP and VP complements in the following words: "... the number of clear cases of verb phrase complementation has diminished to the point where their general existence becomes questionable" (Rosenbaum, 1967: IX), and since the distinction itself has been effectively challenged, (cf Loflin (1968), and Wagner (1968), and Bonney (1974), we need not go over the ground again.

Our main concern here is to see whether such a distinction would be well-motivated in the analysis of Igbo; after all it does not follow that a distinction which fails to hold for English will necessarily fail to obtain for the Igbo language, or any other language for that matter. For example, in a study of sentential complementation in Japanese,
Nakua (1973) establishes that such an NP/VP distinction is necessary for an accurate description of this construction type thus:

![Diagram](image.png)

Fig. 5(a) Fig. 5(b)

As in the case of Rosenbaum, the distinction is based on the following reasons:

(a) in Fig 5(a), the directly dominating node is NP, as opposed to Pred. Phr. in Fig 5(b)

(b) differences of complementizers: it is 'to yuu' in NP complements, but 'to or yoo ni' in VP complements.

As partial evidence in support of the above distinction, Nakua demonstrates that the T-rules which move or affect simple NPs can also apply to structures such as Fig 5(a), but not 5(b). The fact that such T-rules as Topicalisation, Cleft sentence formation and NP deletion apply to the unit

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \text{ comp} \\
\text{NP} & \text{NP}
\end{array}
\]

indicates that it is an NP and that the \[S \text{ comp}\] is a Noun complement embedded before a head noun. By contrast, the fact that no part of Fig 5(b) can be moved or deleted by the very same syntactic processes shows that no NP is involved in this structure and that the \[S \text{ comp}\] is a predicate complement embedded before a predicate.

But the situation in Igbo is different. First of all, there is no such thing as the Passive Rule in Igbo, since there are no passive
sentences in the language. Therefore, even if the Pessive rule constituted a criterial test for the NP/VP distinction in English, it cannot be a diagnostic test for such a distinction in Igbo. Secondly, all sentential complements in the language are subject to the same movement rules as Pseudo-Cleft and Topicalisation regardless of whether they function as subject or object, and although only one category of complements are subject to the optional rule of Equi-NP deletion, this fact is a consequence of the semantic characteristics of the main clause predicates involved. There is, therefore, no basis for the distinction into Verb Phrase and Noun Phrase complementation in Igbo, hence all sentential complements in this language are under the direct dominance of an NP node in Base structures.

What seems to happen is that a particular category of NP complements - the subjunctive ka/ma complement may turn out at the surface as a VP-complement, if it is the output of Equi-NP triple. With the complement NP subject deleted under identity with the matrix (main clause) subject, the now subjectless verb becomes infinitivized, and thus is in the relation of a complementary verb to the main clause predicate, a fact which is shown by the tree diagram in Fig 6. Since only transforms of underlying NP sentential complements may have this surface structure, the NP/VP distinction in Igbo is a derived rather than a Base one.
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Chapter 9 Epilogue

One justification for undertaking a transformational analysis of a language is the expectation that it might give greater insight into language by showing how things fit together and by making some contribution to linguistic theory. This consideration has guided our approach to the analysis presented in this thesis: strict observation of the language data has been our guiding principle, we have not tried to force the Igbo language data into a descriptive mould designed with Indo-European languages in mind, and which may not necessarily fit Igbo as well as it fits, say, the English language.

Yet, if linguistic theories have any value, it lies partly in their general applicability to any human language, regardless of where it is spoken. For example, it is the case that all human languages have NP's and VP's, and that most, if not all of them, have such syntactic processes as Relativisation, Pronominalisation, Reflexivization, and probably Equi-NP Deletion. How each of these syntactic operations is formally characterised will surely vary from one language to another.

Furthermore, one of the claims of transformational generative theory is that grammar does not enumerate sentence types, but also shows relationships among them, where these exist, and characterises the tacit competence of the native speaker in using his language. The native speaker referred to here is the native speaker of any human language.

The present research has been carried out with a dual purpose: to provide an accurate account of Noun Phrase Sentential Complementation in Igbo, and from such an account make some useful, and possibly, general deductions about language and theories about it. In this concluding chapter of this thesis, we would like to discuss some issues raised here and elsewhere about the status of certain rules and concepts in transformational grammar. The issues concern the following transformational rules and related concepts:
(a) Equi-NP Deletion,
(b) Raising,
(c) The Concept of Extrinsic Order, and
(d) The Concept of the Cycle.

**EQUI-NP DELETION**

The conditions which determine the applicability of the above T-rule have been discussed in 8.2.0.417-423. Only forward-looking predicates are subject to this rule which in Igbo triggers Infinitivization and Complementizer Deletion. From the accounts of NP-Complementation available to us - from English, Japanese, Akan and now Igbo - it is the case that only verbs which impose a sequence-of-tense constraint on the verbs of their sentential complements are subject to this rule of Equi-NP Deletion, and the propositional content of such complements is always open. Whether Equi-NP Deletion is optional or obligatory is language specific, (in Igbo it is optional, but usually obligatory for English) and does not affect the case we are going to make about the universal status of certain rules of grammar.

What the above facts about the applicability of Equi-NP Deletion suggest is this:

Every language has got various categories of verbs based on syntactic and/or semantic characteristics, such as transitivity versus intransitivity, stative as opposed to Action verbs, et cetera.

If any category of verbs is characterised by a set of semantic features which have a corresponding syntactic reflex, then such a reflex is likely to be universal.

In other words, in every language, it is the category of forward-looking predicates which are going to be subject to the rule of Equi-NP Deletion and subsequent Infinitivization. We do not mean that the formal
characterisation of the above rules is going to be the same in every language, nor that every forward-looking predicate in any one language is going to be subject to them. On the contrary, it is to be expected that certain members of a category of verbs may be idiosyncratic in their syntactic behaviour, and this is a common phenomenon in language. Rather what we mean is that there is a rule of language called Equi-NP Deletion, whatever form it may take in any particular language, only forward-looking predicates are going to be subject to it.

Put strongly, then, the above hypothesis amounts to saying that Equi-NP Deletion is a semantic rule, since it depends crucially on the predicates concerned being forward-looking. In other words, only semantically determined rules of grammar may have a universal status in its very wide sense, and Equi-NP Deletion is one such rule. Bach (1965:19) touches on this point when he speculates about the probability of Relativization as a universal syntactic process thus: "The device discussed above (the T-rules relevant to Relativization in English, Japanese and Swahili) presumably have their counterparts in every language since their function is essentially to provide a new ad hoc expression for any person, place or thing, experience, process, function or feeling that a human being may want to name."

Closely related to the infinitive complements which are the output of the Equi-NP triplet (that is, Equi-NP Deletion, Infinitivization and complementizer Deletion) are those infinitives associated with the antecedent of Open Conditional Constructions when they function as NP-Complements to certain Emotive verbs. But there are some basic differences inspite of obvious similarities.

With forward-looking predicates, Equi-NP Deletion triggers such other rules as Infinitivization and Complementizer Deletion. But with Emotive verbs, infinitivization does not depend on a previous application of Equi-NP Deletion, but on an Agent Deletion rule which is, nevertheless,
optional, unless the indefinite Agent, A - "one" - is involved.

However, there is this similarity that the proposition expressed by this type of subject-NP complement is an open one, as is the case with the complements of forward-looking predicates.

From the cross-linguistic evidence from all these unrelated languages - English, Japanese, Akan and Igbo - one could conclude that any rule of grammar which is semantically determined in the sense of Equi-NP Deletion is likely to be universal.

RAISING - Raising Subject to Subject:

Subject-Raising is a rule of the Igbo language, though a minor one, being restricted to a handful of non-factive Emotives which take Subject-NP sentential complement (cf 5.2.1.). There is no evidence for Object-Raising in the language, although Postal (1974) has argued for the existence of Object-Raising as a rule of English grammar.

EXTRANSC ORDER

The argument generally given in defence of rule ordering is two-fold:

(a) that without rule ordering, certain grammatical sentences could not be generated;

(b) that without rule ordering, certain ungrammatical sentences could not be blocked.

The first argument seems to lack validity (cf Koutsoudas (1971, 1972, & 1973), Lehmann (1972), Ringen (1972), and Bonney (1974). But in principle, there could be valid reasons for rule ordering based on the need to block certain ungrammatical sentences. However, as Bonney (1974) argues convincingly, even in such cases, rule ordering is unnecessary because there are other principles to ensure the correct results. Such principles include intrinsic ordering, the precedence of obligatory over optional rules and the concept of the cycle which upholds the precedence of cyclic over non-cyclic rules. Given these principles, the need for
extrinsic rule ordering is claimed to cease to exist, (cf Kimbal, ed. 1972).

As far as Igbo is concerned, our investigation reveals that there is no need for extrinsic rule ordering in order to block the derivation of certain ill-formed sentences. The rules given in this thesis are unordered, and any ordering relationship among them is intrinsic. The analysis of Igbo presented here thus lends support to the hypothesis that transformational rules are not extrinsically ordered.

But extrinsic order is supposed to be a kind of global derivational constraint since it makes the applicability of a rule at any given stage of derivation dependent not only on the structure of the tree at that point, but on what has happened at earlier stages in the derivation. Thus, if rule A is ordered before rule B, then after a point in a derivation where B has applied, A cannot apply even though a tree meeting its structural description is available. What prevents the application of A is something that happened at an earlier stage, namely the application of B. But given the cycle, it is claimed, extrinsic ordering can be dispensed with.

The Cycle

Since we have argued that given the cycle, it is possible to do away with extrinsic ordering, it seems to follow that the cycle is a necessary linguistic device in a transformational grammar of Igbo without extrinsic ordering. But we have argued that, although the cycle is a well motivated linguistic device for English, there is no need for it in a transformational description of Igbo. Reasons for this view have been given in the relevant section of this thesis. We would like to dwell more on this view and its consequences for linguistic theory.

It seems that the existence of certain rules of grammar can be typologically predicted. For example, Igbo, like most Kwa languages, appears to have very little, if any, NP-Movement rules, apart from the rules of Extraposition, Ya Movement and all such movement rules as are
relevant in Focus and Topicalisation. But these movement rules are distinct from NP-Movement in this important respect that they never change grammatical relations, (in the sense that Passivization can change a deep structure object into a surface subject) and are not subject to the complex constraints such as Cross-over Constraint, the Complex NP constraint, and the Co-ordinate Structure Constraint. The absence of Passive constructions from these languages can be predicted from the absence of the Complex NP-Movement rules.

Stahlke (1970) has observed that the absence of NP-Movement rules seems to correlate with the presence of verb serialisation for, according to him, "we find both Serialisation and the absence of NP-Movement transformations in the same languages," (Ibid. p. 95), a fact which leads one to expect that linguistic typology should enable us to predict what type of phenomena to expect from particular languages. Let us consider the above observation of Stahlke in relation to what has been described as formal linguistic universals, of which the concept of the cycle is supposed to be one.

