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The first decades of the twentieth century saw the ‘great cultural game’ played out by various European powers at Turfan, an oasis located approximately 150 km SE of Urumqi, now in the Xinjiang Uighur Autonomous Province, western China. N. N. Krotkov, the Russian Consul-General at Urumqi, sent back 97 Syriac-script fragments that are currently housed in the Institute for Oriental Studies at St. Petersburg.¹ The 2nd and 3rd German Turfan Expeditions, led by Albert von le Coq and Albert Grünewedel, discovered more than 500 Syriac fragments, as well 550 Sogdian, 1 Middle Persian, 3 New Persian and 52 Old Uighur fragments, all of which were written in the Syriac script.² Most of the Syriac fragments came from the Church of the East monastery site of Bulayq, on the outskirts of Turfan, but small quantities were also found at other sites in the oasis including Astana, Qocho, Qurutqa and Toyoq. All were transported to Berlin where they were preserved under glass plates and are now housed in three separate repositories: the Staatsbibliothek, the headquarters of the Turfanforschung in the Berlin-Brandenburg Akademie der Wissenschaft and the Museum für Asiatische Kunst in Dahlem, Berlin.

A wealth of material, opening new horizons in our knowledge of the Church of the East in Central Asia and China, has been released with the recent publication of 519 Syriac fragments that were found

---

* The author is grateful to the Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin-Preussicher Kulturbesitz for access and permission to reproduce images of the relevant fragments. All images are copyright Depositaum der Berlin Brandenburgischer Akademie der Wissenschaften in der Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin –Preussischer Kulturbesitz, Orientabteilung. Low resolution images of the SyrHT signature numbers are available on the International Dunhuang Project website: [http://id.bk.uk/enter](http://id.bk.uk/enter) signature no. in the search box.

¹ For further details see Elena N. Meshcherskaya, “The Syriac Fragments in the N. N. Krotkov Collection” in Turfan, Khotan und Dunhuang. Edited by Ronald E. Emmerick et al. (Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1996, 221-7.

² For further details about these expeditions see Albert von le Coq, Buried Treasures of Chinese Turkestan, trans. Anna Barwell (London, George Allen and Unwin Ltd: 1928); Mary Boyce, A Catalogue of the Iranian Manuscripts in Manichaean Script in the German Turfan Collection (Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Institut für Orientforschung, Veröffentlichung Nr. 45), (Berlin Verlag: 1960), ix-xxvii.
at Turfan. The Syriac fragments, all of which are paper, range in size from mere scraps, the size of postage stamps, to complete bifolia. Regrettably, there are no complete Syriac manuscripts, hence there is an absence of colophon information which would have been very valuable for information regarding the dating and place(s) of their writing. Monks may have carried some works on the long journey from Mesopotamia, others may have been produced at the scriptoria of monasteries located in the great Central Asian metropolitanates: most notably Merv and Samarkand. Some fragments were undoubtedly written at the monastery at Bulaq in the Turfan oasis. The fragments are tentatively dated, on palaeographic grounds, between the 9th–13th centuries, with a possible 14th century terminus ad quem.

The origins and the circumstances surrounding the monastery’s foundation still remain unknown; it may have been founded in the 8th or 9th centuries, at the time of the Uighur kingdom whose capital was at Qocho in the Turfan oasis. Of course, it could have been founded even earlier, given that Syriac Christianity travelled along the Silk Route to the Tang imperial capital at Xian, where Alopen was received at court in 635.

The monastery at Turfan was probably just one of many institutions that were founded by the Church of the East following the introduction of Christianity into Central Asia in the 4th and 5th centuries from whence it spread along the Silk Routes to China. The legacy of the great Antiochean theological tradition is clearly recalled in the following passage that occurs in SyrHT 80, a liturgical fragment which is a combination of the Martyrs’ Anthem for Friday and the Commemoration of John the Baptist:

"Upon the foundation of the truth of Simon Peter (Cephas), the orthodox Diodore and Theodore with Nestorius, and the Great Ephrem with Mar Narsai and Mar Abraham with John, Job and Michael, the heirs of the resurrection."

---


4 Meshcherskaya, Syriac fragments, 226 suggests 13th–14th centuries. The last Buddhist communities in Turfan were forcibly converted to Islam in the 15th century and whilst there is no conclusive evidence, it seems likely that any Christian communities would have been obliged to do likewise.