It has been shown that the absence of certain rules of grammar from a particular language makes certain linguistic devices unnecessary for that language (cf. 5.0.2:252-255). One such linguistic device is the cycle. The cycle is uncalled for because Igbo and typologically similar languages lack the Passive rule, and for them Raising is a very minor rule limited to a handful of intransitive verbs which take sentential subject complement. This being the case, the above two rules—Passive & Raising never interact. The question then arises as to whether the existence of such rules as Pronominalisation and Reflexivization is sufficient justification for the Cycle.

However, it is also the case that the same languages which lack NP-Movement but have Serialisation are the ones which have two morphologically distinct second and/or third person pronouns,
one self-referring, and the other non-self-referring. Thus we have the following forms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Singular</th>
<th>(Igbo)</th>
<th>(Ewe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ya</td>
<td>he/she/it</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ye</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plural</th>
<th>(Igbo)</th>
<th>(Ewe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Òlụọ</td>
<td>they</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yewo</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R.G. Armstrong (1963) made a similar observation with regard to Idoma and Yoruba, while Kevin Ford has pointed out that Avatime makes such a contrast. It is rather surprising that the Akan group of languages do not seem to make the same distinction which is characteristic of the Kwa language group (cf Clements 1973), whereas languages outside the Kwa group - Efik, (an Eastern Nigerian language), "Eskimo, Latin, Korean and Japanese have at least partially parallel phenomena" (Clements 1973: 2).

It happens to be the case that the group of languages which lack NP - Movement and have Verb Serialisation also have two separate pronouns for self- and non-self-reference, or for inclusive and non-inclusive use. Since these languages lack the Passive and Raising rules, and consequently have no need for the Cycle, they will not need the Cycle to determine their chain of co-reference. It will not even be necessary for the description of such a language to resort to the use of referential indexes. A simple feature specification will ensure that lexical insertion matches a superordinate NP with the appropriate self-referring or inclusive pronominal form in the embedded clause.
For example, the Igbo third person pronouns will have the following specifications.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
| + \text{Pro} & + \text{Pro} | \\
| + \text{3rd Pers.} & + \text{3rd Pers.} | \\
| + \text{Sing} & + \text{Sing} | \\
| + \text{Self-ref.} & - \text{Self-ref.} | \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
| + \text{Pro} | \\
| + \text{3rd Pers.} | \\
| + \text{Pl.} | \\
| + \text{Self-ref.} | \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
| - \text{Self-ref.} | \\
| - \text{Pl.} | \\
| - \text{Self-ref.} | \\
\end{array}
\]

Ya

O

If lexical insertion is sensitive to these features, then the chain of co-reference will always be maintained, and the right meaning ensured. As far as Igbo is concerned, only the third person is involved, whereas in other Kwa languages such as Ewe, both the second and third persons are involved. Admittedly, these features are semantic, and so is the problem of reference. The specification of these features as part of the lexical entries is in keeping with the view now held (cf Kempson 1974, and McCawley 1972) that if the so-called selectional restriction features are seen as inherent properties of lexical items, then the need to see them as a syntactic device will cease to exist. Since the chain of co-reference in Kwa languages can be effectively determined in this simple way, the need to invoke an otherwise unmotivated principle of the cycle will not arise.

The implications for linguistic theory of the foregoing discussion are as follows:

1. Languages which have no relation-changing NP-Movement rules have verb serialisation.
2. Languages which have no NP-Movement rules have no need for the Cycle.
3. Languages which satisfy the above two conditions also have two distinct pronoun forms in the second and/or
third person for self- and non-self-reference, inclusive or non-inclusive use.

(4) The Kwa Language group satisfy the above conditions.

(5) The Cycle cannot therefore be a universal principle, or if it is a universal principle, universal in this sense must be typologically defined.

(6) It seems to be the case that universals of language are those which are semantically determined, such as Equi - NP Deletion, Relativization and Pronominalisation.

(7) The above observations call for a distinction between 'Absolute' Universals - that is, those universals which are likely to be found in all human languages - such as Equi - NP Deletion, Relativization and Pronominalisation, being rules of language which are semantically determined; and

'Relative' Universals, such as the Cycle and Extrinsic Order, which are likely to be either language specific, or language - group specific.
Towards a Coherent Theory of Igbo Function Words:  
Conjunctions, Complementizers & Prepositions

10.0.0 Introduction

The proposals in this chapter are tentative; here we are only suggesting a possible analysis of most function words in Igbo based on the synchronic evidence available to us. In particular, we offer some suggestions as to how to reconcile the apparently irreconcilable instances of Na in Igbo: there is strong evidence in support of the view that the so-called Na prefix and Na relative are one and the same thing, being a form of the auxiliary verb ina which, along with a following verb-form expresses the Habitual or Progressive meaning. Similarly, Na conjunction (i.e. na in the structure NP - NP) and Na complementizer (i.e. na in the structure na S) along with Na preposition are all associated with the same auxiliary ina. In other words, where we have made any distinction, it is a featural one. We have adopted the same method of approach for other conjunctions and complementizers such as ma1 and ma2.

Our approach is supported by the following facts of Igbo syntax. First, Igbo is a much more 'verb' language than, say, English. Where the English language employs such function words as 'to', 'from', 'with', et cetera, Igbo uses definite verb-forms. Secondly, some of the conjunctions in Igbo, (the monosyllables in particular) such as si, Na, ka and ma1, and ma2 can be shown to be associated with certain Igbo verbs, and for some of these conjunctions, it is possible to establish a transformational relationship between the structures where they function as conjunctions, and those where they function as prepositions, (cf 10.2.0: 472-77). Thirdly, certain verb-forms are increasingly serving a ......

1 See Green and Igwe (1963), p. 165 for this analysis.
prepositional function, that is, they occur in structural positions where English employs prepositions. The forms in question are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \text{gba sara} \} & \quad \text{"concerning"} \\
\{ \text{bányere} \} & \\
\{ \text{dîka} \; \text{or} \; \text{dî ka} \} & \quad \text{"like"} \\
\{ \text{núna} \; \text{or} \; \text{nú na} \} & \\
\text{shî(te)} & \quad \text{"from"}
\end{align*}
\]

These, along with such other forms will be established as verb-forms in section 5.4.5. Their existence in the Igbo language shows that Na is not the only preposition in the language. There are at least five other verb-forms which function in structures where English normally employs prepositions.

Our argument in this chapter will centre on the following monosyllables:

\[\text{sì, Na ka, mà_1 \& mà_2}\]

Although we have included maka on the basis of its substitutability for mà_1, we have not generally considered such disyllabic conjunctions as:

\[\{ \text{khàma} \; \text{thùma} \} \quad \text{"rather than, instead"}\]

which we consider to belong to a different subcategory. Their use is illustrated in the following examples:

1 (a) \[
\begin{align*}
\{ \text{khàma} \; \text{thùma} \} & \quad \text{úka', fuq', anyì kwùshi okwu ò.} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Instead trouble come out, we stop talk this: Rather than provoke a row, let us suspend this matter.

(b) \[
\begin{align*}
\{ \text{khàma} \; \text{thùma} \} & \quad \text{ò na' ekwu' ezhi-okwù, ya èbèwe akwà} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Instead h he is telling truth, he start crying: Instead of telling the truth, he started crying.

(c) \[
\begin{align*}
\text{anyì lawání, khàma' ókwu } & \quad \text{\{na' fuq'\} úka'} \\
\end{align*}
\]

We start going, you people, instead trouble come out. Let us start going away, you people, instead of trouble ensuing.
(d) Kháma' ya, madhu gwü.
Instead of that, people finish: Rather than tolerate the situation, let us all perish.

(e) Kháma ite shiri, nkhu gwü (idiomatic)
Instead of pot remain on fire, firewood finish:
I had better find a solution to my problem, even if it means exhausting all my resources.

For these two conjunctions, there seems to be no traceable relationship, no matter how remote, with any Igbo verb.

10.1.0. Function Words as Predicates

The term, predicate, is used throughout this dissertation to denote the class of items which, on the basis of morphological characteristics, can be called verbs or verb-forms. These include auxiliaries as well as non-auxiliary verbs. By verbs, we mean the obligatory element in the category, VP, (Verb or Predicate Phrase), which can be inflected for tense or aspect (cf 2.3.0 et seq.) with such affixes (prefixes & suffixes) as are associated exclusively with verbs. However, auxiliaries in Igbo are tense or aspect markers, and in that sense are not obligatory elements in a VP, except when the tense or aspect they help to express is called for. In Igbo, the category, Verb, is identified by the citation form beginning with a harmonising, close, front, vowel prefix, I-, as in the following examples:

Iri → irí to eat
Ira → irá to eat (soup, oranges etc)

Igbo Auxiliary Verbs - (i) ìna

This auxiliary is distinct from the other two auxiliary verbs in the language in that it never occurs as the main and only verb of an Igbo clause, rather its presence always presupposes the presence in the structure of a following verb-form as in the following examples:

2 (a) Ogu na agbáli:
Ogu {is does} trying; Ogu {is doing} his best.
2(b) Ọbì nà atụ ọshị: Ọbì {is telling} lies
tells

(c) Dikhe naara awa oshishi
Dikhe used to saw wood: Dikhe used to be a sawyer.

(d) I na any māi?
You are drinking wine: Do you want to drink?

Contrast (d) with the following (e)

(e) I ji any māi?
You do drink wine?: Do you drink (wine)?

(f) Nā aza ēzhi
Keep on sweeping the compound.

From 2(a-f), it is obvious that the auxiliary verb ina does take some of the
inflectional suffixes which are only associated with Igbo verbs, such as -rV
(time and the imperative suffix - and the open vowel suffix symbolised as 0
(cf 2.3.2). It will also take the negative suffix -ghI/hII as well as the
perfect suffix -I A nA, thus:

(g) Ọ nāhii aṣó anye
He does not {avoid   } eye: He is no respecter of persons.
     {shy away from}

(h) Ogu ana(na) anya 2 māi
    Ogu has kept on drinking (wine)

2 In this type of construction involving the perfect form of na, the
following verbform is also in the perfect. However, as (h) above shows,
the perfect marker, -na can be optional.

ụnụ {anána} émána? (Greeting)

Have you continued doing: Well done, Keep it up.
Ibe ọ na ọjọhụa ahyā ya? Has Ibe continued to keep up with
his trading?
Igbo Auxiliary Verbs (ii) ịji and iğa

There may be many more members of this class. They are distinct from auxiliary (i) - ịnà in the sense that each of them can function in a non-auxiliary capacity, that is, as the only verb of an independent clause in the language:

3(a) Ọnụgha ji ọgbè
Ọnụgha has/is holding a gun

(b) Ụnụghị ji ọgbọ
Ụnụghị has money; Ụnụghị is rich.

4(a) Nди mmadụ aghèchaala ahya
People go all have market; People have all gone to market.

(b) Ibe gara ọkwụkwọ
Ibe went to school.

Examples 3-4 show the above auxiliaries functioning as main and only verb in the sentence.

In addition to the above, they also function as auxiliaries as in the following:

5(a) Ṣẹ ọma o ị adị gị mma?
Thing good it is to you good? Do pleasant things give you pleasure? Are you attracted to things that are morally upright?

(b) Ụnu ji ọrị ọdụ?
You do eat cocoyam? Do you eat cocoyam?

6(a) Aga m ịhẹ ahya eci
Will I go market tomorrow? I shall go to market tomorrow.
Ogu will build his house here/in this place.

It is to capture this dual function that these two verbs and any others like them will have the lexical entries:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+V \\
+aux
\end{array}
\]

whereas the other auxiliary  is has

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+V \\
+aux
\end{array}
\]

In other words, these syntactic functions are described in terms of feature specifications which indicate whether these verbs can be used only as auxiliaries (as in the case of  is) or as non-auxiliaries in one capacity (i.e., -aux) and as auxiliaries in another (i.e., +aux).

Having defined  is as an auxiliary verb, we shall devote the rest of this chapter to a justification of our claim that all the instances of  is in Igbo are associated with this auxiliary verb  is, and that Igbo monosyllabic function words are associated with certain Igbo verbs. We start from the more obvious cases to the less obvious and more difficult ones.

The Complementizer  si

In chapter 4 (4.1.5) we have argued that the complementizer  si is the unsuffixed stem of the verb  isi - 'to say, declare, allege', and that its function as the only permissible complementizer with embedded imperative structures is due to the fact that it is a verb of saying, and that it can introduce the actual words of a speaker as though in quotes (once the necessary pronoun changes have been made). Let us now examine  ke and the likely verb associated with it.
10.2.0 **Ka & Ma Subjunctive Complementizers**

Now consider the complementizer *Ka* and its relationship with *Kaa*, which means 'please, be willing.' In order to see them in their true perspective, let us cite the following three forms of the auxiliary verb *ina*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation form</th>
<th>Imperative form</th>
<th>Stem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>ina</em></td>
<td><em>Naa</em> (+ verb form)</td>
<td><em>Na</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Kaa</em></td>
<td><em>Ka</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the imperative form of *ina* never occurs alone as does *Kaa*, but only in the following type of example:

7(a) *Naa* *ëzà* *úlg* (vb *ëzà* class 2)

Go on sweeping the house.

(b) *Na(a)* *eri* *ñwè* (vb. *iri* class 1).

Go on eating (something).

The low-tone vowel suffix is obligatory with Tone class 2 verbs, but optional with Tone classes 1 & 3 verbs.

The identity of tone pattern of the imperative form and stem of the above two items does not strike us as mere coincidence, especially as their Imperative/Hortative tone pattern is not one that we would normally associate with the generality of Igbo verbs. The reverse is, in fact, the norm, as the following examples show:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ìrì</th>
<th>(verb class 1)</th>
<th>Ìrì</th>
<th>(Rìg) : eat!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ìfu’</td>
<td>( &quot; &quot; 2)</td>
<td>Fuš : go out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ìbýa</td>
<td>( &quot; &quot; 3)</td>
<td>Byàs : Come</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above examples show that for some speakers, it is a uniform low tone for all verb-stems, be they class 1, 2 or 3 verbs; for other speakers like us, it is a low-tone stem for classes 2 & 3 verbs, and a high-tone stem for class 1 verbs. But for all speakers, the vowel suffix (where there is one) is always on a high-tone, never on a low one. Obviously *Naa* and *Kaa* behave
irregularly with regard to their tone pattern in the Imperative or Hortative. But whereas ñà exists as an auxiliary verb (established as such on the basis of its morphological and syntactic characteristics, (cf p.231f) Kà is defective, surviving only in this imperative form, with the meaning 'please, be willing'. Thus, it lacks the citation form ?ika which would correspond to ñà above. Kà has the following equivalents:

In English - please
French - veuillez
German - Bitte

In diachronic, if not synchronic, descriptions, the above are verb-forms.

Let us now examine the following sentences in which Kà and Kà function.

8(a)(i) Kà, ya gbakhe
Please, he recover: Please God, let him recover/May he recover.

(b)(i) Cūkwu mē, Kà, ya gbakhe
(ii) Kà, ya gbakhe

God, bring about, please he recover: May God bring about/ his recovery.

(c)(i) Así m, kà, ya lōkwa nā udhō
(ii) ka 0 lōkwa

Say I, please, he return in peace
I wish him a safe/peaceful return.

(d)(i) Cērē kà m bya
(ii) kà, m bya

Wait, please, I come back: Wait until I return.

(e)(i) Kà lōwē
Kà

Please, I start going: May I go?
Let me go.
From these examples in 8(a)-(e), it is observable that ᱜ and ᱤ function in similar, if not identical structures - each precedes what we have described as the Subjunctive Complements, the only difference being that ᱜ is used generally in clauses of direct entreaty, whereas ᱤ is used elsewhere. Furthermore, ᱜ generally takes the separable pronoun subject - ọ, ya et cetera, whereas ᱤ is normally associated with the inseparable ones such as ọ, and the harmonising ọ, at least in my dialect.

It is important to point out that if nouns rather than pronoun subjects are present, and if the pronoun subjects present are in the plural, there is no difference whatsoever between ᱜ and ᱤ clauses, as 9(a)-(c) show:

9(a) ᱜ anyị gawakwani

Please, we start going: Let's start going.

(b) ᱜ Ogu ctekwe ọha m gwara ya

Please Ogu remember what I told him.

May Ogu remember what I told him.

(c) Akọọ m ka Ogu ctekwe ọha m gwara ya

I wish Ogu to remember what I told him.

And lastly, the meaning of the structures is not affected whether ᱜ or ᱤ is the conjunction.

From the above syntactic and semantic facts, we conclude that there is a very close relationship between ᱜ and ᱤ. We suggest that ᱤ is a defective verb lacking the citation form ḩa, and existing in its Hortative form in the sense of the English word, please.

Our hypothesis is that it is this same ᱤ (associated with ᱜ) whose syntactic function has been extended to the following

(i) EXTRAPosed CLAUSES:

10(a) ọ wụ athụụ gche ka Dikhe ckggha

It is the white sheep that Dikhe is looking for.
10 (b) ḍ wykwanj okwu d mkpa ka a na ekwu.

It is an important matter that is being discussed.

For speakers of our dialect, however, the popular equivalent of
10 (a) and (b) are 10 (c) and (d).

10 (c) ḍ ṯį athyr qca ṯį ṯį ṯį Dikhe c曦he
10 (d) ḍ wykwanj okwu d mkpa ṯį ṯį ṯį a na ekwu.

Thus in place of ka our dialect uses the verb ṯį followed by the
proform - ṯį. The gradual spreading of the ka version is, however,
a noticeable phenomenon.

(ii) TEMPORAL CLAUSES

The following use of ka in Clauses of Time should also be noted:

11. Ṭhandyr m nde ehi ṭe nga ṭe nga ṭe nga nga ọgha

I saw those people { while they were returning.

As in the previous examples, this dialect employs the alternatives to
ka enclosed along with it in braces in examples 11, and this explains
the fact that in this dialect ka never occurs in sentences such as
10 & 11. We may also point out that the distributional characteristics
of ka and ka is likely to vary from one dialect to another.

Thus far, we have shown that the conjunction ka is associated
with the defective verb ka, and that they function in similar
syntactic structures with a definite and consistent meaning. Since
there is no ka preposition in this dialect, the question of relating
the conjunction to the preposition does not arise.
We now come to consider the complementizer ma with which ka is in free variation in certain Igbo Purpose constructions. The following exemplify such constructions:

12(a) Abýera m ka ányi kwuzhie

Came I so that we talk right: I came for us to sort it out.

(b) Maradie shiri ñwe ngwángwa {mà} di' yà

Maradie cooked food quickly so that husband her rifuo ñwe bya iğáwa ahya be able to eat thing come going market: Maradie prepared the food quickly in order for her husband to be able to eat before setting out for the market.

(c) Àzuru hì ndi ezè apiríkó {ka} i'kpe laara hì

Bought they the chiefs bribe so that case go to them: They bribed the chiefs so as to win the case.

(d) Gàdààra m'ozhi e {ka} m'zutara gi' igwe

Run do for me errand this so that I buy for you cycle: Do go on this errand for me so that I might buy you a bicycle.

As can be observed from the foregoing examples, ka and mà are in free variation. Now compare 12(a-d) with 13(a-b).

13(a) Nwà-àgbogho o' na acókwani ka j luwe yà

Young girl this is desiring that you marry her:

This young girl wants you to marry her.

(b) O' khwo ma ányi lemahaa yà

She intends that we look well complete her:

She wants us to have a thorough look at her.
In sentences such as 13(a) and (b), ka and ma are not interchangeable in this dialect. The explanation for this is the presence of the verbs ico and ikhwo in 13(a) & (b) respectively. In this dialect, the former is marked for ka and the latter for ma. The free variation of the two complementizers therefore has its constraint: they are in free variation in surface structure just in case neither of the predicates is present. The optional deletion of these two optative verbs and the constraints governing such a deletion are discussed in chapter 8(8.1.0).

It is the violation of the co-occurrence restrictions between ico and ikhwo on the one hand and ka and ma on the other which accounts for the deviance of 13(c) & (d).

13(c) *Acoro m ma ényig gaa n'og'ë
Want I that we go in time: I want us to go in time.

13(d) *Ekhwo m ka g'riie ñwe
Intend I that he eat thing: I want him to eat something.

Apart from the fact that each of these two verbs selects a different complementizer, their syntactic behaviour is similar: each of them introduces a subjunctive complement as object expressing the same type of meaning thus:

14(a) Abyara m cong ka dokite ñwö m
(b) " " khwöro ma " " "
Came I {wishing intending} that doctor see me

I came so that the doctor might see me.

In view of the identical behaviour of ka and ma in subjunctive complementation, we assign the same status to both of them. Since ka has been shown to be associated with an erstwhile verb kaa, we also assume that ma must be diachronically described as a verb.
Me in Prepositional Function - (Me NP).

Our Ps-rule 10 (cf 4.2 p. 208) shows that Reason can be re-written as

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{Prep.} & N & \text{NP} \\
S
\end{cases}
\]

where \( N = \text{ihi} \) 'sake'

Examples of Igbo sentences illustrating the first of the above structures -

\[
\text{Prep.} \quad N \quad \text{NP}
\]

were given in the section referred to above. The second alternative is the structure which involves an \( S \); it is this structure that is relevant here. The following are illustrative examples:

15(a) Anyi byara khworo gī

We came having in mind you: We came because of you / for your sake.

15 (a) has the following underlying structure:

\[\text{Figure 1}\]
From the above string, we derive 15(b)-(d) thus:

15(b) Anyi byara khmoro mā gī (by obligatory Equi-NP deletion)
(c) Anyi byara mā gī (by optional optative verb deletion)
(d) Anyi byara khmoro gī (by optional Comp. deletion)

15(b-d) are each well-formed, and (d) is the same as (a). Thus, with khmoro as the verb of the sentence directly dominated by the Reason node, after the obligatory deletion of the subordinate subject NP under identity with the main clause subject NP, we can optionally delete either khmoro to derive 15(c) or mā to derive 15(d).

Similarly, the following 16 & 17 (b-c) are the output of the same transformational rules:

16(a) Éríle unene khmoro mā afọ gī
    (b) " " khmoro afọ gī
    (c) " mā afọ gī

Eat not bananas regarding stomach your: Do not eat bananas for the sake of your stomach.