5 A Sogdian version of the Niceno-Constantinopolitan Creed of 381 –to which the Church the East adhered- was found at Turfan. See MIK III 59 (T II B 17 + T II B 28. For the Sogdian text and German translation: Friedrich W. K. Müller, “Sogdische Texte I”, SPAW 1912 (1913), 84-87. An English translation is supplied in Ian Gillman and Hans-Joachim Klimkeit, Christians in Asia before 1500 (London: Curzon, 1999), 252–3.

6 SyrHT 80 (T II B 42 No. 1a) verso ll.6-11. For a full description of the fragment, see Hunter and Dickens, Syrische Handschriften, 95–7.
The specific mention of Nestorius, the erstwhile patriarch of Constantinople as well as its great theological exponents, Diodore of Tarsus and Theodore of Mopsuestia, ‘the Interpreter’, clearly anchors the monastery within the East Syrian theological tradition. Likewise, the citation of Mar Narsai and Mar Abraham (of Kashkar) who were traditionally associated with the renowned School of Nisibis, recall the pedagogic heritage of the Church of the East, whilst the reference to the ‘Great Ephrem’ evokes the golden age of Syriac Christianity prior to the schisms of the 5th century.

A large proportion of the Syriac fragments from Turfan are liturgical and biblical. The Syriac Psalter was well represented at Turfan and its translation into a variety of languages including Sogdian and Middle Persian (Pahlavi) and New Persian highlights its dissemination amongst Iranian speaking populations.7 Likewise eight leaves of a Syriac Psalter that were transliterated into Uighur illustrate its dissemination amongst Turkic-speaking peoples in the area.8 Contrasting with the linguistic diversity of the Psalter are the large number of liturgical fragments that are written almost exclusively in Syriac. These supply invaluable information about the liturgy of the medieval Church of the East in its far-flung dioceses. Many have been identified as coming from the Ḥudrā, the principal liturgical book of the Church of the East that contained “the variable chants of the choir for the divine office and the Mass for the entire cycle of the liturgical year”.9 On the basis of palaeography and text-formatting criteria, 21 individual Ḥudrās have been identified amongst the Turfan fragments, but none is complete and the fragmentary nature of the texts has not facilitated comparative studies. Despite these limitations, the manuscripts are extremely important for the light that they shed onto the development of the Church of the East’s liturgy. When Eduard Sachau published in 1905 single folios from three exemplars of the Ḥudrā, using photographs sent by von le Coq,10 he dated the manuscripts to the 10th–12th centuries, but suggested that they could be even older.11

---

7 Ernest A.W. Budge, *Histories of Rabban Hormizd the Persian and Rabban Bar-Iddā*. 2 vols. (London: Luzac, 1902) vol. I, 609 records that Magians who were converted were taught “the psalms and hymns”, vol. II: 350


11 Sachau, Litteratur-Bruchstücke, 964.
Public Worship at Turfan: MIK III 45

The manuscripts open significant windows into the stratum of public worship that took place at Turfan, and simultaneously show that it upheld the liturgical heritage of the ‘mother church’ in Mesopotamia. MIK III 45 is incomplete, but with 61 folios, is the most intact manuscript that has been discovered to date at the monastery. The actual title has been lost, however the contents of MIK III 45 divide into two parts. Fol. 1a-21a consist of Offices for the penitential season (fol. 1-7a) and Offices for the saints (fol. 7a-12b), the latter focusing on the commemoration of Mar Barshabba, Mart Shir and Zarvandokht who came from Seleucia-Ctesiphon to implant Christianity at Marv, the garrison town on the frontier of the Sassanid kingdom which became the most prestigious centre of the Church of the East, after the six metropolitanates in Mesopotamia. Fol. 13a-19a commemorate the third century Roman ‘military-martyrs’ Mar Sergius and Mar Bacchus and name Resafe (Sergiopolis) as their place of martyrdom. A common vigil for all saints sequels on fol. 19b-21a and concludes this first section.