17(a) Í gā na àmá ogwú ò khmoro mā ụkwụ gī
    (b) __________________________ khmoro ụkwụ gī
    (c) __________________________ mā ụkwụ gī

You will keep rubbing medicine this regarding foot your;
You will keep rubbing this medicine for the sake of your foot.

It must be pointed out that, sentences such as 16 & 17 are derived from a deep structure such as fig 2 in which the verb khmoro takes a sentential complement (cf 8.1.0: 409). But the VP of this embedded subjunctive complement has been deleted, given the appropriate context; that is, VP3, the verb phrase of the lowest sentence S₃. This type of deletion (the constraints governing it are not yet clear) does take place
in a number of Igbo structures: in causative constructions and in Purpose clauses. It is the VP that is always deleted,

\[ S \]
\[ NP \]
\[ VP \]
\[ (Aux) (Verb) \]
\[ S_2 \]
\[ VP \]
\[ (Aux) \]
\[ Verb \]
\[ NP \]

\[ Gi \]
\[ ga \]
\[ na \]
\[ ama \]
\[ owu \]
\[ gi \]
\[ ge \]
\[ ikhwo \]
\[ ya \]
\[ ma \]
\[ ukwu \]
\[ gi dimma. \]

Fig 2

a curious situation in view of the fact that Gapping in Igbo rarely involves verb deletion. However, from these examples, it is obvious that surface Prepositional phrases as in 16 & 17 do, in fact, derive from underlying subjunctive complements after the optative verb *ikhwo*. In other words, the *ma* subjunctive complementizer-and the *ma* preposition are one and the same thing in underlying structure.

The implication of the foregoing analysis of *ka* and *ma* is that we are making a definite distinction between the Causal/Purpose *ma* and the other homonym *ma* associated with Yes/No questions when embedded as NP-complements. This is precisely what we are claiming: judging from the different moods which
their respective structures express in both formal and semantic terms, one is justified in treating them as two separate items, hence the use of the subscripts 1 & 2 to distinguish them. Moreover, the interrogative $\text{ma}_2$ has no corresponding prepositional function as $\text{ma}_1$ has.

18.3.0 $\text{Ma}$ Interrogative Complementizer

It seems that, unlike $\text{ka}$ & $\text{ma}_1$ for which we have argued a case for verb status, $\text{ma}_2$ interrogative complementizer is only a verb complement to a known Igbo verb. Consider the following examples:

(a) $\text{Asini} \quad \text{m} \quad (\text{mara}) \quad \text{ma} \quad \text{a} \quad \text{lsala}$

Say I know whether he has gone: I am wondering whether he has gone.

(b) $\text{Akponi} \quad \text{m} \quad (\text{si}) \quad (\text{mara}) \quad \text{ma} \quad \text{i} \quad \text{mu} \quad \text{anya}$

Thinking I say know if you are awake: I am wondering/considering whether you are awake.

(c) $\text{Eceni} \quad \text{m} \quad (\text{si}) \quad (\text{mara}) \quad \text{ma} \quad \text{un} \quad \text{gara} \quad \text{aga}$

Think I say know if you went: I was trying to figure out whether you did go.

The above examples 18(a-c) derive from an underlying structure such as that of fig. 3.

![Fig. 3](image-url)
To the above strings, we apply the following T-rules in order to derive sentences such as 18(a-c); non-essential details have been omitted:

(i) \( \text{Asini} \ m \ y \ \left\{ \text{sí} \right\} \ \mu \ \text{mara} \ y \ \text{má} \ S \)

\( (\text{by obligatory Pronoun Post-position}) \)

(ii) \( \text{Asini} \ m \ \text{ka} \ \mu \ \text{mara} \ \text{má} \ S \)

\( (\text{by oblig. ya Deletion, 2 applications}) \)

(iii) \( \text{Asini} \ m \ \mu \ \text{mara} \ \text{má} \ S \)

\( (\text{by obligatory Comp. Deletion}) \)

(iv) \( \text{Asini} \ m \ \text{mara} \ \text{má} \ LS \)

\( (\text{by optional Identical NP deletion}) \)

(v) \( \text{Asini} \ m \ \text{mara} \ \text{má} \ S. \)

\( (\text{by phonological rules}) \)

From examples 18(a-c), it will be observed that \text{mara} is optional; in other words, it can be deleted without any loss of meaning to give (vi)

(vi) \( \text{Asini} \ m \ \text{má} \ S. \)

What we have just illustrated is the derivational history of \text{má}, interrogative complements in Igbo. In our dialect, the following sentences transformationally related to those of 18 are commonly used to express doubt.

19(a) \( \text{Ma} \ \text{ó} \ \text{laala} : \) Could he have gone?

(b) \( (\text{Mára}) \ \text{má} \ \text{ó} \ \text{nwéna} : \) Perhaps, he is dead.

In embedded Yes/No questions, however, the shorter version with \text{mara} deleted is generally preferred, thus:

20(a) \( \text{I} \ \text{ceñi} \ (\text{sí}) \ \text{má} \ m \ \text{wu} \ \text{abiri} \ \text{gi}? \)

You think then saying whether I am age you: Are you then wondering whether I am your age?

(b) \( \text{I} \ \text{siñi} \ \text{má} \ \text{anyí} \ \text{wu} \ \text{ndi} \ \text{ohi}? \)

Are you then wondering whether we are thieves?

... presuming that...

Our hypothesis is that \( \text{má}, \) interrogative (\( \text{má}_2 \)), like \( \text{ka} \) & \( \text{má}_1 \), is also a predicate which complements the verb \( \text{ma} \) 'to know' and in combination with it express such meanings as doubt. 19(a & b) show this fact. The fact that
such an expression as \textit{mara ma} can be used independent of a matrix clause is an indication of the closeness of the two items. It seems that \textit{ma} was first and foremost a complement to \textit{ima} before this syntactic function spread to other verbs of the language which can take yes/no questions as complement. Once this syntactic function got extended to other verbs, of the language, it then ceased to be the unique complement of \textit{ima} that is originally was, and as a consequence, \textit{mara} became optional, hence the possibility of deleting this verb of 'knowing' in interrogative complemen-
tation.

All this explanation may sound far-fetched, but at times irregularities in synchronic data do make sense if seen in their historical perspective.

In the remaining part of this section on \textit{ma} interrogative complementizer, we want to see whether this complementizer has any relationship with such other homonyms in the language, as

\begin{align*}
\text{ma} & \quad \text{Conditional} \\
\text{ma} & \quad \text{Temporal} \\
\text{ma} & \quad \text{Disjunctive}
\end{align*}

\textbf{\textit{Q3.1} \textit{Ma} Conditional \& Temporal}

Consider the following sentences:

\begin{enumerate}[(a)]
\item \textit{Gwa} \quad \textit{ma} \quad \textit{ya} \quad \textit{bya}
\text{Tell me \{if\ he comes \{when\}}
\item \textit{Ya} \quad \textit{bya} \quad \text{guwa} \quad \textit{ma}.
\text{If he comes, tell me.}
\item \textit{Ga} \quad \textit{ima} \quad \textit{girl} \quad \textit{ma} \quad \textit{hwu} \quad \textit{va} \quad ?
\text{I shall do what \{if\ I see him \{when\}}
\end{enumerate}

What shall I do, if I see him?
Note from the foregoing examples that ma is obligatorily deleted whenever the conditional clause is preposed - that is, whenever it appears in initial position as in (b) and (d). Now let us compare the above conditional constructions with the English counterpart: "I shall punish him, if he comes late". This can be paraphrased as "I shall punish him, depending on whether he comes late or not". Here as in the Igbo examples, we have a dependency relation as between an antecedent and its consequent as in logic, thus

\[ X \rightarrow Y \] (if \( X \), then \( Y \)).

Although the tone pattern of the underlined Igbo conditional clauses (in 21) suggest the contrary, (cf the fact that all pronoun subjects in ma interrogative complements are on low tones) there is a lot of syntactic reasons to justify relating ma conditional with ma interrogative. In order to establish these syntactic reasons, it is necessary to give the full form of conditional constructions of the type given in 21 above.

22(a) A si(i) na ga' abya, k'du 'hwe m ga' eme?
If one says that he will come, what thing I shall do:
If he comes, what shall I do?

(b) A si na' byara abya, k'du 'hwe m g'ara eme?
If he came what should I have done?
If he had come, what should I have done?

(c) A si na' byala, k'du 'hwe m g'ara eme?
If he has come, what am I going to do?
If he had come, what should I have done?

It will be observed that with what we describe as the full form, various verb forms (tenses/aspects) are possible in the ma conditional clauses; in the absence of the full form, only the open condition

\[ 3 \]  Conditional constructions are discussed further in chapter 6(6.2.0) where the relationship between questions(Yes/No type) and conditional constructions is examined in greater detail.
(simple present/future tense) is possible. Note also that from these full forms, what we underlined in 21 as the conditional clauses represent Na sentential complements which are the NP objects of the verb isi 'to say', but with this difference that the propositional content of this Na-complement has been cancelled by the fact of the construction being conditional. The fact that these conditional clauses are some sort of Na-complements explains the tone pattern difference observable in pronoun subjects in ma interrogative complements on the one hand, and conditional clauses on the other. However, despite this tone pattern difference, if the conditional clause is embedded as an NP, then it is realised as a ma S structure in which pronoun subjects, if any, have exactly the tone pattern that is associated with Yes/No questions, that is, low or low-low tone pattern. Thus, 23(a) & (b) are both realised as ma S, that is an interrogative NP complement.

23. (a) \[ \text{NP} \]
   \[ \text{Cond.} \]
   \[ m\bar{a}_2 \]

(b) \[ \text{NP} \]
   \[ \text{Q} \]
   \[ m\bar{a}_2 \]

As we observed in 4.3 (p. 214) mood and complementizer selection are mutually dependent, we can predict one from the other.

In addition to the above evidence, there is yet another syntactic justification for relating conditionals and Questions; this evidence is furnished by the way that owula 'any' co-occurs with interrogative, conditional and negative structures generally, but not with affirmative constructions.

24(a) \[ \text{Gwa} \, \text{m} \, m\bar{a} \, \text{onye} \, \text{owula} \, \text{bye} \].

Tell me if anybody comes.

\{ in case \}
24(b) Gwa m ma onye owula kury aka.
Tell me if anybody knocked.

(c) Onye owula
Madhy n bya, kpe m.
Nwokho n
If anybody comes, call me.

25(a) O nwere onye owula byaralani?
It has person who it be who has come: Has anybody come?
Is there anyone who has come?

(b) Ajugha m ya ma onwere onye owula no n'ulu.
I am asking him if there is anyone at home.

If the above 24-25 are compared to the following 26, the deviance of the latter are thus understandable.

26(a) * O nwere onye owula byaralani.
* There is anybody who has come

(b) * Ahwuna m na o nwere onye owula no n'ulu.
* I have seen that there anybody at home.

(c) * Gwa m na onye owula bya.
* Tell me that anybody come

Unlike 24 & 25 which either question or seek for information, those of 26 make an affirmation, and their deviance can be corrected by deleting from the sentences the item owula.*

4 On the basis of the foregoing syntactic

---

4 It is necessary to point out that there is much more to the syntax and semantics of owula than we have room for here. For example, the following, though affirmative in meaning, are perfectly well-formed

(i) Gwa m na onye owula kury aka n'uzu
Tell me that everybody knocked at the door.

(ii) Ahwuna m na onye owula no n'ulu
I have seen that everybody is at home.

(iii) Gwa m na onye owula bya.
Tell me that everybody came.

These examples show that in Affirmative constructions, the same item means 'every', but 'any' in negative, conditional and interrogative structures. The specific meaning is thus determined by context.
characteristics, we therefore group ma conditional together with ma interrogative. It is not a mere coincidence that 'if' and 'whether' are complementizers in English, as Bresnan (1970) has convincingly argued, although one might counter by claiming that there are two 'if's' in English - a position which would make a general statement about English conjunctions difficult, if not impossible.

However, the Igbo conditional & temporal conjunction ma and the interrogative ma can all be subsumed under ma on the basis of syntactic similarities, and paraphrase relationship - the fact that none of these two clause types - Conditional and Interrogative clauses - affirms or asserts a fact.

10.3.2 The Disjunctive ma

The ma conjunction being considered here is found in the following type of sentences:

27(a) A kpọọ hi skọ (ma) hi ayi ibyani

One called them call (but) they refused to come.

They were called, but they refused to come.

(b) Nwaanyi a tofùrù etofù (ma) ihu ya jọọ njo

Woman this is tall come out (but face her is ugly.

This woman is pretty tall, but her face is ugly.

As can be seen from 27 (a & b) ma and mana can be used interchangeably just in the same way that ma and maka are in free variation with each other.

The meaning expressed by ma in 27 is what Robin Lakoff (1971) describes as 'denial of expectation', a meaning which is not necessarily due to the conjunction as much as to the juxtaposition of the two clauses in 27(a) or (b). The obvious question to ask is whether ma in 27 is related to ma interrogative conjunction.
We would like to answer positively that they are related, despite the fact that examples 27(a) & (b) contain co-ordinate structures, while the domain of m² is subordinate constructions. This being the case, the reader with an analytical knowledge of English might wonder at our attempt to equate a subordinating conjunction with a co-ordinate one, since such a distinction happens to be for English the right peg on which to hang the corresponding distinction into co-ordinate and subordinate clauses.

The traditional distinction between co-ordination (conjoining) and subordination (embedding) is syntactically motivated and, most probably, a universal one. In most languages of the world, especially the Indo-European group, the above distinction happens totally with the subcategorisation of conjunctions into co-ordinating and subordinating sets. Thus, while the co-ordinate-subordinate clause distinction may be universal, the corresponding distinction into co-ordinating and subordinating conjunctions may only be language-specific, and therefore lacks a universal status.

Igbo distinguishes between co-ordination and subordination, but a corresponding distinction into subordinating and co-ordinating conjunctions is not necessarily the right peg on which to hang such a distinction in the language for the following reasons:

(i) there are only two conjunctions in Igbo which may be described as co-ordinating; they are mì 'but' and mì 'and'. These are homonymous with the corresponding subordinating ones (complementizers); moreover, they have an identical tone pattern - all being low-tone monosyllables. It is not mere coincidence that this is the situation in the language.

(ii) There are other criteria for distinguishing between co-ordinate clauses and their subordinate counterparts viz - the symmetry between co-ordinate structures on the one hand, and on the other, the asymmetry between a main clause and the subordinate one
dependent upon it.

(iii) Igbo subordinate constructions are characterised by the general presence of specific conjunctions, while co-ordinate ones are not so-characterised. For example, sentence conjoining in Igbo is by means of serial constructions involving no conjunction whatsoever either in deep or surface structure, but subordination does obligatorily involve one conjunction or another in both underlying and surface structures, although some of these conjunctions may be optionally deleted in surface structure given certain conditions (cf. 7.2.0 p.391 ff. & 8.2.0 p.411 ff.)

(iv) A knowledge of the specific conjunction involved in an Igbo construction is not a sufficient indicator of the construction type in question, it is still necessary to know whether this construction type is a co-ordinate or subordinate one. This contrasts with the situation in English where 'and' & 'but' will always introduce co-ordinate structures, and 'if', although 'et cetera will invariably mark subordinate clauses.

We conclude, therefore, that there is no need to distinguish in Igbo between co-ordinating and subordinating conjunctions.

Our conclusion, though tentative, is as follows: There are two types of ma in Igbo

(i) **Ma** Purpose conjunction in free variation with ka. This ma conjunction is transformationally related to the preposition ma.

---

5 There is, however, a category of co-ordinate sentences which involves the conjunction Na 'and' as in the following:

Ogu na nwie ya byara abys.

Ogu and his wife came

The derivation of the above Igbo sentence is fully discussed in 10.4.3 p.491 ff., where NP na NP structure is shown to derive from deep structure conjoined sentences.
(ii) Ma° interrogative conjunction; under this conjunction are
subsumed ma conditional conjunction,
ma temporal " , and
ma co-ordinate " which is in free variation
with mana. Both ma and mana are optional elements in conjoined
structures.

10.4. The Na's in Igbo

Having first disposed of less complex cases, we now come to a thorough
examination of the various Na's in Igbo - a much more difficult task.
Our claim is that all the Na's in Igbo are associated with the auxiliary
verb, înà (cf 1.0). Before we go on to the task of justifying
this claim, let us first of all try to reconcile all the apparently
irreconcilable instances of Na in the language. After we have shown them
to have one underlying source in deep grammar, then we shall go on to justify
the view that the auxiliary verb înà is the most probable source of these
nà's and suggest how the grammar should cater for them.

10.4.1 The Auxiliary Verb înà

In addition to examples 2(a-h) (cf 10.1.0 p. 464) the following
help to bring out the auxiliary function of the above auxiliary verb:

28(a) Ø' nà ahyà'. (Na present progressive, affirmative)
     He is coming

(b) Ø' náhììi ahyà'. (Na present prog., negative)
     He is not coming

(c) Ø' naàra azù ahyà'. (Na past prog. affirmative)
     He used to buy market. He used to be a trader.

(d) Ø' náhììri azù ahyà'. (Na past prog. negative)
     He never used to be a trader.
28(a) Ya' na agá ahyá, ọ gá ižúfu ūmù ya (Na conditional)
If she keeps going market, she will be able to train children her.
If she keeps on trading, she will be able to bring up her children.

(f) Gi' na e'me otho o, ọ dii mma. (Na conditional)
If you keep doing manner this, it is not good: If you keep on behaving this way, it is not good.

(g) Ma ọ náhií agá ahyá, ọ gáhíí ižúfu
If she does not go market, she will not be able to train ūmù ya. (Na conditional Neg.)
children her: If she does not go on trading, she will not be able to train her children.

In the foregoing examples, it will be observed that the tone of the auxiliary verb na has varied from one clause/sentence type to another:
It is low in progressive (past & present) affirmative, but high in the corresponding negative. It is uniformly high in conditional constructions (affirmative and negative). Despite these tone variations dictated by context, we are still dealing with the same underlying verb ina.

Now consider the same auxiliary in relative clauses:
29(a) Ònye { na agá } ahyá n'og'e na alọ n'og'e.
He who goes to market in time returns in time.

Note that there are two permissible tones on na in 29(a) above;
a low tone na followed by a low tone prefix, or a high-tone na followed by a high-tone prefix on a downstep.

29(b) Uwe Ogù na eti na edí ụcha.
Ogu na eti
The clothes which Ogu wears are usually clean;

29(b) reveals yet another permissible pattern - a high-tone na followed by low tones on both prefix and the following verb-form, regardless of the class of verb concerned. (cf examples 31-32 for explanation).
The tone on na will vary according to the type of relative clause being considered. For example, in Relative A type, we consistently get a high-tone na followed by a downstep vowel prefix on the following verb-form, as in 30(a-e):

Rel. A

30(a) Dikhe na ọgba mgba .. : Dikhe who wrestles/Dikhe the wrestler.

(b) Nwókho na ọzụ ọhị .... : A man who steals ...

(c) Okhe m adụ na ọrụ ọla .. : An old man who defiles the land: a dishonest old man ..... 

(d) Onye ukwu na ọzụ ọhị ...... : A big man who steals.

In Relative B, on the other hand, the tone on na is generally low, and the vowel prefix will be high for Tone class 2 verbs, but low for members of Tone classes 1 & 2 thus:

Rel. B

31(a) Uwe m na eti' ...... The clothes that I wear ...

(b) Èbe Ogu na a gá .... (The place) where Ogu goes ...

(c) O'ye Dikhe na ọfụ .... (The time) when Dikhe goes out ..

(d) Ulo i t i i aza .... The house which Dikhe is sweeping.

But a certain degree of variation is also possible in Rel.B clauses, though it carries with it a semantic shift. For example, any of 31(a-d) may have a habitual or progressive interpretation; thus, 31(a) can be translated as either (i) or (ii) below:

(i) The clothes which I wear (habitually) or

(ii) " " " I am wearing (on-going action)

But with 30 or the following 32, in which the auxiliary is on a high tone, only a habitual meaning is possible:

32(a) Uwe m na eti (vb cl.3) the cloth which I wear ....

(b) Èbe Ogu na a gá ( " " ) where Ogu goes ...

(c) Okwu na ọ kwu ( " " ) what he says/the way he talks.
32(d) Ṣọ́ọ́ ọ̀ ná ò ọ́ ña (vb cl.2): The house he sweeps

(e) Ìrí na ò ñíri (""1): The food he eats

Observe that in this type of relative clauses in which Na is on a high tone, all the three classes of verbs are on low tones as if they were all members of tone class 2. This tonal behaviour of verbs occurs only in Relative B Affirmative, never in the Negative counterpart.

In the following idiomatic expressions in Igbo, the same tone pattern is observable:

33(a) (Na) ebe na ò byala, ànyí lawání
In place where he has come we go away then:
Since he has come, let us go away, then.

(b) (Na) ebe Ada m na ànhyna m, ya gáwá
Since daughter my has seen me, she start going:
Since my daughter has seen me, she can set out on her journey.

(c) (Na) ebe na ò mutachaala, ya kwóróní gáwá
Since she learnt complete, she drive go on:
Since she has mastered the art of driving, let her drive on.

Note that in the idiomatic expression, Na ebe 'since', the Na is optional.
In Conditional as well as in Relative clauses Na is generally on a high tone. This fact does not make it different from the low-tone Na elsewhere any more than the high and low-tone ge'e are different verbs in the following 34:

34(a) Ò̀ gára ahyá: He/she went to market.
(b) Ya ge ahyá ...: If she goes to market ...
(c) Ònye gára ahyá: He/she who went to market
(d) Kọ̀kì ná ãghẹ̀lì ọrù: Koki who did not go to work.

The essence of Green and Igwe's (1963) study of Igbo verbs according to
subject verb forms is to capture the tone patterns required by specific clause types in the Igbo language. One and the same verb manifests different contextually determined tone patterns; therefore, what we have been examining here is not two different Na’s, an auxiliary Na and a relative Na, but one underlying auxiliary verb whose tone patterns, like those of other verbs in Igbo, are structure-specific. The high-tone Na in relative clauses is one and the same verb as the auxiliary Na. We have shown in 6.1.0 page 313 that relativization in Igbo will include, among others, a morphophonemic rule of Na insertion in the appropriate structure.