The second part of MIK III 45, fol. 21b-61b, consists of a miscellany of items that shed invaluable light onto the rituals and liturgy, which were celebrated at Turfan:

- Rite for the consecration of a new church [fol. 21a-27b]
- Onyata (anthems/hymns) for ordinary days [fol. 27b-33a]
- Burial services for all orders (priests, deacons, bnay qeiama) [fol. 33a-53a]
- Miscellaneous prayers [fol. 52b-61b]

The rite for the consecration of a new church may point to an active outreach programme, both at Turfan or in more distant regions. The twenty folios devoted to burial services (fol. 33a-53a) include those for the bnay qeiama or ‘Sons of the Covenant’ as well as the clergy and laity. The usage of the term bnay qeiama by MIK III 45 in various places, points to an ascetic order associated with the monastery, but also evokes the greater environment of Syria during the third and fourth centuries. The significance of the northern Mesopotamian heritage of the Church of the East is epitomised by the recitation of the prayer of Barsauma, bishop of Nisibis, during the rite for the consecration of a new church. The disparate contents of fol. 21a-27b suggest that they were a type of appendix or perhaps an ‘in-service’ manual that was a sequel to the main liturgical section found in fol. 1a-21a.

Recent C14 tests of MIK III 45, now housed in the Museum für Asiatische Kunst in Dahlem, Berlin have...

---

12 MIK III 45 is complemented by 26 individual fragments, identified as coming from the same manuscript. MIK III 45 folios 20v-21r were edited by Sachau in 1905 as B26. See Sachau, Litteratur-Bruchstücke, 970-3. He already pointed out the concluding formula. See Peter Yoshira Saeki, The Nestorian Documents and Relics in China (Tokyo: Maruzen, 1937), ch. 15 for an English translation.

13 MIK III 45 fol. 25v, ll.27-9 “Whilst bowing before the altar, (the president) repeats quietly this prayer which was composed by Mar Barsauma, bishop of Nisibis”. 
returned a dating range, 771–884 CE, thus allocating this 61-folio codex to the 8–9th centuries. Although MIK III 45 is incomplete, the quires of 14-16 leaves indicate that original manuscript might be estimated as being originally some 200 folios.\textsuperscript{14} The folios are inscribed in black ink, with rubric \textit{lemmata}, in a standard East Syriac script that is derivative of Estrangela. The correct and legible classical text displays only a few non-standard features.\textsuperscript{15} Most notable is the usage of the double points or \textit{seyame} (indicating plural nouns) with singular nouns, a trend that occurs quite frequently throughout the text.\textsuperscript{16} This idiosyncratic application could denote a provincial pronunciation, but overall the grammar and syntax of the folios conveys the impression that they were written by a scribe (or scribes) who were well-trained in Syriac. Whilst particular mention is made of the saints who were connected with the mission at Marv, the overall contents of MIK III 45 uphold and maintain the liturgical cycle and repertoire of the Church of the East.

Of especial interest is the rubric subscription \textit{ܚܕܪܐ ܕܚܘܕܪܐ ܘܕܩܢܘ ܐܘܕܩܢܘ} “end of the \textit{fengitho} (volume) of the orders of service and \textit{qanone} (i.e. liturgical rules, or rubrics) of the \textit{hudra} (cycle) of the whole year” (fol. 21a ll. 12-13). This denotes that MIK III 45 originally consisted of a full cycle of services for the entire ecclesiastical year.\textsuperscript{17} The 8th–9th century dating raises the possibility that MIK III 45 is faithful to the \textit{Ḥudrā} which Patriarch Išo’yabh III (649-659 CE) compiled in the mid-seventh century, but of which no exemplars are now extant. The \textit{Ḥudrā} underwent various revisions in subsequent centuries, but Išo’yabh’s work is thought to have included early liturgical material, predating the schisms of the 5th–6th centuries. As such, the occurrence of \textit{ܚܕܪܐ ܕܚܘܕܪܐ ܘܕܩܢܘ} \textit{fengitho} “volume” in MIK III 45 may attest this phenomenon, since the term later assumed a particular significance, becoming synonymous with the West Syriac tradition. The only other 8th century witness to the \textit{Ḥudrā} is a small ostracon that was found during archaeological excavations in 1989 by the \textit{Japanese Archaeological Expedition to Iraq} at Ain Shaiya, near Najaf.\textsuperscript{18} Sebastian Brock’s statement, “the paucity of East Syriac liturgical texts that definitely date from the first millennium renders every scrap of evidence all the


\textsuperscript{16} This phenomenon is noted where ordinary Syriac words are singular but pronounced as plural, especially where the ending is -\textit{c}. Selected examples from MIK III 45 include \textit{mikas} ‘his house’ (fol. 3 verso: 18), \textit{ܚܕܪܐ ܕܚܘܕܪܐ} ‘Lord of all’ (fol. 41 verso: 4). For further discussion, see Erica C.D. Hunter and James F. Coakley, \textit{A Syriac Service-Book from Turfan. Museum für Asiatische Kunst MS MIK III 45}. The text edited, translated and introduced. (Turnhout, Leuven: Brepols, 2016), 8.

\textsuperscript{17} See Plate 1: MIK III/45 fol. 21a.

more valuable” highlights the significance of MIK III 45.  

**Private Devotion at Turfan: SyrHT 152, SyrHT 99, Syr HT 330, SyrHT 102, n.364–5,**

Syriac prayer-amulets that were found at Turfan provide rare insight into the domain of private devotion and complement the public dimension offered by the liturgy. They also provide the only known examples of iconography amongst the Syriac material. SyrHT 152 (TII B 64 No. 3 = 1731) is one of only two examples of personal prayer-amulets that have emerged in the Syriac material. Now held in the Staatsbibliothek, Potsdamer Platz, Berlin, it is a tiny fragment 4.5 cm (height), 3.9 cm (width), with only two Syriac words, written vertically in East Syriac Estrangelo script: داكمر للحامم “for your handmaid, servant girl” and سوشيدل “healing”. The words flank a well-executed cross of the Church of the East drawn in the centre of the fragment’s recto, where it imparts both visual and apotropaic capacities. The iconography of SyrHT 152 i.e. of the East Syrian cross surmounting a lotus, reiterates the finely worked example on the apex of the Xian Fu stele which was completed in 781 CE.  

The second example of a cross occurs on the verso of SyrHT 99, although its execution is rudimentary, in comparison to the example on SyrHT 152. SyrHT 99 was physically adapted from a much larger fragment to make the prayer-amulet; its contents ask for God’s assistance, mentioning illness and also حشراء “magic, sorceries” but are non sequitur since the trimming of the right-hand margin has meant that the words commencing many of the lines (cf. ll. 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10) are incomplete and frequently consist of only one or two characters. It forms a dislocated join with SyrHT 330, a fragment of 4 lines. Whilst the intermediate portion between these two fragments has been lost, it is clear that both originally belonged to a much larger folio whose recycling appears to have taken place at the monastery.  

---


20 The fragment is now housed in the Staatsbibliothek, Potsdamer Platz, Berlin. SyrHT means that the manuscript (Handschrift = H) is Syriac and comes from Turfan (T). TII B means that the fragment was found at the monastery site of Bulayiq (B) near Turfan, during the second campaign of the German Turfan Expedition in 1904-1905.

21 Plate 2 SyrHT 152 recto.

22 The verso is blank.

23 Cf. the cross at the apex of the Xian Fu stele, see Gillman and Klimkeit, Christians in Asia, Plate 34b for a line drawing.

24 Plate 3 SyrHT 99 recto & SyrHT 330 recto.

25 They are now housed in the Staatsbibliothek, Potsdamer Platz, Berlin. For the transliteration, translation and full discussion of these two fragments see, Erica C.D. Hunter, “Traversing Time and Location: A Prayer-Amulet to Mar Tamsis from Turfan” in From the Oxus River to the Chinese Shores. Studies on East Syrian Christianity
amulet is unknown. The larger folio may have deteriorated, but scraps were still deemed to be efficacious. The combined contents of SyrHT 330 and SyrHT 99 show that the erstwhile larger fragment consisted of the prayer of the martyr, Mār Tamsis, who is named in the rubric title of SyrHT 330 l. 1 “Anathema of Mār Tamsis, the celebrated martyr”. Due to the trimming process, SyrHT 99 makes no mention of Mār Tamsis, an omission that may have been deliberate. Alternatively, the exclusion of his name may have just been accidental.