10.4.2 The So-called Na Prefix

From our paradigms of relative clauses, negative (cf 2.4.5., it has been shown that what had hitherto been analysed as a Na prefix is indeed the same auxiliary verb ɪnà, which is an obligatory element in negative relative clauses thus:

35(a) Ndi mere ɪwé a gwara ɦí nɔdi əbe ɛ
Those who did thing one told them stay place this:
Those who did what they were told, let them stay this side.

35(b) Ndi na ɪməhii ɪwé a gwara ɦí nɔdi əbe ɛhí
Those who did not thing one told them stay place that:
Those who did not do what they were told, stay that side.

36(a) Onye na ɛru əla, ya nuykwa.
Person who desecrates land, he die off:
Whoever desecrates our land, let him die.

(b) Onye na ɪnənii ɛru əla, ya anwúna
Person who does not desecrate land, he do not die:
Whoever does not desecrate our land, let him not die.

5 For a detailed examination of Rel. A & B clauses see Green & Igwe (1963 p. 102-104 & 130-133).
It will be observed from 35(b), which is the negative counterpart of 35(a), that relative clauses negative require an obligatory na' auxiliary, relative clauses whose verb is the auxiliary verb-form are no exceptions to the above rule, as 36(b) shows. We therefore conclude that

(i) Na' relative, and the so-called
(ii) Na' prefix

are not distinguishable from Na the auxiliary verb. In the dialect being described here, the auxiliary Na is an obligatory element in the verbform of all negative relative clauses, although it may be optional in the Ojuwu dialect described by Green and Igwe (1963).

10.4.3 Na' Conjunction and complementizer

In section 10.3.2 p.484-485, we have argued that there is no need to subcategorise Igbo conjunctions into co-ordinating and subordinating, sets because of the overlapping in their syntactic behaviour: while some conjunctions such as si and ka function only as subordinators, others such as Na and ma may function as either co-ordinators or subordinators. For the same reasons given in the section referred to above, the distinction between complementizers (subordinators) and conjunction(co-ordinators) is not a revealing one.

We observed (cf foot note 5 p.485 ) that only one category of conjoined structures in Igbo requires the conjunction na; this category of conjoined sentences is illustrated by the following examples 37(a-b)

37(a) Nne na  nne
father and mother

7 In Onitsha, as in Ezinihitte, the use of this Na' auxiliary is obligatory. In addition to ınà, there is also another auxiliary verb, idi with about the same meaning and syntactic function as the following examples show:

(i) Adị m eli  ife: I am eating (Onitsha)
(ii) Onye na  adi  eme  ifele
One who is not ashamed:
One who has no shame.
Although 37(a) & (b) show that the conjunction ना occurs in the structure NP - NP, the above examples represent only surface forms which can be shown to derive from deep structure conjoined sentences via Conjunction Reduction. For example, the following 37(c-d) in which there are conjoined NPs functioning as subject have the deep structure represented by Fig.4.

37(c) म्बाजी ना दः याबे बारा उबा.
Mgbaji and her husband are rich.

(d) मा म्बाजी मादः याबे बारा उबा
Both Mgbaji and her husband are rich.

The application of the rule of Conjunction reduction to the string represented in the above figure yields 37(a).

---

8 For a detailed discussion of the rule of conjunction reduction and allied rules, see Kontsoudas (1971) "Gapping Conjunction reduction and Co-ordinate reduction" in Foundation of Language. See also Hudson, R.A. (Feb. 1975) "Conjunction Reduction, Gapping, Hacking, and the Preservation of Surface Structure" Indiana University Linguistic Club, who points out the similarities and differences between conjunction reduction and Gapping and argues that the two processes cannot be subsumed under one rule(P.6-12).
37(e) Emph. Mgbaji na di ya bara ụba

If the Emph node is not selected (because not necessary to the derivation of 37(c)), then the application of the relevant phonological rules to the surface structure 37(e) yields 37(c) which is given above.

In order to derive 37(d) from Fig. 5, the following processes are needed:

37(e) \( \text{Emph. } Mgbaji \text{ na di' ya bara ụba} \rightarrow (f) \)

(f) \( Ma' Mgbaji \text{ na di' ya bara ụba} \rightarrow (g) \)

(g) \( Ma' Mgbaji ma' di' ya bara ụba. \)

37(g) is the same sentence as 37(d).

Observe that the substitution of \( ma' \) for the node Emph. necessarily means that \( na' \) is also changed to \( ma' \); in order to ensure the meaning of 'both .... and ....'. If we were to terminate the derivation at 37(f) we would get the following meaning:

Ma' Mgbaji na' di' ya bara ụba

Even Mgbaji and her husband are rich.

Thus 37(f) is well-formed, though not the desired 37(d).

By adopting the foregoing method, it is easy to show that there is a transformational relationship between \( ma' \) NP (\( ma' \) NP and NP na' NP) structures in Igbo; the semantic relatedness is also captured.
Thus far, we have argued that a distinction between co-ordinating and subordinating conjunction in Igbo is not revealing and therefore unnecessary. We have also shown in §2.2.0 p. 474 that the complementizer and preposition are one and the same thing in underlying structure. With regard to the Na's in Igbo, we have demonstrated (§4.0-§4.2 p. 486-91) that the so-called Na prefix and Na relative are all instances of the auxiliary verb ina. There remains one instance of Na - the prepositional Na - which we examine in the following section.

10.4.4 Na Preposition

In §2.2.0, p. 444-55 we have given enough examples to illustrate the tonal behaviour of the preposition Na - the fact that it assimilates to the initial vowel and tone of the following item, if such an item begins in a vowel, or to its tone only, if it begins in a syllabic nasal. The preposition maintains its inherent low tone, if the following item begins in a consonant other than the syllabic nasal. It is only in prepositional structures that Na displays the above characteristics, a fact which marks a departure from its tonal behaviour elsewhere.

We suggest the following reasons to account for this difference of tonal behaviour. First, Igbo Prepositional phrases, unlike co-ordinate and complement structures, lack any sentential source. Secondly, a Prep. Phr. structure by its very nature is both semantically and syntactically very cohesive - the presence of the preposition head presupposes a nominal or nominal phrase, whereas the relation between a conjunction and its conjunct is much less cohesive, in fact, it is much more loose. It is also possible that the tonal peculiarity of Na as a preposition is an indication of the change which the item has undergone from one lexical category to another. To this effect, Li & Sandra Thompson (1973) have argued that present day prepositions in Mandarin Chinese were transitive verbs at earlier stages of the language. We shall take up this argument & its relevance to the Igbo language situation in section 10.4.5.
Meanwhile we assume that *Na* conjunction and *Na* preposition must be related in the same way that *ma* conjunction and *ma* preposition have been shown to be related.

**10.4.5 The Auxiliary Verb *Na* and *Na* Conjunction and Preposition**

The claim we made at the beginning of this chapter is that all these *Na* in Igbo are associated with the auxiliary verb *ina*. Such a claim as this is only a working hypothesis impossible of substantiation in the absence of any historical data. Much of our proof will consequently be inferential and never conclusive.

Why have we singled out the auxiliary verb *ina* as the most likely sources of the *Na* in Igbo? Phonetic similarity?

This is the least helpful and weakest of the criteria on which to base such a hypothesis. With its limited number of vowels (8 of them in number) and very limited pitch contrasts (two basic ones - a high and a low pitches, the third - the downstep being a marker of syntactic relation) the Igbo language abounds in homonyms. Any judgment about Igbo lexical items based on sound identity is consequently worthless. If sound identity were an important criterion, the following homonyms,

*ina* - to backbite

*ina* - to take, receive

might have become obvious candidates. Despite their phonetic identity with the auxiliary verb in question, the two verbs above are ruled out of consideration for other overriding reasons: They are regular Igbo verbs, each with a specific meaning which is independent of context. The auxiliary verb *ina*, on the other hand, does not share any of these characteristics; on the contrary, it is irregular, never occurs without another verb as complement and takes a limited range of verb inflectional suffixes. Above all, it is polysemous, any specific meaning of this auxiliary verb is in
relation to a given linguistic context, and in some contexts, it cannot
even be pinned down to any particular meaning as in the following examples:

38(a) I ga na egbu ya egbu?
    You are going killing him kill. Are you then going to kill him?
(b) My na onwe m anübele.
    I and self my have not heard. I myself have not heard.
(c) Ebe na o reele, lüfu ya
    place that it has rotten throw away it:
Since it is rotten, throw it away.

The meaning of na in these and similar sentences depends on the totality
of the meaning of each individual construction. Polysemy seems an
important factor in the manifold meanings that this auxiliary verb-form
na displays from one context to another.

Recall that we have demonstrated in 4.1.5 p.198 - 203 that si the
complementizer is always the second of two verbs in a serial verb construc-
tion. We have also argued in 10.2.0 that the complementizer ka must be
a verb-form of the erstwhile verb kà which lacks a citation form in
synchronic description. We have made a similar case for ma₁ and ma₂.
Now let us see what synchronic evidence there is in support of the foregoing
analysis.

Our present approach of treating conjunctions and prepositions as
verb-forms reflects a general trend in present day Igbo language. There
is a lot of evidence that what passes as semantically empty morphemes in
other language are verb forms in Igbo sharing a lot of syntactic and
semantic characteristics with existing Igbo verbs. These verb-forms which
serve as relators include:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{bhånyérs} & \quad \text{from the compound verb } \text{ibhånye} \\
\text{gbásàrs} & \quad \text{" } \text{" } \text{" } \text{" } \text{igbáà}
\end{align*}
\]
39(a) E kwuru okwu banyere ụdụ a ga atụ
One talked talk consisting of levy one will pay
makọ ụdụ akwụkwọ.

for house of school: a levy to be made about the school
building was discussed.

39(b) Gbasara obyibya bishopy, a cọrọ ka
Bhanyere
About visit of bishop, one wants that
ümụ nwainyi niịle tuọ akwa ụbọ ụbọgị
women all contribute eggs two two:
Concerning the bishop's visit, it is intended that woman should
contribute two eggs each.

(c) Anyacheala m (hwe) maka agwa ojoo ya
Heard complete have I thing about manner bad his:
I have heard all about his deplorable behaviour.
Observe that from being the verbs of relativized clauses in 39(a)
& (c), the two verbs gbasara and bhanyere have come to function as
sentence modifiers in sentence-initial position just like the English
prepositions 'about' and 'concerning'. So far, only a handful of verbs are
known to have won this 'syntactic freedom' and such verbs belong to a
semantic class. Verbs of activity, and generally those which can function
in the Narrative form are excluded from this class. Note also that these
verbs have what we have described as the Assertive-r suffix (cf 4.2: 213).

The following examples are equally interesting for what they reveal
about Igbo, and the support such a revelation lends to the present argument:
40(a) Shi’ta, gawa n’ihu, anyi ga awu enyi
Start today go in front we shall be friends.

From today henceforth, we shall be friends.