The criteria governing the selection of SyrHT 99 as a personal prayer-amulet remain enigmatic. One factor, might have been the quotation of “John 1:1–4.2” (SyrHT 99 ll.1-3) since the opening verses of the Gospel of John were deemed to have a particular efficacy. Unlike SyrHT 152 which identifies the recipient as a ‘handmaid’, SyrHT 99 provides no clue as to the identity of the person for whom it was prepared, but the still visible creasemarks which indicate that the fragment was folded into three, suggest a portable personal item. The rudimentary cross of the Church of the East, which has been drawn free-hand in the central panel of the otherwise blank verso, may have ‘sealed’ the precious contents as well as being an indicator as to how to carry the prayer-amulet. This might have been a necessary measure if the intended recipient was illiterate or unable to read Syriac, as one might expect of the laity at Turfan who spoke Sogdian or Uighur. On the other hand, SyrHT 99 might have been produced by one of the monks at the monastery, for his private devotion.

The subject of SyrHT 330, Mār Tamsis is not mentioned in the liturgical fragments from Turfan, but his commemoration in the Church of the East calendar was on the 8th Wednesday after Epiphany. B.L. 14653, a 9th century manuscript from northern Mesopotamia, which details the lives of numerous saints, also includes a prayer to Mār Tamsis, that occurs just before the colophon which names the scribe as ‘Saliba’. No other details are supplied. Interestingly, Mār Tamsis was commemorated in


26 These verses and were still used to introduce the handbooks of amulets that were used by the Syriac Christians in the 19th and 20th centuries. See Hermann Gollancz, The Book of Protection, being a collection of charms (London: H. Froude, 1912) for examples of this practice.


28 See See Hunter, Traversing Time and Location, 34-35 for the text and translation of this prayer-amulet.
handbooks of amulets dating from the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries that were used by the Syriac Christian communities in Hakkari. Mingana Ms. Syr 316, whose colophon was written in ‘the year 2088 of the Greeks’ i.e. between October of 1776 and September of 1777, mentions his name in connection with an amulet against lunacy that was entitled ܒܕܪܬ ܣܗܪܐ “Of the daughter of the moon”. Mingana Ms. Syr 316 fol. 61a-64a. Mingana Syr. Ms. 316 folio 61, verso for a graphic illustration of the mounted saint lancing a one-eyed demoness.

Mar Cyprian was also commemorated in the 19th century handbooks and at Turfan where he is the subject of two prayer-amulets. n.364-365 now deposited in the Turfanforschung, Berlin-Brandenburg Akademie der Wissenschaft, Berlin, are dislocated fragments, but derive from the same folio, where the intermediate contents have been lost. The upper half of the recto of n.364 has nine lines of an anathema to Mār Cyprian, with a miscellany of later, unrelated texts covering the bottom half of the recto and the verso side. n.365 consists of 6 lines that correspond to ll.1-6 of n.364 and forms the right-hand side of the original folio. Written in East Syriac Estrangela, each word of n.364 ll.1-9 and n.365 ll.1-6 is separated by a red dot. The (right-hand) margin of n.365 has been lost, but a red dot concludes the end of n.364 ll.3-9, producing a justified left-hand margin. Red-black paragraphus have been placed at the end of n.364 ll.1-2; with the paragraphus of l.1 coming at the end of the anathema’s rubric heading. The application of the rubric dots (very helpful in reading the text) is unique and does not occur in any of the other fragments from Turfan. This demarcation of each word must have imparted a visual, and possibly apotropaic, impact. Additionally, the originally blank verso (also a feature of SyrHT 152 and SyrHT 99 & SyrHT 330) points to n.364-365 being specifically prepared as an amulet, with a subsequent re-cycling at a later date.

Text and transliteration: n.364-365

Recto: For details of the other handbooks of amulets, dating from 1779-1817 that include “The anathema of Mar Tamsis which is suitable for the daughter of the moon” see Hunter, Traversing Time and Location, 30. Mingana Syr. Ms. 316 fol. 62a l.2 ܪ ܗܘܐ ܗܘ ܕܥܡ “who dwelt” + fol. 62a ll.3-4 “in/on the mountains for forty years’. Mingana Syr. Ms. 316 folio 62a l.2 ܒܕܪܬ ܣܗܪܐ “Of the daughter of the moon” + fol. 62a ll.3-4 “in/on the mountains for forty years”.