Note the apparent Hortative/Imperative force of shite and gawa. But in the following 40(b), the same verb-form lacks such an imperative force.

40(b) O’ na egbu onwe ya shite na ihi okhe mai
He is killing self his going through from drinking much wine.

He is ruining himself by drinking too much.

If shite in 40(b) were imperative, one would expect a reflexive pronoun of the appropriate person, as in 40(c):

40(c) Na’(a) egbu onwe gi shite na ihi okhe mai
Go on ruining yourself by drinking too much.

40(d) is deriant because the reflexive pronoun is not in the appropriate person.

(d) *Na’ egbu onwe ya shite na ihi okhe mai
Keep on ruining himself by drinking too much.

Co-referentiality is a necessary, though not sufficient, condition for Equi-NP deletion and Reflexivization. What matters for our argument is that shite is a verb-form, and that it functions in initial position before a nominal as in a prepositional phrase.

41(a) Shi’(a) Ngwuru du (n’a) Laagwa o di uthi?
Start from Ngwuru reach to Laagwa it is far?

Is it far from Ngwuru to Laagwa?

(b) Anyi shiri Onica du Enugu na ukwu ala
We went from Onitsha reached Enugu on foot.

We went on foot from Onitsha to Enugu.

(c) I ma na shi (n’a) Aba du (n’a) Umuahya wu og’u maini abu?
You know that start from Aba reach to Umuahya is twenty miles two?

Do you know that from Aba to Umuahya is forty miles?
The underlined items in 41(a–c) above are forms of the verbs
isha (cl.1) to go from, pass through
idu (cl.3) reach, arrive at.

These are verbs which take underlying prepositional phrase as complement, though the preposition na can be deleted in surface form. In 41(a) the underlined verb-forms are being used as prepositions, in (b) the form shiri is the first verb of a serial verb construction and carries the appropriate tense suffix -rv. As is the norm in serial verb construction, any subsequent verb (in this case du) does not bear any tense suffix since it copies its tense from the first verb of the series. In (c) as in (a) the two verb forms are prepositional in function. In either function, the semantic relatedness as well as the syntactic properties of the source verbs are maintained.

Now consider the following examples involving a different verb –
iba ‘to come’

42(a) Emachere m hwe niile bya {ifuwa}

Finished complete I things all come go out

I finished everything before going out.

42(a) can be transformed into 42(b) in which the underlined verb comes first in the series and consequently bears the tense suffix.

(b) Abyara m machee hwe niile fywa

Came I finished thing all went out

I came and finished everything {and went out}

{before going out}

Observe that in (b) there is no choice as between fywa and ifuwa as in (a). This choice is possible only before the form bya. Note also that ifuwa is a derived nominal, a fact which conclusively demonstrates the prepositional function of this serial verb bya in 42(a). The following (c) & (d) are more examples of the same phenomenon:
(c) Kwezhie ekwezhie bya \{ irin \}

Admit come eat: Make and honest admission before eating.

(d) Kwomaa aka bya \{ rie \ hwe \}

Wash well hand come eat: Wash your hands.

\{ well before eating \}

\{ and then eat \}

It has to be pointed out that the foregoing examples are the product of the conjunction Reduction rule which deletes, obligatorily in this case, the subject NP's of all subsequent sentences in a serial construction. If, on the other hand, the subsequent NPs are not co-referential with the first of the series, then there is no co-referential NP deletion, and we get the alternative examples 43(a-b)

O richeh hwe (tupu) anyi abya nup no mai

He finished eating (before) we come drink wine:

He finished eating \{ before \} we had some drink.

\{ and then \}

Any adequate analysis of Igbo must reflect the fact that bya in all these examples is a form of the verb ibya and that like all the second and subsequent verbs in a series, it does not bear any tense marker.

In Indo-European languages, the comparison of nouns and adjectives is by means of morphemes 'more' and 'most' or the inflectional suffixes -er and -est. In Igbo, on the other hand, a specific verb ika 'to surpass' is used, as in the following 43(a-b).

43(a) Akpa m ke akpa gi (na) mma.

Bag my surpasses bag your in beauty:

My bag is \{ more beautiful \} than your bag.

prettier
In Igbo and, probably in all typologically similar languages, verb-forms perform the most syntactic functions. Igbo syntax, one suspects, may well centre on its complex verb system and how certain verb-forms are used in various syntactic functions.

The cumulative effect of all the synchronic evidence so far given is to show that Igbo is very much a 'verb' language, that is, a language where verb-forms perform the functions that in Indo-European languages are carried out by largely meaningless function words. Such conclusive evidence as this has been made possible by the fact that all the verbs concerned are existing, regular verbs with specific meanings.

However, it can be argued, rightly, that the prepositions and conjunctions which we have shown to be verb-forms maintain the consistent meaning and syntactic characteristics of the source verbs, whereas _Na_ in its various functions lacks such a consistency. That this is the case with _Na_ is due to the polysemy of the auxiliary verb with which this conjunction is associated - the fact that in order to exhibit a specific meaning _Na_ has got to be in a linguistic context.

But the auxiliary verb _ina_ is not the only Igbo verb with this characteristic. Igbo abounds in verbs which may be considered polysemous and need a linguistic context, say an inherent complement, to specify their meaning. It is to cater for verbs of this class that our Phrase structure (PS-) Rule 4 (cf 4.2. p.204) provides for the expansion of verb as (Prefix)+V+(Suff)+(Compl.). We give a few of such verbs in order to illustrate what we mean:

44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ịtu</td>
<td>to pay a levy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ịtu</td>
<td>to expect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ịtu</td>
<td>anya</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We have randomly picked on two verbs and made as many entries as we can remember after each of them. It would be difficult to talk of the meaning of verbs such as *igba* and *itu* independent of their inherent complements. It is for this reason that we maintain that the citation form of such verbs must include their inherent complements. If verbs such as these had any definite meaning independent of the above linguistic contexts, (their inherent complements) they have surely acquired many more by combining with as many noun complements as possible. The tendency to maximise the use of any one lexical item, especially if such an item is a verb, is normal in human language; it is irresistible for a language
such as Igbo which does not possess the apparatus for unlimited morphological derivation. Indo-European languages, and English in particular, are fortunate in having their derivational affixes ready-made from the classical languages, which are highly synthetic. Igbo lacks such a historical relationship and is, in any case, a different language altogether. One can only wait to see how far the Igbo situation is borne out by facts from other members of the Kwa subgroup of languages.

Anse (1966) gives a list of prepositional verbs which he describes as 'Verbids'. These are forms homophonous with and related to existing verbs in meaning. 'Verbid' is just another name for a verb-form which has taken on a prepositional function. Our contention is that certain verb-forms take on not only prepositional functions but also conjunctive ones. Coming as it does from Ewe, a member of the Kwa group, the above fact suggests that the phenomenon we are describing here is not an isolated incident or peculiar to Igbo alone.

Cross-linguistic evidence from Mandarin Chinese is definitely in line with our thinking about Igbo conjunctions and prepositions. What is significant about the Chinese situation is that the argument draws its support from both diachronic and synchronic evidence, whereas our argument about Igbo relies heavily on synchronic data. Nevertheless, the two conclusions are very similar.

As we mentioned earlier on (cf/0.4.4 p.494) Li and Thompson (1973) have argued that present day co-verbs (prepositions) in Mandarin Chinese were transitive verbs at earlier stages of the language: "The development of complex verb structures into simplex prepositional phrases ....... is highly significant: it is the most important factor in the shift which Mandarin is presently undergoing from SVO to SOV language. In particular, we hypothesize that in the transition from verbs to prepositions, some of
these morphemes have progressed farther than others. This hypothesis enables one to account for certain types of non-homogeneity in this class of function words.” (p. )

The striking parallel between Chinese prepositions (co-verbs) and the Igbo ones is that both sets originate first and foremost as serial verbs. In Mandarin Chinese, it is the first verbs in a serial verb construction which developed into prepositions, in Igbo, it is never the first, but the second or subsequent verbs. In Chinese as in Igbo, the lack of homogeneity in the forms of the prepositions is an observable fact. Some Igbo prepositions, for example, still behave very much like verbs in taking certain inflectional suffixes (-rY, for example) which are only associated with verbs. Such prepositions include gbasara and bhanyere and shi(te) with its optional -tA suffix. It could be argued, however, that the presence of these verb suffixes is not necessarily a reflection of differential rates of change, as Li and Thompson observe, but rather an indication of the semantic class of verbs involved. Being what we have described as Stative verbs (cf 4.2. p. 213-216) Igbasara and bhanyere will always take the -rY Assertive suffix in the present tense.

Another common factor between the two languages being compared here is the fact that for some of these prepositions and conjunctions there are no existing homophonous verbs, while for most of them there are existing verbs of the same phonemic shape and the same meaning. In the absence of any historical data, it is not easy for us to say whether or not some Igbo conjunctions and prepositions have acquired a meaning different from that of their source verbs. But what one can positively say is that the polysomy of the auxiliary verb ina makes it possible for Nà to have different meanings in different contexts.

How best, then, can the relationship between certain Igbo verbs and Igbo conjunctions be captured in the analysis of the language? We are not
taking a stand on the issue whether a verb and a corresponding preposition or conjunction are one lexical item or two. According to particular theories of the lexicon, they may be described either way: the facts are that in some sentences a word of certain phonological shape is a verb, while in others it is a preposition or conjunction, and that the two forms are historically and semantically related. A similar remark can be made for such non-verb pairs in English as dance / dance, thus:

I dance every Friday evening.

I go for a dance whenever I like.

What we have done here is to capture this essential relationship in the lexicon by means of feature specifications, thus acknowledging the syntactic differences between them while at the same time recognising their similarities. For example, verbs such as ina have the following lexical entries:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{\textasciitilde ina} \\
\{+ V, + aux, \pm \text{verb compl.}, + \text{conj}, + \text{prep}\}
\end{array}
\]

Correspondingly, the Na preposition and conjunction are featurally specified respectively as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{\textasciitilde Na} \\
\{+ V, + aux, - \text{verb compl.}, + \text{prep}, - \text{conj}\}
\end{array}
\]
In other words

\[ + \text{verb compl.} \] means \[ - \text{Prep} \]

or

\[ - \text{verb compl.} \] means that the

features prep or conj is positively specified.

Similarly, the prepositions gbásara and bhanyere have the following features:

\[ + \text{V} \]
\[ + \text{stative} \]
\[ + \text{Prep} \]

where \[ + \text{stative} \] is rewritten as

the \[ + rV \] assertive suffix.

Whether the items being considered here are entered in the lexicon as one
or two items, the essential relationship with certain verbs is still
reflected in the above features.

Concluding Summary

Igbo monosyllabic conjunctions and prepositions are associated with
certain Igbo verbs. Of these verbs, some are still in existence, while a
few others are not. The verbs in existence include

- gbasara from the verb isi to say.
- bhanyere from the verb idu to concern
- ibhanye to reach, arrive at.
bya from the verb įbya to come
shi(te) " " įshi(te) to go/come from
Na " " auxiliary verb įna
Ka " " defective verb kaa 'please' which exists only in its Hortative form.

Those conjunctions and preposition for which there are no existing homophonous verbs are:

(i) Ďal Purpose conjunction which is transformationally related to the corresponding ma̩ preposition.