Plate 4 n364-365 with the labels n364 and n365 having been inserted upside down to the text.

Aside from the anathema to Mār Cyprian, n.364-5 recto consists of various contents: (a) two lines of text in Sogdian (written in Syriac script), (b) 4 lines of Syriac, in a different hand. n.364-365 verso has a Sogdian text, written in Syriac script.

Bold type indicates rubrics in the Syriac text and the translation.
Translation:

1. The anathema of the holy ... [Mār Cyp[ria]n
2. [In the name] of the Father Son [and Holy Spirit] forever. Amen.
3. By the prayer of [Mār Cyprian] the saint ... who as he was celebrated
4. in this world ... requested from our Lord Jesus Christ and He gave
5. him his request ... Praise to you God in heaven
6. and on [earth] ... that He might reach(?) him, your servant
7. ... God Most High. Mār
8. [Cyprian] offered <his> mind to God. Now
9. ... Yea, Lord

SyrHT 102 is the second example of a prayer-amulet dedicated to Mār Cyprian. A single leaf, measuring 11.00 x 9.9 cm, it is now housed in the Staatsbibliothek, Potsdamer Platz, Berlin. Written in East Syriac Estrangelo, there are 11 lines of text on the recto and 10 lines on the verso. The anathema to Mār Cyprian commences on the recto l.2.

Text and transliteration: SyrHT 102

Recto:37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Syriac Text</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.2 | [ḥ]rm’ d ... mry qwpryn’ qdyš’ | 1.2
| 1.3 | d’kyn ’tnš b’lm’ hn’ [s]’l mn | 1.3
| 1.4 | ’lh’ wỳhb lh š’lh kd ’mr hkn’ | 1.4
| 1.5 | byym’m dyn wyny’ d[h]d b[šb’] dhb mṣtryn’ bry[n] | 1.5
| 1.6 | wbt[y]n klhwn [g]br’ bỳš’ wškyr’ wşny’ | 1.6
| 1.7 | 2[w] d’[lh’] mry[m]’ hwdyn qdyš’ | 1.7
| 1.8 | mry qwpryn’ mṭ hwnh | 1.8

---

36 See Plate 5A SyrHT 102 recto and Plate 5B SyrHT 102 verso.

37 Bold type indicates rubrics in the Syriac text and the translation of the recto and verso.
Translation:

1.2 The anathema of … the holy Mār Cyprian,
1.3 when he was celebrated in this world, he requested from
1.4 God and He granted him his request. Whilst he said thus:
1.5 On the holy day, Sunday are loosened, passed over
1.6 and voided, all those wicked, vile and hateful men.
1.7 … God Most High. Then the saint
1.8 Mār Cyprian directed his mind
1.9 God … and sought …
1.10 … praise to [you God] …

Verso:

Translation:

1.1 God.  Praise to you God […] On earth
1.2 He holds all and rules all by the power, by His holy
1.3 and glorious name. King of kings and Lord of the Lords who dwells
1.4 in the joyous, hidden and mystic light. He whom
1.5 no man has seen nor is even able to see him.
1.6 You, Lord, you know the secret of your servant. I
1.7 … before time, the violence of their (magical) practice
1.8 … and execrable that you have dissolved all divinations
1.9 … augurers. Whilst I did not know you
1.10 … neither falls rain nor the (earth)?
1.11 … heaven and earth lest
Both SyrHT 102 and n364-365 begin with the technical term, سـمـهـا “anathema”, signifying a prayer that was always used in conjunction with a named saint who uttered it at the point of martyrdom.38 Mār Cyprian’s name is spelt variously: n.364-365 مـهـنـسـا, SyrHT 102 مـهـنـسـا, but both texts specify the exact time when that saint سـمـهـا “requested” his prayer, نـيـز when he was celebrated in this world” i.e. at the point of his martyrdom.39 SyrHT 102 and n364-365 specifically state that Mār Cyprian’s wish was granted: نـيـز جـبـهـت سـمـهـا هـلـاـتـهـا “and He gave him his request”,40 but the contents of Mār Cyprian’s prayer in SyrHT 102 are much longer than n364-365 (where there are textual lacunae) and notably include several clear references to the dissipation of magic and divination on ll. 5-6 and ll. 8-9. Both n364 and SyrHT 102, in the concluding parts of the prayer, cite the clause, نـيـز جـبـهـت سـمـهـا هـلـاـتـهـا “he directed <his> mind to God”.41