(ii) Ď2 Interrogative conjunction under which we subsume ma̩ conditional conjunction as well as the co-ordinating homophone.

We have argued (cf p. 484-486) that no distinction need be made in Igbo between subordinating and co-ordinating conjunctions.

Attention has been drawn to the parallelism existing between Igbo and Mandarin Chinese with regard to prepositions and conjunctions. In both languages, it is serial verbs which are involved: In Mandarin Chinese, historical evidence points to the fact that the first of serial verb constructions developed into prepositions, while in Igbo it is the second or subsequent verbs which have become either prepositions, or a conjunction as in the case of ści.

It has also been shown that Igbo is very much a 'verb' language where verb-forms perform the syntactic functions which in Indo-European languages are carried out by semantically empty morphemes. These observations call for further research into languages which are typologically related to Igbo in an effort to discover how far the situation in Igbo is reflected in such languages.
APPENDIX

SAMPLE LEXICON

This section does not represent a fully worked lexicon of Igbo, nor does it contain an exposition of any theory of the lexicon. For such theories and their inherent problems the reader is referred to Chomsky (1965) and Stockwel et al (1973: 718 - 810), the latter contains a good number of references to articles on the lexicon in a transformational grammar. A sample lexicon is also provided by Carrell (1970: 32 - 46), although her entries need up-dating to reflect advances in transformational theories.

What we present here is, therefore, very short, and our lexical entries have been dictated by the examination of Igbo complementation which we have undertaken and the analysis of other aspects of Igbo which have been found relevant to Sentential complementation in Igbo.

The base of a transformational grammar is made up of the Categorial sub-component and a lexicon. The categorial sub-component consists of a context free phrase-structure grammar whose output is a string of symbols (which mark the position of lexical categories) and grammatical formatives. The lexicon is made up of an unordered set of lexical entries, each of which is an ordered pair of matrices (D,C). D is a phonological matrix which gives the necessary and sufficient information for the phonetic realisation of the items via the phonological rules; while C, represents a syntactic-semantic matrix, consisting of a collection of feature specifications of the following kinds:
(a) Category Features
(b) Contextual "
(c) Inherent "
(d) Rule "

We are not concerned with the phonological matrix D, but with the syntactic-semantic features (that is, the C matrix).

Lexical Substitution

The lexical items are inserted by a substitution transformation, where the complex symbol in the lexical entry is the structure index for the transformation. The lexical substitution rule is based on the criterion of distinctiveness, as follows:

Two sets of features are distinct if and only if they contain at least one feature with opposite values.

In principle, each vocabulary item has associated with it a complex symbol containing the features enumerated above:

Category Feature

A category feature denotes a lexical category such as noun \([+N]\), or a verb \([+V]\). In this sample lexicon, each complex symbol contains only one positive specification for each category feature. However, we adopt the following method for the categories Adjective, Preposition and Conjunction.

In the absence of any distinctive morphological and/or syntactic criteria to justify a separate lexical category, Adjective, we have decided to have only one major Category Nominal \([+N]\), whose members will fall into either of the following sub-categories:

(a) Those nominals (traditionally described as adjectives) which do not occur alone but always restricted to the second position in an NP of the \(N_1 \neq N_2\) structure,
(b) Those nominals which can function in the structural position of $N_1$ or $N_2$ given the appropriate context. Since only a small number of nominals belong to sub-category (a) above, they constitute the exception, which are consequently marked as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
+ N \\
+ 2nd position.
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The majority of nominals belonging to category (b), being the norm, are unmarked.

Secondly, Prepositions and Conjunctions have been shown to be verb-forms (of Chapter 5), they are therefore, positively specified as $[+V]$, differing from co-verbs by such features as $[+Prep]$ or $[+Conj]$. The disyllabic conjunctions $\text{thúma} / \text{kháma}$, $\text{mána}$ and $\text{máka}$ are not covered by the foregoing analysis, their relation with any Igbo verbs not being known.

Contextual Features

These features denote the linguistic context in which a lexical item occurs. For example, verbs such as $\text{ínp}$ 'to be, stay' always have the contextual feature

\[
[+ \text{Locative}],
\]

which denotes that they take only Locatives which are generally prepositional phrases.

Inherent And Rule Features: Inherent Features.

These denote qualities such as animate, human, and abstract, while Rule Features refer to the transformations to which a lexical item is subject, such as Equi, Infinitivization and Extrapolposition. Inherent features may be syntactic or semantic.

Feature Specification

+ means positive specification

- " negative "

* " obligatory with regard to T-rules."
Redundancy Rules

Redundancy rules help to limit the number of feature specifications in a complex symbol whenever predictable features can be added by a general rule. For example,

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ N \\
+ \text{Hum} \\
\end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c}
+ \text{Animate} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
+ N \\
+ \text{Abs} \\
\end{array} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{c}
- \text{Hum} \\
- \text{Animate} \\
\end{array}
\]

Redundancy rules apply before the insertion of a lexical item in a tree.

Sample Lexicon

Nominals

\begin{itemize}
\item \textbf{Eghu} - goat
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item - hum
  \item + anim
  \item + count
  \item + common
  \item - pers pro
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Gjq} - bad, ugly
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + abs
  \item - count
  \item - pers pro
  \item + 2nd position
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Ndhy} - life
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + abs
  \item - count
  \item - pers pro
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Oshishi} - tree
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + count
  \item - anim
  \item + concrete
  \item + common
  \item - pers pro
  \item + 2nd position
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Ocha} - white
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + abs
  \item - count
  \item - pers pro
  \item + 2nd position
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Ycha} - white/whiteness
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + abs
  \item - count
  \item - pers pro
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Njo} - badness
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + abs
  \item - count
  \item - pers pro
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Oma} - good
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + abs
  \item - count
  \item - pers pro
  \item + 2nd position
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Mma} - good/goodness
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + abs
  \item - count
  \item - pers pro
  \end{itemize}
\item \textbf{Gburugburu} - round/roundness
  \begin{itemize}
  \item + N
  \item + abs
  \item - count
  \item + measurement
  \item - pers pro
  \item - short
  \end{itemize}
\end{itemize}
Mkpumkpu
+ N
+ abs
+ measurement
- pers pro
- count

O
- short/
  - count

Udogolo
- tall/
  - count

My
- I
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ sg
+ pers pro
- bound

M
- I
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ sg
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
- count

Gi
- You (sg)
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ sg
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
- bound

I
- You
+ N
+ pro
+ hum
+ def
+ sg
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
- bound

Ya
- ha/she
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ sg
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
- self-ref.
- bound

Ya
- it
+ N
+ pro
+ abs
+ def
- loc

Ya
- in it,
+ N
+ pro
+ abs
+ loc

Anyi
- we
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ pl
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
+ 3rd
- self-ref.
- bound

Unu
- you (pl)
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ pl
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
+ 3rd
- self-ref.
- bound

Ya
- ha/she
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ sg
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
- self-ref.
- bound

Umuy
- they (inclusive)
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ pl
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
+ inclusive

Umuy
- they (exclusive)
+ N
+ hum
+ def
+ pl
+ pers pro
+ 3rd
+ inclusive
Verbs

\[\text{Ikwe} \, \text{— to say, declare}\]
\[+ \text{V} \, \text{fact} \, \text{activity}\]
\[\text{Indic} \, \text{Imp} \, \text{Subjunct}\]

\[\text{Isi} \, \text{— to say}\]
\[+ \text{V} \, \text{fact} \, \text{activity}\]
\[- \text{conj} \, \text{Indic} \, \text{Imp} \, \text{Subjunct}\]

\[\text{Imu} \, \text{— to learn}\]
\[+ \text{V} \, \text{epistemic}\]
\[+ \text{conj} \, \text{Indic} \, \text{Imp} \, \text{Subjunct}\]

\[\text{Igoahi} \, \text{— to show, reveal}\]
\[+ \text{V} \, \text{fact}\]
\[+ \text{S} \sim \text{Indic} \, \text{S} \sim \text{Indic}\]
\[+ \text{Relat}\]

\[\text{Iwe aanya} \, \text{— to be obvious}\]
\[+ \text{V} \, \text{fact}\]
\[+ \text{S} \sim \text{Indic} \, \text{Relat}\]

\[\text{Ige} \, \text{— to think}\]
\[+ \text{V} \, \text{fact}\]
\[+ \text{S} \sim \text{Interrog}\]

\[\text{Igba agyoo} \, \text{— to argue, doubt}\]
\[+ \text{V} \, \text{fact}\]
\[+ \text{S} \sim \text{Interrog} \, \text{object} \, \text{S} \, \text{prepose}\]

\[\text{Ijy} \, \text{— (see Page 509)}\]
Ny  - to seem, resemble
  + V
  - fact
  + emot
  + S ~ Subjunct
  * Extrap
  + Subject-Raising

Rā ahu  - to be difficult
  + V
  - fact
  + emot
  + S ~ Interrog
  + Infinitivization

Gbagha  - to leave, abandon, pardon
  + V
  + activity
  + aspectual
  + (nā) infinit Nom

Hāvū  - to leave, abandon,
  + V
  + activity
  + aspectual
  + (nā) Infinit Nom

Kwushì  - stop, desist from
  + V
  + activity
  + aspectual
  + Infinitive Nom

Jī  - to ask
  + V
  - fact
  + S ~ Interrog
  + object 5 prepose

Copulas

Ny  - to be
  + V
  + copula
  + stative
  + NP

Nò  - to be, stay in
  + V
  + copula
  + stative
  + loc

Gāl  - shall/will
  + V
  + aux
  + verb compl
  + future

Gā2  - should
  + V
  - aux
  + modal
  + verb compl

Jī  -
  + V
  + aux
  + verb compl
  + habitual

Ina  -
  + V
  + aux
  + verb compl
  - conj
  + progressive
  + habitual

Na  Prep
  + V
  + aux
  - verb compl
  - conj
  + prep

Na  Conj
  + V
  + aux
  - verb compl
  - prep
  + conj
### Conjunctions & Prepositions

**Na**  
Conj (see P.514)

**Na**  
Prep (see P.514)

**Sí**  
- that
  + V
  - fact
  + activity
  + conj

**Shí**  
- go from, from
  + V
  + prep
  + (ñá) NP

**Du**  
- arrive at, to
  + V
  + prep
  + (ñá) NP

**Byé**  
- before
  + V
  + conj

**Gbáyára**  
- concerning, about
  + V
  + stative
  + prep

**Bháyára**  
- concerning, about
  + V
  + stative
  + prep

### Determiners

**(N)ke**
  + det
  + substantive
  + sg

**Ndí**
  + det
  + substantive
  + pl

**A**  
- this.
  + dom

**Añy**  
- that
  + dom

**-Ma**  
- this, the
  + det
  + definitizer
  + Pronoun
Quantifiers

Niile - all
[+ quant]

Olemaole - few, several
[+ quant]

Otuyi - many
[+ quant]

Uzugbu - all
[+ quant]

Numerals

Otu - one
[+ dig]

Abyfo - two
[+ dig]

Atgo - three
[+ dig]

Ano - four
[+ dig]
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