The physical format of n.364-365 suggests that it may originally have been prepared as a personal amulet. By contrast, “The anathema of Mār Cyprian” in SyrHT 102 follows immediately after the rubricated concluding formula of the previous section: مـهـنـسـا.42 This format suggests that SyrHT 102 may have been part of a ‘handbook of prayer-amulets’, which monks used and consulted at Turfan; a tradition that continued as late as the 19th century amongst the Syriac-speaking clergy of Hakkari. The anathema of Mār Cyprian is found in various manuscripts, including the aforementioned Mingana Syr. Ms. 316, where it is listed under the rubricated heading مـهـنـسـا سـمـهـا مـهـنـسـا سـمـهـا مـهـنـسـا سـمـهـا مـهـنـسـا “the anathema of Mār Cyprian, the celebrated martyr”. The text of Mingana Syr. Ms. 316 is much longer than both n.364-365 and SyrHT 102,43 but it does exhibit substantial textual parallels with the latter, notably including the distinctive clause مـهـنـسـا سـمـهـا مـهـنـسـا “Mār Cyprian, the saint, directed (his) mind to the Lord of All”.44 The colophon


39 SyrHT 102 recto l.3, n364-365 ll.3-4.

40 SyrHT 102 recto l.4, n364-365 l.4.


42 SyrHT 102 recto l.1. The contents of the previous section, which was written on the preceding folio to SyrHT 102, have not survived.

43 See Hunter, Saints in Syriac Anathemas, 100-3 for the text and translation of this anathema in Mingana Syr. Ms. 316, fol. 21r-26r.

44 Hunter, Saints in Syriac Anathemas, 100 (text), 102 (translation), with the small change of مـهـنـسـا for مـهـنـسـا.
of Mingana Syr. Ms 316 names the village of Marshanis in the Atel district, in the diocese of Buhtan in the Seert region, as the place of its production.45

**Concluding Comments:**

The selected manuscripts respectively demonstrate the public and private dimensions of faith that took place at Turfan in the medieval period. In this remote outpost, the heritage of the Church of the East was robustly maintained; the public worship i.e. the liturgy looked westwards to Mesopotamia, as did the private devotions, i.e. the prayer-amulets. This trajectory is epitomised by the usage in both public worship and private devotion of Syriac, which would have been largely unfamiliar to the Sogdian and Uighur-speaking laity, but maintained a particular sanctity and efficacy. The dating of MIK III 45 that places it shortly after the mid-7th century compilation of the Ḥudrā by Patriarch Isoyabh III, provides unparalleled insight into the East Syrian liturgy of the first millennium and its dissemination in the far-flung dioceses of the Church of the East. The prayer-amulets are rare vernacular items illuminating the stratum of personal devotion to saints who were inextricably connected with Mesopotamia. Although their dating has not been secured, their presence at Turfan indicates that they predate –by some six or seven centuries– namesake anathemas that were still in usage amongst the Syriac-speaking communities of Hakkari until their tragic demise in the *Sayfî* of 1915. Paradoxically, just a few years prior the German Turfan Expedition made spectacular discoveries at Turfan and opened new dimensions in our knowledge of the spread of East Syrian Christianity.

---

45 For further information about Marshanis, see David Wilmshurst, *The ecclesiastical organisation of the Church of the East, 1318-1913* (Leuven: Peeters, 2000), 90, 98 and Map 2: west of Deh and east of Tal. See also, the online entry by Thomas A. Carlson, “Marshanis — مระยะเวลา” in *The Syriac Gazetteer*, Edited by Thomas A. Carlson and David A. Michelson, entry published May 10, 2014, Syriaca.org: The Syriac Reference Portal, Edited by David A. Michelson, Justin Shell, “Notes on a journey from Tabriz through Kurdistan via Van, Bithis, Se’ert and Erbil through Suleimaniyeh in July and August 1836”, *Journal of the Royal Geographical Society of London* 8 (1838) 67 cites the towns of Amadiyeh and Se’ert as the eastern and western boundaries of Buhtan.
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